



- Networking Trends
- □ IP Switching and Label Switching
- Gigabit Ethernet
- □ Voice over IP
- Virtual Private Networks

#### **Networking Trends**

- □ Impact of Networking
- Networking Trends
- Telecommunication Trends
- Current Research Topics

## **IP Switching and Label Switching**

- Routing vs Switching
- □ IP Switching (Ipsilon)
- □ Tag Switching (CISCO)
- Multi-protocol label switching

#### **Gigabit Ethernet**

- □ LAN Switching and Full duplex links
- Distance-Bandwidth Principle
- **1**0 Mbps to 100 Mbps
- Gigabit PHY and MAC Issues
- □ ATM vs Gigabit Ethernet
- □ 1000BASE-T for 1 Gbps over UTP5
- □ Link aggregation

#### Voice over IP

- □ Voice over IP: Why?
- □ Sample Products and Services
- □ 13 Technical Issues
- □ 4 Other Issues
- □ H.323 Standard
- □ Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)

#### **Virtual Private Networks**

- □ Types of VPNs
- □ When and why VPN?
- □ VPN Design Issues
- Security Issues
- □ VPN Examples: PPTP, L2TP, IPSec
- □ Authentication Servers: RADIUS and DIAMETER
- VPNs using Multiprotocol Label Switching

#### **Schedule (Tentative)**

#### **Day 1**:

- **1:00-2:15**
- **2**:15-2:30
- **2:30-3:45**
- **3:45-4:00**
- **4:00-5:15**
- **Day 2**:
- 8:00-9:45
- **9:45-10:00**
- **10:00-12:00**

- Course Introduction/Trends Coffee Break **IP** Switching *Coffee Break* **Gigabit Ethernet** Voice over IP
- Coffee Break
- Virtual Private Networks

#### References

You can get to all on-line references via: <u>http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/refs/hot\_refs.htm</u>

#### **Pre-Test**

Check if you know the difference between:

- **Tag Switching and Label Switching**
- □ Min packet sizes on 10Base-T and 1000Base-T
- Carrier Extension and Packet Bursting
- □ H.323 and Session Initiation Protocol
- □ Gatekeeper and Gateway
- □ Firewall and proxy server
- Digital signature and Digital Certificate
- □ Private Key and Public Key encryption

Number of items checked

- If you checked more than 4 items, you may not gain much from this course.
- □ If you checked only a few or none, don't worry. This course will cover all this and much more.

#### Disclaimer

- □ The technologies are currently evolving.
  ⇒ Many statements are subject to change.
- Features not in a technology may be implemented later in that technology.
- Problems claimed to be in a technology may later not be a problem.

## Networking Trends and Their Impact



#### Raj Jain The Ohio State University Columbus, OH 43210 Jain@CIS.Ohio-State.Edu

http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/



networking technology in the year 2000.

Raj Jain



- □ Impact of Networking
- Networking Trends
- Telecommunication Trends
- Current Research Topics

#### Trends

- Communication is more critical than computing
  - Greeting cards contain more computing power than all computers before 1950.
  - Genesis's game has more processing than 1976 Cray supercomputer.
- □ Networking speed is the key to productivity



- □ No need to get out for
  - Office
  - Shopping
  - Entertainment
  - Education

- Virtual Schools
- Virtual Cash
- Virtual Workplace
  (55 Million US workers will work remotely by 2000)

Raj Jain

#### **Cave Persons of 2050**



#### **Garden Path to I-Way**

- Plain Old Telephone System (POTS)
  = 64 kbps = 3 ft garden path
- $\Box$  ISDN = 128 kbps = 6 ft sidewalk
- T1 Links to Businesses = 1.544 Mbps
  = 72 ft = 4 Lane roadway



- □ Cable Modem Service to Homes: = 10 Mbps = 470 ft = 26 Lane Driveway
- $\Box$  OC3 = 155 Mbps = 1 Mile wide superhighway
- $\Box$  OC48 = 2.4 Gbps = 16 Mile wide superhighway
- □ OC768 = 38.4 Gbps = 256 Mile wide superhighway

#### High Technology ≠ More vacation



#### Impact on R&D

- Too much growth in one year
  ⇒ Can't plan too much into long term
- □ Long term =  $1_2$  year or  $10_2$  years at most
- □ Products have life span of 1 year, 1 month, ...
- Short product development cycles.
  Chrysler reduced new car design time from 6 years to 2.
- Distance between research and products has narrowed
  ⇒ Collaboration between researchers and developers
  ⇒ Academics need to participate in industry consortia

#### **New Challenges**

- ❑ Networking is moving from specialists to masses ⇒ Usability (plug & play), security
- □ Exponential growth in number of users + Exponential growth in bandwidth per user ⇒ Traffic management
- Standards based networking for reduced cost
  ⇒ Important to participate in standardization forums ATM Forum, Frame Relay Forum, ...
   Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF),
   Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers (IEEE)
   International Telecommunications Union (ITU), ...

### **Networking Trends**

- Copper is still in.
  6-27 Mbps on phone wire.
  Fiber is being postponed.
- Shared LANs to Switched LANs
- □ Routing to Switching. Distinction is disappearing
- □ LANs and PBX's to Integrated LANs
- □ Bandwidth requirements are doubling every 4 months

#### **Telecommunication Trends**

- Voice traffic is growing linearly
  Data traffic is growing exponentially
- □ Carriers are converting to ATM
- □ Integrated voice, video, data (internet services)
- □ High-speed frame relay
- $\Box \text{ xDSL} \Rightarrow \text{Competitive local exchange carriers (CLEC)}$
- Cable Modems
- □ Voice over IP

#### **Research Topics**

- Terabit networking: Wavelength division multiplexing, all-optical switching
- High-speed access from home
  ⇒ Robust and high-bandwidth encoding techniques
- □ High-speed Wireless = More than 10 bit/Hz 28.8 kbps on 30 kHz cellular ⇒ 1 bit/Hz
- Traffic management, quality of service, multicasting:
  Ethernet LANs, IP networks, ATM Networks
  Mobility
- □ Large network management Issues.

#### **Research Topics (Cont)**

- □ Information Glut ⇒ Intelligent agents for searching, digesting, summarizing information
- Scalable Voice/Video compression:
  2400 bps to 1.5 Mbps video, 8 kbps voice
- $\square$  Electronic commerce  $\Rightarrow$  Security, privacy, cybercash
- □ Active Networks ⇒ A "program" in place of addresses

#### **ATM vs Data Networks**

- Traffic Management: Loss based in IP.
  ATM has 1996 traffic management technology.
  Required for high-speed and variable demands.
- Quality of Service (QoS): Private Network to network interface (PNNI) is QoS-based routing
- Signaling: Internet Protocol (IP) is connectionless.
  You cannot reserve bandwidth in advance.
  ATM is connection-oriented.

You declare your needs before using the network.

Switching: In IP, each packet is addressed and processed individually.

Cells: Fixed size or small size is not important Raj Jain



Solution 1: Fix the old house (cheaper initially) Solution 2: Buy a new house (pays off over a long run)



- □ Networking is the key to productivity
- $\Box$  It is impacting all aspects of life  $\Rightarrow$  Networking Age
- Profusion of Information
- □ Collaboration between researchers and developers
- □ Usability, security, traffic management



- □ See <u>http://www.cis.ohio-</u> state.edu/~jain/refs/ref\_trnd.htm
- "The Next 50 years," Special issue of Communications of the ACM, Feb 1997.
- D. Tapscott, "The Digital Economy: Promise and Peril in the Age of Networked Intelligence," McGraw-Hill, 1995.
- □ T. Lewis, "The Next 10,000<sub>2</sub> years," IEEE Computer, April/May 1996

# IP Switching and Label Switching

#### Raj Jain Professor of Computer and Information Sciences The Ohio State University

http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/



- Switching vs routing
- □ IP Switching (Ipsilon)
- □ Tag Switching (CISCO)
- Multi-protocol label switching



- IP routers forward the packets towards the destination subnet
- □ On the same subnet, routers are not required.

IP Addresses: 164.56.23.34
 Ethernet Addresses: AA-23-56-34-C4-56
 ATM : 47.0000 <u>1 614 999 2345</u>.00.00.AA....

**Routing vs Switching** 164.107.61.201 □ Routing: Based on address lookup  $\Rightarrow$  Search Operation  $\Rightarrow$  Complexity  $\approx$  O(log<sub>2</sub>n) Switching: Based on circuit numbers  $\Rightarrow$  Indexing operation  $\Rightarrow$  Complexity O(1)  $\Rightarrow$  Fast and Scalable for large networks and large address spaces □ These distinctions apply on all datalinks: ATM, Ethernet, SONET



- □ IP routers use IP addresses
  - $\Rightarrow$  Reassemble IP datagrams from cells
- □ IP Switches use ATM Virtual circuit numbers
  - $\Rightarrow$  Switch cells
  - $\Rightarrow$  Do not need to reassemble IP datagrams
  - $\Rightarrow$  Fast

Raj Jain

## **IP Switching**

- Developed by Ipsilon
- Routing software in every ATM switch in the network
- Initially, packets are reassembled by the routing software and forwarded to the next hop
- ❑ Long term flows are transferred to separate VCs.
  Mapping of VCIs in the switch ⇒ No reassembly


# **IP Switching: Steps 1-2**

- □ If a flow is deemed to be "flow oriented", the node asks the upstream node to set up a separate VC.
- □ Downstream nodes may also ask for a new VC.



# **IP Switching: Steps 3, 4**

After both sides of a flow have separate VCs, the router tells the switch to register the mapping for cutthrough



# **IP Switching (Cont)**

- □ Flow-oriented traffic: FTP, Telnet, HTTP, Multimedia
- Short-lived Traffic: DNS query, SMTP, NTP, SNMP, request-response Ipsilon claimed that 80% of packets and 90% of bytes are flow-oriented.
- Ipsilon claimed their Generic Switch Management Protocol (GSMP) to be 2000 lines, and Ipsilon Flow Management Protocol (IFMP) to be only 10,000 lines of code
- □ Runs as added software on an ATM switch
- □ Implemented by several vendors

# **Ipsilon's IP Switching:**

### Issues

- □ VCI field is used as ID.
  - VPI/VCI change at switch
  - $\Rightarrow$  Must run on **every** ATM switch
  - $\Rightarrow$  non-IP switches not allowed between IP switches
  - $\Rightarrow$  Subnets limited to one switch
- □ Cannot support VLANs
- □ Scalability: Number of VC  $\ge$  Number of flows.  $\Rightarrow$  VC Explosion. 1000 setups/sec.
- Quality of service determined implicitly by the flow class or by RSVP
- □ ATM Only

# **Tag Switching**

- □ Proposed by CISCO
- □ Similar to VLAN tags
- □ Tags can be explicit or implicit L2 header

L2 Header **Tag** 

□ Ingress router/host puts a tag. Exit router strips it off.



# **Tag Switching (Cont)**

- ❑ Switches switch packets based on labels.
   Do not need to look inside ⇒ Fast.
- One memory reference compared to 4-16 in router
- Tags have local significance
   ⇒ Different tag at each hop (similar to VC #)



### **Alphabet Soup**

- □ CSR Cell Switched Router
- □ ISR Integrated Switch and Router
- LSR Label Switching Router
- **TSR Tag Switching Router**
- □ Multi layer switches, Swoters
- DirectIP
- FastIP
- PowerIP

### **MPLS**

- Multiprotocol Label Switching
- IETF working group to develop switched IP forwarding
- Initially focused on IPv4 and IPv6.
   Technology extendible to other L3 protocols.
- □ Not specific to ATM. ATM or LAN.
- □ Not specific to a routing protocol (OSPF, RIP, ...)
- Optimization only. Labels do not affect the path.
   Only speed. Networks continue to work w/o labels

# Label Assignment

- □ Binding between a label and a route
- □ Traffic, topology, or reservation driven
- □ Traffic: Initiated by upstream/downstream/both
- □ Topology: One per route, one per MPLS egress node.
- Labels may be preassigned
  - $\Rightarrow$  first packet can be switched immediately
- Reservations: Labels assigned when RSVP "RESV" messages sent/received.
- □ Unused labels are "garbage collected"
- □ Labels may be shared, e.g., in some multicasts

### **Label Format**

- □ Labels = Explicit or implicit L2 header
- $\Box$  TTL = Time to live
- $\Box$  Exp = Experimental
- □ SI = Stack indicator



#### **Label Stacks**

- Labels are pushed/popped as they enter/leave MPLS domain
- Routers in the interior will use Interior Gateway Protocol (IGP) labels. Border gateway protocol (BGP) labels outside.

L2 Header Label 1 Label 2

Label n



- IP Switching: Traffic-based, per-hop VCs, downstream originated
- □ Tag switching: Topology based, one VC per route
- MPLS combines various features of IP switching, Tag switching, and other proposals



- See <u>http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/refs/</u> <u>ipoa\_ref.htm</u> and <u>http://www.cis.ohio-</u> <u>state.edu/~jain/refs/</u> <u>ipsw\_ref.htm</u>
- Multiprotocol Label Switching (mpls) working group at IETF. Email: <u>mpls-request@cisco.com</u>

**Gigabit Ethernet** 

Raj Jain Professor of Computer and Information Sciences The Ohio State University Columbus, OH 43210 <u>http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/</u>



- □ LAN Interconnection Devices and Full duplex links
- Distance-Bandwidth Principle
- □ 10 Mbps to 100 Mbps
- Gigabit PHY and MAC Issues
- □ ATM vs Gigabit Ethernet
- □ 1000BASE-T for 1 Gbps over UTP5
- Link aggregation

Raj Jain





### **Interconnection Devices**

- Repeater: PHY device that restores data and collision signals
- Hub: Multiport repeater + fault detection and recovery
- Bridge: Datalink layer device connecting two or more collision domains. MAC multicasts are propagated throughout "LAN."
- Router: Network layer device. IP, IPX, AppleTalk. Does not propagate MAC multicasts.
- **Switch**: Multiport bridge with parallel paths

These are functions. Packaging varies.

Raj Jain



- Uses point-to-point links between TWO nodes
- Full-duplex bi-directional transmission Transmit any time
- □ Not yet standardized in IEEE 802
- □ Many switch/bridge/NICs with full duplex
- □ No collisions  $\Rightarrow$  50+ Km on fiber.
- Commonly used between servers and switches or between switches





- □ Efficiency = Max throughput/Media bandwidth
- $\Box$  Efficiency is a non-increasing function of  $\alpha$ 
  - $\alpha$  = Propagation delay /Transmission time
  - = (Distance/Speed of light)/(Transmission size/Bits/sec)
  - = Distance×Bits/sec/(Speed of light)(Transmission size)
- □ Bit rate-distance-transmission size tradeoff.
- □ 100 Mb/s  $\Rightarrow$  Change distance or frame size

### **Ethernet vs Fast Ethernet**

	Ethernet	Fast Ethernet
Speed	10 Mbps	100 Mbps
MAC	CSMA/CD	CSMA/CD
Network diameter	2.5 km	205 m
Topology	Bus, star	Star
Cable	Coax, UTP, Fiber	UTP, Fiber
Standard	802.3	802.3u
Cost	Χ	2X
R R R Agi Jain		
59		

#### **Fast Ethernet Standards**

- **100BASE-T4:** 100 Mb/s over 4 pairs of CAT-3, 4, 5
- □ 100BASE-TX: 100 Mb/s over 2 pairs of CAT-5, STP
- □ 100BASE-FX: 100 Mbps CSMA/CD over 2 fibers
- **100BASE-X:** 100BASE-TX or 100BASE-FX
- □ **100BASE-T:** 100BASE-T4, 100BASE-TX, or 100BASE-FX





### **Full-Duplex Ethernet**



- □ Uses point-to-point links between TWO nodes
- □ Full-duplex bi-directional transmission
- **Transmit any time**
- Many vendors are shipping switch/bridge/NICs with full duplex
- □ No collisions  $\Rightarrow$  50+ Km on fiber.
- □ Between servers and switches or between switches

### **Gigabit Ethernet**

- □ Being standardized by 802.3z
- □ Project approved by IEEE in June 1996
- 802.3 meets every three months ⇒ Too slow
   ⇒ Gigabit Ethernet Alliance (GEA) formed.
   It meets every two weeks.
- Decisions made at GEA are formalized at 802.3 High-Speed Study Group (HSSG)
- Based on Fiber Channel PHY
- □ Shared (half-duplex) and full-duplex version
- Gigabit 802.12 and 802.3 to have the same PHY

### How Much is a Gbps?

- □ 622,000,000 bps = OC-12
- □ 800,000,000 bps (100 MBps Fiber Channel)
- □ 1,000,000,000 bps
- $\Box$  1,073,741,800 bps = 2<sup>30</sup> bps (2<sup>10</sup> = 1024 = 1k)
- □ 1,244,000,000 bps = OC-24
- □ 800 Mbps  $\Rightarrow$  Fiber Channel PHY
  - $\Rightarrow$  Shorter time to market
- □ Decision: 1,000,000,000 bps  $\Rightarrow$  1.25 GBaud PHY
- □ Not multiple speed ⇒ Sub-gigabit Ethernet rejected
   □ 1000Base-X

### **Physical Media**

- □ Unshielded Twisted Pair (UTP-5): 4-pairs
- □ Shielded Twisted Pair (STP)
- $\square$  Multimode Fiber: 50  $\mu m$  and 62.5  $\mu m$ 
  - Use CD lasers
- □ Single-Mode Fiber
- □ Bit Error Rate better than 10<sup>-12</sup>

### **How Far Should It Go?**

#### □ Full-Duplex:

Fiber Channel: 300 m on 62.5 µm at 800 Mbps ⇒ 230 m at 1000 Mbps
Decision: 500 m at 1000 Mbps ⇒ Minor changes to FC PHY

□ Shared:

• CSMA/CD without any changes  $\Rightarrow$  20 m at 1 Gb/s (Too small)

- Decision: 200 m shared
  - $\Rightarrow$  Minor changes to 802.3 MAC

#### **PHY Issues**



- Symbol Codes for Specific Signals: Jam, End-of-packet, beginning of packet
- PHY-based flow Control: No. Use the XON/XOFF flow control of 802.3x

### 850 nm vs 1300 nm lasers

□ 850 nm used in 10Base-F

- $\circ$  Cannot go full distance with 62.5-µm fiber
- $\circ$  500 m with 50-µm fiber
- $\circ$  250 m with 62.5-µm fiber
- □ 1300 nm used in FDDI but more expensive
  - Higher eye safety limits
  - Better Reliability
  - $\circ$  Start with 550 m on 62.5-µm fiber
  - Could be improved to 2 km on 62.5- $\mu$ m fiber
    - $\Rightarrow$  Needed for campus backbone

Rai Jain

# Media Access Control Issues

- Carrier Extension
- □ Frame Bursting
- Buffered Distributor

### **Carrier Extension**

Frame RRRRRRRRRRRRR

Carrier Extension –

- 512 Bytes —
- □ 10 Mbps at 2.5 km  $\Rightarrow$  Slot time = 64 bytes
- □ 1 Gbps at 200 m  $\Rightarrow$  Slot time = 512 bytes
- Continue transmitting control symbols.
   Collision window includes the control symbols
- □ Control symbols are discarded at the destination
- Net throughput for small frames is only marginally better than 100 Mbps



□ Three times more throughput for small frames
### **Buffered Distributor**



- □ All incoming frames are buffered in FIFOs
- CSMA/CD arbitration inside the box to transfer frames from an incoming FIFO to all outgoing FIFOs
- Previous slides were half-duplex. With buffered distributor all links are full-duplex with frame-based flow control
- □ Link length limited by physical considerations only





- □ July 1997: Working Group Ballot
- □ March 1998: Approval
- □ Status: Approved in July 1998.

Schedule

#### 1000Base-X

□ 1000Base-LX: 1300-nm <u>laser</u> transceivers

• 2 to 550 m on 62.5-μm or 50-μm multimode, 2 to 3000 m on 10-μm single-mode

□ 1000Base-SX: 850-nm <u>laser</u> transceivers

- 2 to 300 m on 62.5-μm, 2 to 550 m on 50-μm. Both multimode.
- □ 1000Base-CX: Short-haul copper jumpers
  - 25 m 2-pair shielded twinax cable in a single room or rack.

Uses 8b/10b coding  $\Rightarrow$  1.25 Gbps line rate

#### 1000Base-T

- □ 100 m on 4-pair Cat-5 UTP  $\Rightarrow$  Network diameter of 200 m
- 250 Mbps/pair full duplex DSP based PHY
   ⇒ Requires new 5-level (PAM-5) signaling with 4-D 8-state Trellis code FEC
- Automatically detects and corrects pair-swapping, incorrect polarity, differential delay variations across pairs
- □ Autonegotiation  $\Rightarrow$  Compatibility with 100Base-T
- 802.3ab task force began March'97, ballot July'98, Final standard by March'99.
  Rai Jain



- □ Server needs only one IP and MAC address.
- Incremental bandwidth
- □ More reliability. More flexibility in bandwidth usage
- □ Issues: Configuration error detection
- 802.3ad task force PAR approved July 1998.

77

Raj Jain

# **Design Parameter Summary**

Parameter	10 Mbps	100 Mbps	1 Gbps
Slot time	512 bt	512 bt	4096 bt
Inter Frame Gap	9.6 µs	0.96 µs	0.096 µs
Jam Size	32 bits	32 bits	32 bits
Max Frame Size	1518 B	1518 B	1518 B
Min Frame Size	64 B	64 B	64 B
Burst Limit	N/A	N/A	8192 B

 $\Box$  bt = bit time

### **ATM vs Gb Ethernet**

Issue	ATM	<b>Gigabit Ethernet</b>
Media	SM Fiber, MM	Mostly fiber
	Fiber, UTP5	
Max Distance	Many miles	260-550 m
	using SONET	
Data	Need LANE,	No changes
Applications	IPOA	needed
Interoperability	Good	Limited
Ease of Mgmt	LANE	802.1Q VLANs
QoS	PNNI	802.1p (Priority)
Signaling	UNI	None/RSVP (?)
Traffic Mgmt	Sophisticated	802.3x Xon/Xoff
		Rai Jair

#### Summary



- □ Gigabit Ethernet runs at 1000 Mbps
- □ Both shared and full-duplex links
- □ Fully compatible with current Ethernet
- □ 1000BASE-T allows 1000 Mbps over 100m of UTP5
- □ Link aggregation will allow multiple links in parallel

#### References

- □ For a detailed list of references, see <u>http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/refs/gbe\_refs.htm</u>
- Gigabit Ethernet Overview, <u>http://www.cis.ohio-</u> <u>state.edu/~jain/cis788-97/gigabit\_ethernet/index.htm</u>
- "100BASE-X: MAC, PHY, Repeater, and Management Parameters for 1000 Mb/s Operation," IEEE 802.3z, June 25, 1998.
- IEEE 802.3z Gigabit Task force, <u>http://grouper.ieee.org/groups/802/3/z/index.html</u>
- Gigabit Ethernet Consortium
  <u>http://www.gigabit-ethernet.org</u>





- □ Voice over IP: Why?
- □ Sample Products and Services
- □ 13 Technical Issues
- **4** Other Issues
- □ H.323 Standard
- □ Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)

#### Market

- □ International VOIP calls could cost 1/5th of normal rates ⇒ Big share of \$18B US to foreign calls.
   \$15B within Europe.
- □ 500,000 IP telephony users at the end of 1995.
- 15% of all voice calls on IP/Internet by 2000
   ⇒ 10M users and \$500M in VOIP product sales in 1999 [IDC]
- US VOIP service will grow from \$30M in 1998 to \$2B in 2004 [Forester Research]
   \$2B in 2001 and \$16B by 2004 [Frost & Sullivan]



- □ Need a PC with sound card
- □ IP Telephony software: Cuseeme, Internet Phone, ...
- □ Video optional



Need a gateway that connects IP network to phone network (Router to PBX)



- Need more gateways that connect IP network to phone networks
- □ The IP network could be dedicated intra-net or the Internet.
- The phone networks could be intra-company PBXs or the carrier switches



- Private voice networks require n(n-1) access links.
   Private data networks require only n access links.
- Voice has per-minute distance sensitive charge
   Data has flat time-insensitive distance-insensitve charge
- $\square Easy alternate routing \Rightarrow More reliability$
- □ No 64kbps bandwidth limitation
  - $\Rightarrow$  Easy to provide high-fidelity voice

Raj Jain

# **Applications**

- □ Any voice communication where PC is already used:
  - Document conferencing
  - Helpdesk access
  - On-line order placement
- International callbacks (many operators use voice over frame relay)
- □ Intranet telephony
- □ Internet fax

## **Sample Products**

- □ VocalTec Internet Phone: PC to PC.
- □ Microsoft NetMeeting: PC to PC. Free.
- Internet PhoneJACK: ISA card to connect a standard phone to PC. Works with NetMeeting, InternetPhone etc. Provides compression.
- □ Internet LineJACK: Single-line gateway.
- □ Micom V/IP Family:
  - Analog and digital voice interface cards
  - PC and/or gateway



• Features:

Compression

- Phone number to IP address translation.
- □ Supports RSVP.
- Limits number of calls.

Raj Jain

## **Products (Cont)**

□ VocalTec Internet Telephony Gateway:

- Similar to Micom V/IP
- Interactive voice response system for problem reporting
- Allows WWW plug in
- Can monitor other gateways and use alternate routes including PSTN
- Sold to Telecom Finland. New Zealand Telecom.
- Lucent's Internet Telephony Server: Gateway Lucent PathStar Access Server

## **Products (Cont)**

- CISCO 2600 Routers: Voice interface cards (VICs) Reduces one hop.
- Baynetworks, 3COM, and other router vendors have announced product plans



## **Sample Services**

- IDT Corporation offers Net2Phone, Carrier2Phone, Phone2Phone services.
- Global Exchange Carrier offers international calls using VocalTec InternetPhone s/w and gateways
- Qwest offers 7.5¢/min VOIP Q.talk service in 16 cities.
- ITXC provides infrastructure and management to 'Internet Telephone Service Providers (ITSPs)'
- □ America On-line offers 9¢/min service.
- □ AT&T announced 7.5¢/min VOIP trials in 9 US cities.

# **Services (Cont)**

- Other trials: USA Global link, Delta 3, WorldCom, MCI, U.S. West, Bell Atlantic, Sprint, AT&T/Japan, KDD/Japan, Dacom/Korea, Deutsche Telekom in Germany, France Telecom, Telecom Finland, and New Zealand Telecom.
- Level 3 is building a nation wide IP network for telephony.
- □ Bell Canada has formed 'Emergis' division.
- Bellcore has formed 'Soliant Internet Systems' unit
- Bell Labs has formed 'Elemedia' division

### **Technical Issues**

#### 1. Large Delay

- Normal Phone: 10 ms/kmile ⇒ 30 ms coast-tocoast
- G.729: 10 ms to serialize the frame + 5 ms look ahead + 10 ms computation = 25 ms one way algorithmic delay
- $\circ$  G.723.1 = 100 ms one-way algorithmic delay
- $\circ$  Jitter buffer = 40-60 ms
- Poor implementations  $\Rightarrow$  400 ms in the PC
- In a survey, 77% users found delay unacceptable.

## **Technical Issues (Cont)**

- 2. Delay Jitter: Need priority for voice packets. Shorter packets? IP precedence (TOS) field.
- 3. Frame length: 9 kB at 64 kbps = 1.125 s Smaller MTU  $\Rightarrow$  Fragment large packets
- 4. Lost Packets: Replace lost packets by silence, extrapolate previous waveform
- 5. Echo cancellation: 2-wire to 4-wire. Some FR and IP systems include echo suppressors.



# **Technical Issues (Cont)**

- 6. Silence suppression
- 7. Address translation: Phone # to IP. Directory servers.
- 8. Telephony signaling: Different PBXs may use different signaling methods.
- 9. Bandwidth Reservations: Need RSVP.
- 10. Multiplexing: Subchannel multiplexing  $\Rightarrow$  Multiple voice calls in one packet.
- 11. Security: Firewalls may not allow incoming IP traffic
- 12. Insecurity of internet
- 13. Voice compression: Load reduction

### **Other Issues**

- 1. Per-minute distance-sensitive charge vs flat time-insensitive distance-insensitive charge
- Video requires a bulk of bits but costs little.
   Voice is expensive. On IP, bits are bits.
- 3. National regulations and government monopolies
   ⇒ Many countries forbid voice over IP
   In Hungary, Portugal, etc., it is illegal to access a web
   site with VOIP s/w. In USA, Association of
   Telecommunications Carriers (ACTA) petitioned FCC
   to levy universal access charges in ISPs
- 4. Modem traffic can't get more than 2400 bps.

Raj Jain

## **Compression Standards**

- G.711: 64 kbps Pulse Code Modulation (PCM)
  G.721:
  - 32 kbps Adaptive Differential PCM (ADPCM).
  - Difference between actual and predicted sample.

• Used on international circuits

- G.728: 16 kbps Code Excited Linear Prediction (CELP).
- □ G.729: 8 kbps Conjugate-Structure Algebraic Code Excited Linear Prediction (CS-ACELP).

# **Compression (Cont)**

#### **G**.729A:

- A reduced complexity version in Annex A of G.729.
- Supported by AT&T, Lucent, NTT.
- Used in simultaneous voice and data (SVD) modems.
- Used in Voice over Frame Relay (VFRADs).
- 4 kbps with proprietary silence suppression.

# **Compression (Cont)**

- G.723.1: Dual rates (5.3 and 6.3 kbps).
  - Packet loss tolerant.
  - Silence suppression option.
  - Recommended by International Multimedia Teleconferencing Consortium (IMTC)'s VOIP forum as default for H.323.
  - Supported by Microsoft, Intel.
  - Mean opinion score (MOS) of 3.8. 4.0 = Toll quality.



## **Conferencing Standards**

ISDN	ATM	PSTN	LAN	POTs
H.320	H.321	H.322	H.323 V1/V2	H.324
1990	1995	1995	1996/1998	1996
G.711,	G.711,	G.711,	G.711,	G.723.1,
G.722,	G.722,	G.722,	G.722,	G.729
G.728	G.728	G.728	G.723.1,	
			G.728, G.729	
64, 48-64	64, 48-64,	64, 48-64,	64, 48-64, 16,	8, 5.3/6.3
	16	16	8, 5.3/6.3	
H.261	H.261,	H.261,	H.261	H.261
	H.263	H.263	H.263	H.263
T.120	T.120	T.120	T.120	T.120
Н.230,	H.242	H.242,	H.245	H.245
H.242		H.230		
H.221	H.221	H.221	H.225.0	H.223
Q.931	Q.931	Q.931	Q.931	-
	ISDN         H.320         1990         G.711,         G.722,         G.728         64, 48-64         H.261         T.120         H.230,         H.242         H.221         Q.931	ISDNATMH.320H.32119901995G.711,G.711,G.722,G.722,G.728G.72864, 48-6464, 48-64,16H.261,H.261H.263T.120T.120H.230,H.242H.242HH.221H.221Q.931Q.931	ISDNATMPSTNH.320H.321H.322199019951995G.711,G.711,G.711,G.722,G.722,G.722,G.728G.728G.72864, 48-6464, 48-64,64, 48-64,1616H.261H.261,H.263T.120T.120T.120H.230,H.242H.242,H.242H.230H.221Q.931Q.931Q.931	ISDNATMPSTNLANH.320H.321H.322H.323 V1/V21990199519951996/1998G.711,G.711,G.711,G.711,G.722,G.722,G.722,G.722,G.728G.728G.728G.728,G.728G.728G.728,G.72964, 48-6464, 48-64,64, 48-64,64, 48-64, 16,16168, 5.3/6.3H.261H.261,H.263H.263T.120T.120T.120T.120H.230,H.242H.242,H.245H.242H.230H.221H.225.0Q.931Q.931Q.931Q.931

Raj Jain

## **H.323 Protocols**

- Multimedia over LANs
- Provides component descriptions, signaling procedures, call control, system control, audio/video codecs, data protocols

Video	Audio	Control and Management				Data
H.261 H.263	G.711, G.722, G.723.1, G.728, G.729	RTCP	H.225.0 RAS	H.225.0 Signaling	H.245 Control	T.124
	RTP		X.	224 Class	0	T.125
	UDP			TCP		т 123
Network (IP)					1.123	
Datalink (IEEE 802.3)						
						Rai Jain





## H.323 Terminals

- □ Client end points. PCs.
- □ H.245 to negotiate channel usage and capabilities.
- □ Q.931 for call signaling and call setup.
- Registration/Admission/Status (RAS) protocol to communicate with gatekeepers.
- □ RTP/RTCP for sequencing audio and video packets.
### H.323 Gateways

- Provide translation between H.323 and other terminal types (PSTN, ISDN, H.324)
- Not required for communication with H.323 terminals on the same LAN.



### H.323 Gatekeepers

- □ Provide call control services to registered end points.
- □ One gatekeeper can serve multiple LANs
- □ Address translation (LAN-IP)
- □ Admission Control: Authorization
- Bandwidth management
   (Limit number of calls on the LAN)
- Zone Management: Serve all registered users within its zone of control
- □ Forward unanswered calls
- □ May optionally handle Q.931 call control

### **H.323 MCUs**

- Multipoint Control Units
- Support multipoint conferences
- Multipoint controller (MC) determines common capabilities.
- Multipoint processor (MP) mixes, switches, processes media streams.

111

Rai Jain

□ MP is optional. Terminals multicast if no MP.



# **Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)**

- □ Application level signaling protocol
- Allows creating, modifying, terminating sessions with one or more participants
- Carries session descriptions (media types) for user capabilities negotiation
- □ Supports user location, call setup, call transfers
- □ Supports mobility by proxying and redirection
- Allows multipoint control unit (MCU) or fully meshed interconnections
- Gateways can use SIP to setup calls between them

## SIP (Cont)

- SIP works in conjunction with other IP protocols for multimedia:
  - RSVP for reserving network resources
  - RTP/RTCP/RTSP for transporting real-time data
  - Session Announcement Protocol (SAP) for advertising multimedia session
  - Session description protocol (SDP) for describing multimedia session
- □ Can also be used to determine whether party can be reached via H.323, find H.245 gateway/user address

# SIP (Cont)

- □ SIP is text based (similar to HTTP)
   ⇒ SIP messages can be easily generated by humans, CGI, Perl, or Java programs.
- SIP Uniform Resource Locators (URLs): Similar to email URLs sip:jain@cis.ohio-state.edu sip:+1-614-292-3989:123@osu.edu?subject=lecture
- □ SIP messages are sent to SIP server at the specified IP address
- □ SIP can use UDP or TCP

# **Locating using SIP**

- □ Allows locating a callee at different locations
- □ Callee registers different locations with SIP Server
- Servers can also use finger, rwhois, ldap to find a callee
- □ SIP Messages: Ack, Bye, Invite, Register, Redirection, ...





□ Gateway = Signaling Fns + Media Transfer Fns

- $\Box Call Agents: Signaling functions \Rightarrow Intelligent$ 
  - $\Rightarrow$  More complex  $\Rightarrow$  Fewer
  - $\Rightarrow$  Control multiple media gateways  $\Rightarrow$  Need MGCP
- MGCP =Simple Gateway Control Protocol (SGCP)
   + Internet Protocol Device Control (IPDC)

Raj Jain



## **MGCP Terminology**

End Point 1 -

Connection 2



Connections between End-Points

Connection 1

- □ Call = Set of Connections
- End Points: Analog line, Digital Channel (DS0), Announcement server (does not listens), Interactive Voice Response (announces and listens), Wiretap (listens only), Conference Bridge (mixes), Packet Relay (proxy server)
- □ Call agents are identified by name not address
   ⇒ Can be easily moved to different machine

## **MGCP Terminology (Cont)**

- □ Events: hang-up (hu), flash hook (hf), ...
- 3 Types of Events: on/off (stay until changed), timeout (change or time out), brief (very short)
- Events are grouped into packages for various types of end points, e.g., Trunk package (T), Line Package (L),
- Notation: Package/event@connection E.g., L/hu@0A3F58

. . .

## **MGCP Commands**

- □ Endpoint Configuration (EPCF): Specify coding
- □ Notification Request (RQNT): Watch for event
- □ Notify (NTFY): Used by gateway to inform Call agent
- □ Create Connection (CRCX)
- □ Modify Connection (MDCX)
- Delete Connection (DLCX)
- □ Audit Endpoint (AUEP): Give me status
- □ Audit Connection (AUCX)
- Restart in Progress (RSIP): Used by gateway to indicate initialization/shutdown of endpoints/gateway

Jain

### **Session Description Protocol**

#### □ SDP V2 [RFC2327]

- Used to describe media type and port # for connections and mbone sessions
- Includes: Version (v), Session name (s), Information (i), Owner (o), Connection information (c), media type, port, and coding (m), session attributes (a), ...
- **Example:** 
  - s = Netlab Seminars
  - $c = 224.5.17.11\ 127\ 2873397496\ 2873404696$
  - m = audio 3456 0
  - m = video 2232 0

### **Session Announcement Protocol**

- □ SAP [draft-ietf-mmusic-sap-v2-01.txt, 6/99]
- **To announce multicast sessions**
- Sends SDP session descriptions to a well-known multicast address and port
- Use same scope as session being announced Anyone who gets the announcement can get the session.
- Announcers listen to other announcements and adjust frequency to limit bandwidth usage.
- □ Announcements are stopped after the session end time



- □ IP needs QoS for acceptable quality
- □ A number of working group at IETF are working on it
- □ H.323 provides interoperability



#### □ See

<u>http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/refs/ref\_voip.htm</u> for a detailed list of references. Virtual Private Networks

> Raj Jain The Ohio State University Columbus, OH 43210 Jain@CIS.Ohio-State.Edu

http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/



- □ Types of VPNs
- □ When and why VPN?
- VPN Design Issues
- Security Issues
- □ VPN Examples: PPTP, L2TP, IPSec
- □ Authentication Servers: RADIUS and DIAMETER
- VPNs using Multiprotocol Label Switching

### What is a VPN?

#### □ Private Network: Uses leased lines



□ *Virtual* Private Network: Uses public Internet



Raj Jain



A Private network is like having a private road to all employees and branch offices

Better to share the public roads.

Raj Jain



## **Types of VPNs**

- □ WAN VPN: Branch offices
- □ Access VPN: Roaming Users
- Extranet VPNs: Suppliers and Customers

**Branch Office** 



### Why VPN?

- Reduced telecommunication costs
- □ Less administration  $\Rightarrow$  60% savings (Forester Res.)
- □ Less expense for client and more income for ISPs
- □ Long distance calls replaced by local calls
- $\Box$  Increasing mobility  $\Rightarrow$  More remote access
- Increasing collaborations
  - $\Rightarrow$  Need networking links with partners

### When to VPN?



- □ More Locations, Longer Distances, Less Bandwidth/site, QoS less critical ⇒ VPN more justifiable
- Fewer Locations, Shorter Distances, More Bandwidth/site, QoS more critical
   > VPN less justifiable

# **VPN Design Issues**

- 1. Security
- 2. Address Translation
- 3. Performance: Throughput, Load balancing (round-robin DNS), fragmentation
- 4. Bandwidth Management: RSVP
- 5. Availability: Good performance at all times
- 6. Scalability: Number of locations/Users
- 7. Interoperability: Among vendors, ISPs, customers (for extranets) ⇒ Standards Compatibility, With firewall

# **Design Issues (Cont)**

- 8. Compression: Reduces bandwidth requirements
- 9. Manageability: SNMP, Browser based, Java based, centralized/distributed
- 10. Accounting, Auditing, and Alarming
- 11. Protocol Support: IP, non-IP (IPX)
- 12. Platform and O/S support: Windows, UNIX, MacOS, HP/Sun/Intel
- 13. Installation: Changes to desktop or backbone only
- 14. Legal: Exportability, Foreign Govt Restrictions, Key Management Infrastructure (KMI) initiative ⇒ Need key recovery

# **Security 101**

- □ Integrity: Received = sent?
- □ Availability: Legal users should be able to use. Ping continuously  $\Rightarrow$  No useful work gets done.
- Confidentiality and Privacy: No snooping or wiretapping
- Authentication: You are who you say you are.
   A student at Dartmouth posing as a professor canceled the exam.
- Authorization = Access Control
   Only authorized users get to the data

### **Secret Key Encryption**

- Encrypted\_Message = Encrypt(Key, Message)
- Message = Decrypt(Key, Encrypted\_Message)
- □ Example: Encrypt = division
- □ 433 = 48 R 1 (using divisor of 9)



Rai Jain

### **Public Key Encryption**

- □ Invented in 1975 by Diffie and Hellman
- Encrypted\_Message = Encrypt(Key1, Message)
- Message = Decrypt(Key2, Encrypted\_Message)



Raj Jain

## **Public Key Encryption**

- **\square** RSA: Encrypted\_Message = m<sup>3</sup> mod 187
- $\Box Message = Encrypted_Message^{107} mod 187$
- □ Key1 = <3,187>, Key2 = <107,187>
- $\Box Message = 5$
- $\Box Encrypted Message = 5^3 = 125$
- Message =  $125^{107} \mod 187$ 
  - $= 125^{(64+32+8+2+1)} \mod 187$
  - $= \{(125^{64} \mod 187)(125^{32} \mod 187)...$
  - $(125^2 \mod 187)(125)\} \mod 187 = 5$
- $\square 125^4 \mod 187 = (125^2 \mod 187)^2 \mod 187$

## **Public Key (Cont)**

 One key is private and the other is public
 Message = Decrypt(Public\_Key, Encrypt(Private\_Key, Message))
 Message = Decrypt(Private\_Key, Encrypt(Public Key, Message))

## **Digital Signature**

- Message Digest = Hash(Message)
- □ Signature = Encrypt(Private\_Key, Hash)
- Hash(Message) = Decrypt(Public\_Key, Signature)
  Authentic

Private Key  
Text 
$$\xrightarrow{\text{Hash}}$$
 Digest  $\xrightarrow{\downarrow}$  Signature  
Public Key  
Signature  $\xrightarrow{\downarrow}$  Digest  $\xrightarrow{\text{Hash}}$  Text  
Raj Jain

### Certificate

- □ Like driver license or passport
- Digitally signed by Certificate authority (CA) a trusted organization
- □ Public keys are distributed with certificates
- □ CA uses its public key to sign the certificate
   ⇒ Hierarchy of trusted authorities

### Confidentiality

□ User 1 to User 2:

- Image = Encrypt(Public\_Key2, Encrypt(Private\_Key1, Message))
- Message = Decrypt(Public\_Key1, Decrypt(Private\_Key2, Encrypted\_Message)
   Authentic and Private





- Bastions overlook critical areas of defense, usually having stronger walls
- Inside users log on the Bastion Host and use outside services.
- □ Later they pull the results inside.
- □ One point of entry. Easier to manage security.



Raj Jain
## **VPN Security Issues**

- □ Authentication methods supported
- Encryption methods supported
- Key Management
- Data stream filtering for viruses, JAVA, active X
- Supported certificate authorities (X.509, Entrust, VeriSign)
- □ Encryption Layer: Datalink, network, session, application. Higher Layer ⇒ More granular
- Granularity of Security: Departmental level, Application level, Role-based

Rai Jain

#### **Private Addresses**

- □ 32-bit Address  $\Rightarrow$  4 Billion addresses max
- $\Box$  Subnetting  $\Rightarrow$  Limit is much lower
- $\square$  Shortage of IP address  $\Rightarrow$  Private addresses
- $\square Frequent ISP changes \Rightarrow Private address$
- $\Box$  Private  $\Rightarrow$  Not usable on public Internet
- □ RFC 1918 lists such addresses for private use
- □ Prefix = 10/8, 172.16/12, 192.168/16
- **Example:** 10.207.37.234



- NAT = Network Address Translation Like Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol (DHCP)
- □ IP Gateway: Like Firewall
- Tunneling: Encaptulation



#### □ Tunnel = Encaptulation

Used whenever some feature is not supported in some part of the network, e.g., multicasting, mobile IP

## **VPN Tunneling Protocols**

- GRE: Generic Routing Encaptulation (RFC 1701/2)
- PPTP: Point-to-point Tunneling Protocol
- L2F: Layer 2 forwarding
- □ L2TP: Layer 2 Tunneling protocol
- □ ATMP: Ascend Tunnel Management Protocol
- DLSW: Data Link Switching (SNA over IP)
- □ IPSec: Secure IP
- □ Mobile IP: For Mobile users

#### GRE

Delivery Header GRE Header Payload

- Generic Routing Encaptulation (RFC 1701/1702)
- $\Box \text{ Generic} \Rightarrow X \text{ over } Y \text{ for any } X \text{ or } Y$
- Optional Checksum, Loose/strict Source Routing, Key
- □ Key is used to authenticate the source
- Over IPv4, GRE packets use a protocol type of 47
- □ Allows router visibility into application-level header
- $\square Restricted to a single provider network \Rightarrow end-to-end$



- □ PPTP = Point-to-point Tunneling Protocol
- Developed jointly by Microsoft, Ascend, USR, 3Com and ECI Telematics
- □ PPTP server for NT4 and clients for NT/95/98
- MAC, WFW, Win 3.1 clients from Network Telesystems (nts.com)



PPTP can be implemented at Client or at NAS
 With ISP Support: Also known as Compulsory Tunnel
 W/O ISP Support: Voluntary Tunnels



## L2TP

- Layer 2 Tunneling Protocol
- □ L2F = Layer 2 Forwarding (From CISCO)
- $\Box L2TP = L2F + PPTP$

Combines the best features of L2F and PPTP

- □ Will be implemented in NT5
- □ Easy upgrade from L2F or PPTP
- Allows PPP frames to be sent over non-IP (Frame relay, ATM) networks also (PPTP works on IP only)
- Allows multiple (different QoS) tunnels between the same end-points. Better header compression.
   Supports flow control

#### **IPSec**

- □ Secure IP: A series of proposals from IETF
- Separate Authentication and privacy
- Authentication Header (AH) ensures data integrity and authenticity
- Encapsulating Security Protocol (ESP) ensures privacy and integrity



## **IPSec (Cont)**

- □ Two Modes: Tunnel mode, Transport mode
- $\Box \text{ Tunnel Mode} \Rightarrow \text{Original IP header encrypted}$
- □ Transport mode ⇒ Original IP header removed. Only transport data encrypted.
- □ Supports a variety of encryption algorithms
- □ Better suited for WAN VPNs (vs Access VPNs)
- □ Little interest from Microsoft (vs L2TP)
- ❑ Most IPSec implementations support machine (vs user) certificates ⇒ Any user can use the tunnel
- □ Needs more time for standardization than L2TP

#### SOCKS

- Session layer proxy
- Can be configured to proxy any number of TCP or UDP ports
- Provides authentication, integrity, privacy
- □ Can provide address translation
- Developed by David Koblas in 1990. Backed by NEC
- Made public and adopted by IETF Authenticated Firewall Traversal (AFT) working group
- □ Current version v5 in RFC 1928
- $\square Proxy \Rightarrow Slower performance$
- □ Desktop-to-Server  $\Rightarrow$  Not suitable for extranets

Raj Jain

## **Application Level Security**

- □ Secure HTTP
- □ Secure MIME
- □ Secure Electronic Transaction (SET)
- □ Private Communications Technology (PCT)

#### RADIUS

- Remote Authentication Dial-In User Service
- □ Central point for <u>A</u>uthorization, <u>A</u>ccounting, and <u>A</u>uditing data  $\Rightarrow$  AAA server
- Network Access servers get authentication info from RADIUS servers
- □ Allows RADIUS Proxy Servers ⇒ ISP roaming alliances



#### DIAMETER

- Enhanced RADIUS
- Light weight
- □ Can use both UDP and TCP
- Servers can send unsolicited messages to Clients
   ⇒ Increases the set of applications
- Support for vendor specific Attribute-Value-Pairs (AVPs) and commands
- □ Authentication and privacy for policy messages

# **Quality of Service (QoS)**

- Resource Reservation Protocol (RSVP) allows clients to reserve bandwidth
- Need routers with proper scheduling: IP Precedence, priority queueing, Weighted Fair Queueing (WFQ)
- □ All routers may not support RSVP
- Even more difficult if multiple ISPs

## **VPN Support with MPLS**

- Multiprotocol Label Switching
- □ Allows packets to be switched using labels (tags)
   ⇒ Creates connections across a network
- □ Labels contain Class of Service





- □ VPN allows secure communication on the Internet
- □ Three types: WAN, Access, Extranet
- □ Key issues: address translation, security, performance
- Layer 2 (PPTP, L2TP), Layer 3 (IPSec), Layer 5 (SOCKS), Layer 7 (Application level) VPNs
- RADIUS allows centralized authentication server
   QoS is still an issue ⇒ MPLS



For a detailed list of references, see
<u>http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/refs/refs\_vpn.htm</u>

## **Final Review: Hot Facts**

- 1. Networking is critical and growing exponentially.
- 2. Networking is the key to productivity
- 3. IP switching allows some IP packets to go through an ATM network without reassembly at intermediate routers.
- 4. MPLS uses circuit numbers in the header to switch IP packets
- 5. MPLS works on ATM and non-ATM networks.

## **Final Review (Cont)**

- 6. Gigabit Ethernet will compete with ATM for campus backbone and desktop
- 7. Gigabit Ethernet will support both shared and fullduplex links
- 8. Most gigabit Ethernet links will be full-duplex
- 9. H.323 is the conferencing standard designed for LANs and best effort networks.
- 10. Gatekeepers provide bandwidth management while Gateway provide protocol translation.
- 11. VPNs allow private networks over public Internet, Jain

