Performance and Traffic Management of Internet Protocols over ATM



Raj Jain

Raj Jain is now at Washington University in Saint Louis Jain@cse.wustl.edu

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/

The Ohio State University





- □ Why worry about traffic management?
- □ ATM Traffic Management
- □ TCP/IP over ATM
- □ How to improve TCP/IP over ATM?
- Our Contributions to ATM Research

Trends

- Communication is more critical than computing.Greeting cards contain more computing power than all computers before 1950.
- Last 10 years: Personal computing Next 10 years: Collaborative computing
- Past: Corporate networks (Intranets)
 Future: Intercorporate networks (Extranets)
- □ Internet: 0.3 M hosts in Jan 91 to 9.5 M by Jan 96 ⇒ More than 5 billion (world population) in 2003
- URL is more important than a company's phone number
 The Ohio State University



Why Worry About **Congestion?**

- Q: Congestion problem be solved when?:
- □ Memory becomes cheap (infinite memory)?
- □ Links become cheap (very high speed links)?
- Processors become cheap?



19.2 kb/s





- Congestion is a dynamic problem. Static solutions are not sufficient
- □ Traffic management is required even during underload
- □ Traffic management is important for continuous media

The Ohio State University





Traffic Mgmt Functions

- Connection Admission Control (CAC): Can quality of service be supported?
- □ Traffic Shaping: Limit burst length. Space-out cells.
- Usage Parameter Control (UPC): Monitor and control traffic at the network entrance.
- Network Resource Management: Scheduling, Queueing, resource reservation
- □ Priority Control: Cell Loss Priority (CLP)
- Selective Cell Discarding: Frame Discard
- Feedback Controls: Network tells the source to increase or decrease its load.

The Ohio State University



Classes of Service

- ABR (Available bit rate):
 Source follows network feedback.
 Max throughput with minimum loss.
- UBR (Unspecified bit rate):
 User sends whenever it wants. No feedback. No guarantee. Cells may be dropped during congestion.
- □ CBR (Constant bit rate): User declares required rate. Throughput, delay and delay variation guaranteed.
- □ VBR (Variable bit rate): Declare avg and max rate.

ort-VBR (Real-time): Conferencing.

Max delay guaranteed.

o nrt-VBR (non-real time): Stored video.

The Ohio State University





ERICA Switch Algorithm

- Each manufacturer has its own explicit rate switch algorithm
- Explicit Rate Indication for Congestion Avoidance (ERICA) is the most thoroughly analyzed algorithm
- Shown to be efficient, fair, fast transient response, able to handle bursty TCP traffic
- ERICA+ allows low delay even at 100% utilization and provides stability in the presence of high frequency VBR background traffic
- Being implemented by several vendors.
 Software implementation feasible.

The Ohio State University

Internet Protocols over ATM

- ATM Forum has designed ABR service for data
- □ UBR service provides no feedback or guarantees
- Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF) prefers UBR for TCP



Observations About ABR

- ABR performance depends upon the switch algorithm. Assuming *ERICA*.
 (Ref: http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/)
- □ No cell loss for *TCP* if switch has Buffers $\approx 4 \times RTT$.
- No loss for any number of TCP sources w 4 × RTT buffers.
- ❑ No loss even with VBR background. W/o VBR, 3×RTT buffers will do.
- **Under** many circumstances, $1 \times RTT$ buffers may do.
- Required buffers depend upon RTT, feedback delay, switch parameters, and characteristics of VBR.

The Ohio State University

Observations about UBR

- □ No loss for TCP if Buffers
 - $= \Sigma$ TCP receiver window
- □ Required buffering depends upon number of sources.
- □ Receiver window \ge RTT for full throughput
- Unfairness in many cases.
- Fairness can be improved by proper buffer allocation, selective drop policies, and scheduling.
- ❑ No starvation ⇒ Lower throughput shows up as increased file transfer times = Lower capacity
- **Conclusion**: UBR may be OK for: LAN, w/o VBR, Small number of sources, <u>AND</u> cheap implementation



TCP: Observations

- With enough buffers in the network,
 TCP can automatically fill any capacity.
- TCP performs best when there is NO packet loss.
 Even a single packet loss can reduce throughput considerably.
- Slow start limits the packet loss but loses considerable time.
- Bursty losses cause more throughput degradation than isolated losses.
- For each packet loss, much time is lost due to timer granularity.

Source Based Mechanisms

- □ Slow start
- □ Fast Retransmit and Recovery (FRR): Degrades perf.
- □ Modified FRR (New Reno)
- Selective Acknowledgement
- □ CLP Probe: Send packets with CLP=1
- □ Cell Pacing: Evenly space out cells
- □ Smaller Segments

Switch Based Mechanisms

- Partial Packet Discard (PPD):
 Discard when the buffers become full.
- Early Packet Discard (EPD):
 After a threshold, discard full packets.
- □ Selective Drop:
 - After threshold, drop only overloading circuits
- Guaranteed Rate: Reserve bandwidth for UBR
 Per-class scheduling, No new signaling.
- Guaranteed Frame Rate

ATM Research at OSU

- **Traffic Management:**
 - ERICA+ Switch Algorithm
 - Internet Protocols over ATM
 - Multi-class Scheduling
- Voice/Video over ATM
- Performance Testing
- □ ATM Test bed: OCARnet



- Ensures *no-starvation* for all classes even under overload.
- Each class has an *allocation* = Guaranteed under overload
- □ Some classes need minimum delay \Rightarrow have *priority*.



Voice/Video over ATM

□ Speech suppression

 \Rightarrow Unused bandwidth can be used by data Cannot be used by voice.

- Hierarchical compression of Video
 Different users can see different bandwidth video
- Multipoint ABR
- **Real-time ABR**

Real-Time ABR

- Compressed video is VBR.VBR is subject to connection denial.
- □ Compression parameters can be adjusted dynamically
- In situations, where reduced service is preferable over connection denial, such as in tactical environments, Video over ABR is preferable over no Video.
- ABR divides the available bandwidth fairly among contending connections
- □ By proper control, ABR can be designed to reduce delay ⇒ Real-time ABR

OSU National ATM Benchmarking Lab

- Started a new effort at ATM Forum in October 1995
- Defining a new standard for <u>frame based</u> performance metrics and measurement methodologies
- We have a measurement lab with the latest ATM testing equipment. Funded by NSF and State of Ohio.
- The benchmark scripts can be run by any manufacturer/user in our lab or theirs.
- □ Modeled after Harvard benchmarking lab for routers

OCARnet

Ohio Computing and Communications ATM Research Network

□ Nine-Institution consortium lead by OSU

- Ohio State University
- Ohio Super Computer Center
- OARnet
- Cleveland State University
- Kent State University
- University of Dayton
- University of Cincinnati
- Wright State University
- University of Toledo

The Ohio State University

KSU

OAR

OSU 622 M

vBNS

Cleveland

155 M

OSC

Raj Jain

UT

WSU

UD

UC



- □ Traffic management is the key for quality of service
- □ ATM has sophisticated traffic management
- ABR pushes congestion to edges.
 UBR performance can be improved.
- OSU is leading ATM Traffic and performance management research.

References

- All our ATM Forum contributions and papers are available on-line at <u>http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/</u>
 Specially see "Recent Hot Papers" and "References on Recent Advances in Networking"
- □ ATM Forum, <u>http://www.atmforum.com</u>

