Current Issues in ATM Forum Traffic Management Group: GFR and DiffServ Raj Jain **Raj Jain is now at** Washington University in Saint Louis Jain@cse.wustl.edu http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/ Raj Jain The Ohio State University

1



- □ ITU GFR Alignment
- **CLP** Treatment in GFR
- □ No VLF-GCRA
- **Conformance vs Eligibility**
- QoS-F-GCRA
- Signaling MBS
- **CLR** With EPD
- DiffServ/IEEE 802.1 over ATM

ITU GFR

- □ No MFS test in F-GCRA
- □ No Function "f" in F-GCRA
- $\Box \text{ F-GCRA} \Rightarrow \text{QoS-F-GCRA}$

The Ohio State University

Frame Treatment in GFR

- □ Conforming Frames: Deliver all or none
- Non-conforming Frames: Deliver last cell if any cell delivered
- **Two GFR Categories:**
 - ightharpoonupGFR.1 \Rightarrow No tagging.
 - GFR.2 ⇒ Tagging.

CLP Treatment in GFR

- □ For each user: CLP=0 is more important than CLP=1
- □ Among Users:
 - CLP=0 of user 1 not more important CLP=1 of user 2
 - \Rightarrow Can't discard only CLP=1 Cells
 - IF CLP0+CLP1 ≤ MCR \Rightarrow Deliver all
 - IF CLP0+CLP1 ≤ MCR \Rightarrow Deliver CLP0+CLP1 ≥ MCR
 - IF CLP0 \geq MCR \Rightarrow Deliver CLP0 \geq MCR
- **Ref:** 98-0708
- Issue: Need F-GCRA at each switch to identify excess CLP=0 cells

Ref: 98-0823 (Status?)



Effect of MCR Inaccuracy

- Frame size can be between 1 and MFS cells
- □ In the example shown: Larger MCR: n×MFS+ 1 cells eligible Smaller MCR: (n+1)×MFS cells eligible.
 ⇒ Larger MCR can yield smaller throughput.
 □ Both these GCRAs are static. L is fixed.









- Only unmarked conforming frames are QoS eligible
- Problem: Conformance is known only on the last cell of the frame.
- Increase the value of X for all frames that start with CLP=0
- ❑ Undo the incrementing if frame is non-conforming
 ⇒ Store X and LCT at first cell

The Ohio State University

QoS-F-GCRA

Number of cells passed increases with increasing tolerance or increasing MCR:

QoS-F-GCRA(T,L) \leq QoS-F-GCRA(T',L') If T' \leq T and L'/T' \geq L/T + MFS Higher MCR or sufficiently higher limit \Rightarrow More cells passed

- Any F-GCRA implementation that gives QoS to as many cells in complete unmarked frames as QoS-F-GCRA is conformant
- ATMF F-GCRA is one example of conforming implementation
- □ Ref: 98-0821. Not Accepted on 12/1/98.

The Ohio State University

QoS-F-GCRA Pseudocode

On any 1st Cell: $X' = X - (t_a-LCT)$ if(X '> L) or (Cell is tagged) then passed := false else passed := true endif

For <u>all cells of frames with 1st cell CLP=0</u>: $X' := X-(t_a-LCT)$ $X := Max\{0, X') + T$ LCT := ta

For last cell of frames with 1st cell CLP=0:if (frame is not conforming) or (passed) then $X_1 := X$ $LCT_1 := LCT$ else $X := X_1$ $LCT := LCT_1$ EndifIf(frame is conforming) and (passed) thenQoS_Count := QoS_Count + Number of Cells in this frameendif

Signaling MBS

- $\square MBS \ge 1 + MFS \{ PCR/(PCR-MCR) \}$
- Sources will signal MBS_{min}, MBS, PCR_{min}, PCR, MCR_{min}, MCR
- Switches reduce MBS, PCR, MCR as long as they are more than min
- Issue: Decreasing PCR may increase required MBS Fortunately, MBS does not affect Buffering.
 Buffering = 1 + MFS
- Solution: Note MBS in returning connect-acks.
 Ref: 98-0925 (Status?)

CLR With EPD

- Without EPD:
 - CLR = (Lost Cells + Corrupted Cells)/ (Total Emitted Cells+Lost Cells+ Corrupted Cells)

```
• With EPD:
```

- CLR = (Lost Frames + Corrupted Frames)/ (Total Emitted Cells+Lost Frames+ Corrupted Frames)
- Note that lost and corrupted frames count as one cell each
- □ Ref: 98-0926 (Status?)

Premium Service

- □ Expedited Forwarding (EF)
- Virtual leased line
- □ Similar to CBR
- Guaranteed minimum service rate
- Policed: Arrival rate < Minimum Service Rate</p>
- □ Not affected by other PHBs
 - \Rightarrow Highest priority (if priority queueing)
- **Code point:** 101110



- □ Four Classes: Decreasing weights in WFR/WFQ
- Three drop preference per class (one rate and two bucket sizes)

DiffServ over ATM

- DiffServe:
 - No end-to-end guarantee
 - No call acceptance control
 - No guarantees for "microflows"
- □ ATM:
 - VC's are isolated. No relative ordering.
 - No sharing among aggregates
 - No local guarantees.
 - No Priorities.

• No weights. The Ohio State University

DiffServ over ATM

- Only two drop preferences. DP 2 and $3 \Rightarrow CLP = 1$
- **Proposed Solution 1**:
 - Need a concept of "VC-bundle" = Same Src-Dest
 - Need to signal priority or weight for each VC in the bundle
 - Ref: 98-918 (Cisco, Nortel, Fore, 3COM, Telia)
- **Proposed Solution 2**:
 - Expedited Forwarding = CBR
 - Solution > Assured Forwarding = ABR or GFR with excess_i ∝ MCR_i
 - \Rightarrow No need to develop new services
 - Ref: 98-0931 (NewBridge)

The Ohio State University



IEEE 802.1D over ATM

- Can't set PCR/SCR/BT/CDVT/MCR to get strict priorities
- □ Proposed Solution:
 - Allow VC bundles (multiple VCs between the same source-destination)
 - Allow signaling priority among VCs of the bundle
- □ Status: Unresolved.



- Can't discriminate against CLP=1 cells of one user in favor of CLP=0 cells of another user.
- □ Function 'F' in F-GCRA has been replaced with fixed limit L. Works if MBS >> MFS.
- QoS F-GCRA in ITU allows rolling back F-GCRA for non-conforming frames
- DiffServ/802.1D over ATM require "VC-Bundle" concept (?) The Ohio State University
 Raj Jain