



- Requirements for Success
- Economy of Scale
- High Performance
- Scalability
- MegaATM Technology

Networking: Failures vs Successes

- o 1980: Broadband (vs baseband)
- 1981: PBX (vs Ethernet)
- o 1984: ISDN (vs Modems)
- 1986: MAP/TOP (vs Ethernet)
- 1988: OSI (vs TCP/IP)
- 1991: DQDB
- 1992: XTP (vs TCP)

The Ohio State University

Requirements for Success

- Low Cost
- High Performance
- Killer Applications
- Timely completion
- Manageability
- Interoperability
- Coexistence with legacy LANs Existing infrastructure is more important than new technology

The Ohio State University

Challenge: Economy of Scale

- Technology is far ahead of the applications.
 Invention is becoming the mother of necessity.
 We have high speed fibers, but not enough video traffic.
- Low-cost is the primary motivator. Not necessity.
 ⇒ Buyer's market (Like \$99 airline tickets to Bahamas.) Why? vs Why not?
- Ten 100-MIPS computer are cheaper than one 1000-MIPS computer \Rightarrow Parallel computing, not supercomputing
- Ethernet was and still is cheaper than 10 one-Mbps links.
- No FDDI if it is 10 times as expensive as Ethernet.
- Q: Given ATM or 100 Mbps Ethernet at the same cost, which network will you buy?
 - A: Ethernet. Proven Technology.

The Ohio State University



Challenge: Scalability in Speed

- Queueing Theory:
 - Mean(response time) \propto cell-time
 - Var(resp time) \propto cell-time² + Var(cell time)
- Smaller cell \Rightarrow Lower delay jitter, also lower efficiency
- Delay jitter= fn(cell-time) not fn(cell-size)
- At higher speeds: Video still recorded at 30 frames/sec
 ⇒ No change in time jitter required
 ⇒ No change in cell time as in SONET
 6 ms = 48 bytes at 64 kbps but 900 kB at 1.2 Gbps
 HDTV Frame = 20 Mb = 50,000 Cells
- Switch cost \propto cell rate $\propto 1/(Cell size)$ 2 Gbps = 3 M cells/s \Rightarrow 3n MIPS

The Ohio State University

The MegaATM Technology

- Keep all good aspects of the ATM technology
 - Constant Cell Size
 - VP/VC Labels (instead of addresses)
 - Switching
- Cell Size ∝ Speed
 Cell time = Constant at all speeds (As in SONET)
- One-way delay ≥ Cell time × Number of hops Cell time ≈ Hundred µs
- Cell Time = 125 μ s \Rightarrow Cell Size = 1/64 MByte = 1/8 Mb \Rightarrow MegaATM
- At one Gigabit: 8000 Cells/second (instead of ??)
- HDTV frame = 20 Mb = 160 cells

The Ohio State University

What Do We Plan To Do?

- Multiplexing: Multilple lower speed cells to one higher speed cell
- Optimal size
- Effect on the message delay variation (instead of cell delay variation)
- Complete check of ATM technology for gigabit rate
- Modify current switch design
- Interfacing ATM networks to MegaATM networks The Ohio State University



- High speed networking iff economy of scale
- Delay requirements remain in ms even at gigabit speeds
- Nano-second cell time ⇒ increased cost with no perceptable difference to humans
- 125 µs cell \Rightarrow 1/64 MByte cells at 1 Gbps \Rightarrow MegaATM

The Ohio State University

