



- □ Why Traffic Management?
- □ Why ATM?
- □ Improving TCP over ATM over Satellites
- **Traffic Management in IP networks**





Why Worry About Traffic Management?

- Q: Will the congestion problem be solved when: Memory/Links/Processors become cheap ?
- A: No. None of the above.



Conclusions:

- Congestion is a dynamic problem.
 Static solutions are not sufficient
- \square Bandwidth explosion \Rightarrow More unbalanced networks

ATM

- \Box ATM Net = Data Net + Phone Net
- Combination of Internet method of communication (packet switching) and phone companies' method (circuit switching)



ATM vs Data Networks Traffic Management: Loss based in IP. ATM has 1996 traffic management technology.

- Required for high-speed and variable demands.
- Qos based Routing: Private Network to Node Interface (PNNI)
- Signaling: Internet Protocol (IP) is connectionless.
 You cannot reserve bandwidth in advance.
 ATM is connection-oriented.
 - You declare your needs before using the network.
- Switching: In IP, each packet is addressed and processed individually.

Cells: Fixed size or small size is not important



- □ DECbit scheme in many standards since 1986.
- □ Forward Explicit Congestion Notification (FECN) in Frame relay
- Explicit Forward Congestion Indicator (EFCI) set to 0 at source. Congested switches set EFCI to 1
- Every nth cell, destination sends an resource management (RM) cell to the source The Ohio State University



- □ Sources send one RM cell every n cells
- □ The RM cells contain "Explicit rate"
- Destination returns the RM cell to the source
- □ The switches adjust the rate down
- □ Source adjusts to the specified rate



Why Explicit Rate Indication?

- Longer-distance networks
 - \Rightarrow Can't afford too many round-trips
 - \Rightarrow More information is better
- Rate-based control
 - \Rightarrow Queue length = Δ Rate $\times \Delta$ Time
 - \Rightarrow Time is more critical than with windows

5 Ways to Improve ABR over Satellite

 Increase the limit on the number of outstanding cells before decreasing
 (⇒ Large Transient Buffer Exposure (TBE) parameter. The size of was increased from 8 bit to 24 bit to

accommodate satellite paths.)

- 2. Use larger <u>R</u>ate Increase Factor (RIF) \Rightarrow RIF=1 \Rightarrow Fast transient Response
- 3. Implement <u>Backward Explicit Congestion Notification</u> (BECN)
- 4. User larger <u>ACR</u> <u>D</u>ecrease <u>Time</u> <u>Factor</u> (ADTF)

5. Implement Virtual Source/Virtual Destination (VS/VD) The Ohio State University





4 Ways to Improve UBR over Satellites

- 1. Implement "Selective Acknowledgement" in endsystems
- 2. Disable "Fast retransmit and recovery" in end-systems
- 3. Reserve a small fraction of bandwidth for UBR in the switches. For WANs, the effect of reserving 10% bandwidth for UBR is more than that obtained by other buffer management policies.
- 4. Fix slow start implementations in end-systems to avoid errors due to integer arithmetic

The Ohio State University



New needs:
 Solution 1: Fix the old house (cheaper initially)
 Solution 2: Buy a new house (pays off over a long run)

The Ohio State University

Traffic Management in <u>TCP</u>/IP

- □ Loss based:
 - If a segment times out, TCP reduces its window to $\underline{1}$
 - TCP starts with a window of <u>1</u> and increases if nothing is lost
 - Not tuned for large windows in satellite networks
- Selective drop policies, e.g., <u>Random Early Discard</u> (RED) affect fairness not throughput
- □ Selective Acknowledgement helps in satellite networks
- Explicit Congestion Notification (binary feedback) is being introduced but needs new algorithms

 Multiprotocol Label Switching may help for TCP/UDP Raj Jain



- First router attaches a 'label' (virtual circuit number) to the packet
- Other routers switch packets based on labels Do not need to look inside ⇒ Fast.
- Label + 3 experimental bits are used to determine the queue (quality of service)
- □ Last router strips off the label

The Ohio State University

Traffic Engineering Using MPLS

- Packets with the same label = Label Switched Path
 ⇒ Same path
- ❑ Packets with the same label+Experimental bits = Trunks ⇒ Same path and QoS
- "Traffic Trunks" = Switched Virtual Circuits
 Traffic trunks are routable entities like VCs
- Multiple trunks can be used in parallel to the same egress.
- Each traffic trunk can have a set of associated characteristics, e.g., priority, preemption, policing, overbooking The Ohio State University

MPLS Simulation Results

- Total network throughput improves significantly with proper traffic engineering
- Congestion-unresponsive flows (UDP) affect congestion- responsive flows (TCP)
 - Separate trunks for different types of flows
- □ Trunks should be end-to-end

• Trunk + No Trunk = No Trunk

Reference: P. Bhaniramka, et al, "*QoS using Traffic Engineering over MPLS: An Analysis*," IETF draft-bhani-mpls-te-anal-00.txt, March 1999, <u>http://www.cis.ohio-</u> <u>state.edu/~jain/teanal.htm</u>

The Ohio State University



- Traffic management is required for high-bandwidth delay product satellite networks
- Explicit Rate based traffic management in ATM is required for high bandwidth delay product networks
- MPLS appears to be the most promising approach for traffic engineering in IP networks

The Ohio State University

Our Contributions and Papers

All our contributions and papers are available on-line at <u>http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/</u>