

# User Authentication Protocols



Raj Jain

Washington University in Saint Louis  
Saint Louis, MO 63130

[Jain@cse.wustl.edu](mailto:Jain@cse.wustl.edu)

Audio/Video recordings of this lecture are available at:

<http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse571-17/>



1. Remote User Authentication Using Secret Keys
2. Kerberos V4
3. Kerberos V5
4. Remote User Authentication Using Public Keys
5. Federated Identity Management

These slides are based partly on Lawrie Brown's slides supplied with William Stallings's book "Cryptography and Network Security: Principles and Practice," 7<sup>th</sup> Ed, 2017.

# User Authentication

- ❑ Four means of authenticating user's identity:  
Based on something the individual
  1. Knows - e.g., password, PIN
  2. Possesses - e.g., key, token, smartcard
  3. Is (static biometrics) - e.g., fingerprint, retina
  4. Does (dynamic biometrics) - e.g., voice, sign
- ❑ Can use alone or combined. All have issues
- ❑ May be one-way or mutual
- ❑ Key issues are
  - Confidentiality – to protect session keys
  - Timeliness – to prevent replay attacks

Ref: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mutual\\_authentication](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mutual_authentication)


# Replay Attacks

- ❑ A valid signed message is copied and later resent. Examples:
  - Simple replay: No timestamp
  - Repetition that can be logged: time stamped message within valid time
  - Repetition that cannot be detected: Original message replaced with a new message
  - Backward replay without modification: Source's message back to the source
- ❑ Countermeasures include
  - Use of sequence numbers (generally impractical)
  - Timestamps (needs synchronized clocks)
  - Challenge/response (using unique nonce)

Ref: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Replay\\_attack](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Replay_attack), [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reflection\\_attack](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Reflection_attack)

# Needham Schroeder Protocol

- ❑ Everyone has a shared secret key with KDC
- ❑ KDC generates session keys




- ❑ If someone can crack one  $K_s$ , he can replay 3, block 4. Then masquerade as A.

Ref: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Needham%E2%80%93Schroeder\\_protocol](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Needham%E2%80%93Schroeder_protocol)

# Denning's Modification


- Include timestamps



- Needs synchronized clocks.


# Corrected Protocol

- Include timestamps and nonce.



# One-Way Authentication for Email

- B is not up, when A wants to send email



- Only B can read the message.
- Authenticates that A sent the message.

Ref: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/E-mail\\_authentication](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/E-mail_authentication)





# Overview of Kerberos

- ❑ Allows two users (or client and server) to authenticate each other over an insecure network
- ❑ Named after the Greek mythological character *Kerberos* (or *Cerberus*), known in Greek mythology as being the *monstrous three-headed guard dog of Hades*
- ❑ Designed originally for Project Athena at M.I.T.
- ❑ Implementation freely available from M.I.T.
- ❑ V5 is an Internet Standard (RFC 4120)
- ❑ Windows 2000/XP/Server 2003/Vista use Kerberos as their default authentication mechanism
- ❑ Apple's Mac OS X clients and servers also use Kerberos
- ❑ Apache HTTP Server, Eudora, NFS, OpenSSH, rcp (remote copy), rsh, X window system allow using Kerberos for authentication.

# Overview (Cont)

- ❑ Protects against eavesdropping and replay attacks
- ❑ Uses a trusted third party (Authentication Server) and symmetric key cryptography
- ❑ First 3 versions are no longer in use.
- ❑ V5 is a generalization of V4 with several problems fixed and additional features.
- ❑ It is easier to understand V5 if you know V4
- ❑ Learn V4's features and mistakes

Ref: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kerberos\\_\(protocol\)](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Kerberos_(protocol))

# Kerberos V4 Message Exchange



Client C

1. Hi! Jain@cse would like to use the network today

$ID_C \parallel AD_C \parallel TS_1$   
*User name* ↗ ↖ *Network Address of Computer*



Authentication Server A

2. Here is a day pass for jain@cse

$E(K_C, [K_{CG} \parallel ID_G \parallel TS_2 \parallel Lifetime_2 \parallel Ticket_G])$   
 $Ticket_G = E(K_G, [K_{CG} \parallel ID_C \parallel AD_C \parallel ID_G \parallel TS_2 \parallel Lifetime_2])$

3. Hi! Jain@cse would like to communicate with PrintServer. Here is his day pass.

$ID_V \parallel Ticket_G \parallel Authenticator_C$   
 $Ticket_G = E(K_G, [K_{CG} \parallel ID_C \parallel AD_C \parallel ID_G \parallel TS_2 \parallel Lifetime_2])$   
 $Authenticator_C = E(K_{CG}, [ID_C \parallel AD_C \parallel TS_3])$



Ticket Granting Server G

4. Here is the ticket and session key for jain@cse to communicate with PrintServer.

$E(K_{CG}, [K_{CV} \parallel ID_V \parallel TS_4 \parallel Ticket_V])$   
 $Ticket_V = E(K_V, [K_{CV} \parallel ID_C \parallel AD_C \parallel ID_V \parallel TS_4 \parallel Lifetime_4])$

5. Hi jain@cse wants to communicate with you. Here is his ticket.

$Ticket_V \parallel Authenticator_C$   
 $Ticket_V = E(K_V, [K_{CV} \parallel ID_C \parallel AD_C \parallel ID_V \parallel TS_4 \parallel Lifetime_4])$   
 $Authenticator_C = E(K_{CV}, [ID_C \parallel AD_C \parallel TS_5])$



Server V

6. Perfect. Let us use the session key in your ticket for mutual authentication.

$E(K_{CV}, [TS_5+1])$

# Kerberos V4 Concepts

- ❑ **Authentication Server (AS):** Physically secure node with complete authentication database
- ❑ **Principal:** Authentication Server A, Ticket Granting Server G, Client (Computer) C, User (Human) U, Server V
- ❑ **Ticket Granting Server (TGS)**
- ❑ **Keys:**  $K_{cg}$ ,  $K_{cv}$ ,  $K_{ag}$ ,  $K_u$ ,  $K_{gv}$
- ❑ **Ticket:** Encrypted information. All current V4 implementations use DES.
- ❑ **Ticket Granting Ticket (TGT):** Allows user to get tickets from TGS

# Concepts (Cont)

- ❑ **Authenticator**: Name and time encrypted with a session key. Sent from client to server with the ticket and from server to client.
- ❑ **Credentials**: Session key + Ticket
- ❑ User enters a name and password. Client converts the password to a key  $K_u$ .
- ❑ TGT and the session key are good for a limited time (21 hours).


# Key Design Principles

1. The network is open  $\Rightarrow$  Need a proper secret key to understand the messages received (except message 1, which is in clear)
2. Every client and server has a pre-shared secret with the AS.
3. AS and Ticket Granting Server (TGS) are logically separate but share a secret key
4. Both AS and TGS are stateless and do not need to remember the permissions granted. All the state is in the tickets. (Day pass is just a longer term ticket)
5. Longer term secrets are used less frequently. Short term secrets are created and destroyed after a limited use.

Ref: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ticket\\_Granteeing\\_Ticket](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ticket_Granteeing_Ticket)


# Inter-Realm Authentication

- Realm: One AS and its clients and servers



# Privacy and Integrity

- ❑ Kerberos V4 uses **an** extension to CBC
- ❑ With CBC, only two blocks are affected by a change.
- ❑ **Propagating Cipher Block Chaining** (PCBC) causes all blocks to change.



Source: Wikipedia

Ref: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Block\\_cipher\\_mode\\_of\\_operation](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Block_cipher_mode_of_operation)

Washington University in St. Louis

<http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse571-17/>

©2017 Raj Jain



# Kerberos V4 Issues

1. Names, Instance, Realm (non standard). Limited to 40 Char.
2. Only DES encryption. Not strong.
3. Only IPv4 addresses. No IPv6 or ISO CLNP addresses.
4. Byte ordering indicated in the message (ASN.1 better)
5. Maximum life time limited to 21 hours: 8 bit life time in units of 5 minutes
6. No delegation. A server cannot access another server on behalf of the client.
7. Inter-realm authentication limited to pairs  $\Rightarrow N^2$  pairs
8. Double encryption of the ticket:  $K_{\text{client}}[K_{\text{server}}[\dots]]$
9. Propagating Cipher Block Chaining (PCBC) does not detect interchange of cipher blocks
10. No subsession keys for long sessions
11. Brute force password attack

# ASN.1

- ❑ Abstract Syntax Notation One
- ❑ Joint ISO and ITU-T standard, Original 1984, latest 2008.
- ❑ Used to specify protocol data structures
- ❑ X.400 electronic mail, X.500 and LDAP directory services, H.323 VOIP, SNMP, etc use ASN.1
- ❑ Pre-Defined: 1=Boolean, 2=Integer, 3=Bit String, 4=Octet String, 5=NULL, 6=Object Identifier, 9=Real
- ❑ Constructed: SEQUENCE (structure), SEQUENCE OF (lists), CHOICE, ...

Ref: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abstract\\_Syntax\\_Notation\\_One](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Abstract_Syntax_Notation_One)

Washington University in St. Louis

<http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse571-17/>

©2017 Raj Jain

# ASN.1 Example

```
AddressType ::= SEQUENCE {  
  name      OCTET STRING,  
  number    INTEGER,  
  street    OCTET STRING,  
  city      OCTET STRING,  
  state     OCTET STRING,  
  zipCode   INTEGER  
}
```

# Encoding Rules

- ❑ ASN.1 only specifies the structure.
- ❑ Encoding rules indicate how to encode the structure in to bits on the wire.
- ❑ Examples: Basic Encoding Rules (BER), Packed Encoding Rules (PER), XML Encoding rules (XER), Distinguished Encoding Rules (DER), ...
- ❑ In BER, everything is encoded as Tag-Length-Value.

# BER Example

□ John Miller, 126 Main Street, Big City, MO 63130

30	2F	04	0B	4A	6F	68	6E	20	4D	69	6C	6C	65	72
Seq.	Len	Oct Str	Len	J	o	h	n		M	i	l	l	e	r

02	01	7E
Int	Len	126

04	0B	4D	61	69	6E	20	53	74	72	65	65	74
Oct str	Len	M	a	i	n		S	t	r	e	e	t

04	08	42	69	67	20	43	69	74	79
Oct Str	Len	B	i	g		C	i	t	y

04	02	4D	4F	02	02	F6	9A	0
Oct Str	Len	M	O	Int	len	63130		Null

# Kerberos V5

1. Names, Instance, Realm have ASN.1 names. Can be any length.
2. Any encryption. Encryption scheme coded.
3. Any type of addresses. Address type specified.
4. ASN.1 Byte ordering
5. Explicit Start time and End time. Can have arbitrary life times.
6. Delegation possible by requesting proxy able tickets.
7. Inter-realm authentication hierarchy
8. No Double encryption of the ticket
9. Explicit integrity mechanism detects block interchange
10. Subsession keys for long sessions
11. Password attack made difficult by a pre-authentication mechanism

# Kerberos V5 Messages



Client C

1. Hi! Jain@cse would like to use the network today  
 Options || ID<sub>C</sub> || Realm<sub>C</sub> || ID<sub>G</sub> || Times || Nonce<sub>1</sub>  
 Times = {Start time, Expiration time, Renewable till}



Authentication Server C

2. Here is a long-term pass for jain@cse

Realm<sub>C</sub> || ID<sub>C</sub> || Ticket<sub>G</sub> || E(K<sub>C</sub>, [K<sub>CG</sub> || Times || Nonce<sub>1</sub> || Realm<sub>G</sub> || ID<sub>G</sub>])  
 Ticket<sub>G</sub> = E(K<sub>G</sub>, [Flags || K<sub>CG</sub> || Realm<sub>C</sub> || ID<sub>C</sub> || AD<sub>C</sub> || Times])

3. Hi! Jain@cse would like to communicate with PrintServer. Attached is his day pass.

Options || ID<sub>V</sub> || Times || Nonce<sub>2</sub> || Ticket<sub>G</sub> || Authenticator<sub>C</sub>  
 Ticket<sub>G</sub> = E(K<sub>G</sub>, [Flags || K<sub>CG</sub> || Realm<sub>C</sub> || ID<sub>C</sub> || AD<sub>C</sub> || Times])  
 Authenticator<sub>C</sub> = E(K<sub>CG</sub>, [ID<sub>C</sub> || Realm<sub>C</sub> || TS<sub>1</sub>])



Ticket Granting Server G

4. Here is the ticket and session key for jain@cse to communicate with PrintServer.

Realm<sub>C</sub> || ID<sub>C</sub> || Ticket<sub>V</sub> || E(K<sub>CG</sub>, [K<sub>CV</sub> || Times || Nonce<sub>2</sub> || Realm<sub>V</sub> || ID<sub>V</sub>])  
 Ticket<sub>V</sub> = E(K<sub>V</sub>, [Flags || K<sub>CV</sub> || Realm<sub>C</sub> || ID<sub>C</sub> || AD<sub>C</sub> || Times])

5. Hi jain@cse wants to communicate with you. Here is his ticket and a subsession key.

Options || Ticket<sub>V</sub> || Authenticator<sub>C</sub>  
 Authenticator<sub>C</sub> = E(K<sub>CV</sub>, [ID<sub>C</sub> || Realm<sub>C</sub> || TS<sub>2</sub> || Subsession key || Starting Seq#])



Server V

6. Perfect. Let us use the session key that was in your ticket for mutual authentication.

E(K<sub>CV</sub>, [TS<sub>2</sub> || Subsession key || Starting Seq#])


# Kerberos V5 Flags

- ❑ **Initial:** Ticket issued by AS (not by TGT)
- ❑ **Pre-Authent:** The client was pre-authenticated by AS before a ticket was issued
- ❑ **HW-Authent:** Pre-authenticated using hardware (e.g., smart card) possessed solely by name client
- ❑ **Renewable:** TGS can issue a new ticket that expires at a later date. Allows long life time.
- ❑ **May-Postdate:** TGS can issue a post-dated ticket
- ❑ **Postdated:** This ticket is postdated. Check authentication time field for original authentication time




# Kerberos V5 Flags (Cont)

- ❑ **Invalid:** This ticket is invalid and must be validated by TGS before use. Used with postdated tickets.
- ❑ **Proxiable:** TGS can issue a new service granting ticket with a different network address
- ❑ **Proxy:** Indicates that this ticket is a proxy
- ❑ **Forwardable:** TGS can issue a ticket with a different address for use in a different realm.
- ❑ **Forwarded:** This ticket has been forwarded or was issued based on a forwardable TGT.  $x@A$  can get to  $y@C$  via B. List of all transited realms is put in the ticket.



# Remote User Authentication Using Public Keys

- KDC can be used to provide public keys for mutual authentication



# Remote User Authentication Using Public Keys (Cont)

One-Way Authentication: Required for Email

- ❑ Can use public keys for encryption and authentication
- ❑ Long message  $\Rightarrow$  Computation complexity
- ❑ For encryption, better to use a secret key and send the secret key using public key

$$A \rightarrow B: E(PU_B, K_S) || E(K_S, M)$$

- ❑ For authentication, use a digital signature

$$A \rightarrow B: M || E(PR_A, H(M))$$

Note: Someone else can replace the signature  
 $\Rightarrow$  Encrypt the message and signature:

$$A \rightarrow B: E(PU_B, [M || E(PR_A, H(M))])$$

- ❑ Recipient B must know A's public key  
 $\Rightarrow$  A can send its certificate with the message


# Federated Identity Management

- ❑ Generalization of **Single-Sign on**
- ❑ User is authenticated once and then can use resources at other partner organizations across multiple security domains
- ❑ Examples:
  - Employees accessing purchasing sites
  - Health insurance providers
  - Purchasing sites to shipping sites
- ❑ Identity Management is more general than authentication
  - Authentication, authorization, accounting, provisioning, workflow automation, delegated administration, password synchronization, self-service password reset, federation
- ❑ Kerberos contains many of these elements

Ref: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Federated\\_identity](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Federated_identity), [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Federated\\_identity\\_management](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Federated_identity_management),  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Identity\\_management](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Identity_management), [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Identity\\_management\\_systems](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Category:Identity_management_systems)

# Federated Identity Operation

1. End user authenticates with the identity provider, e.g., Facebook
2. Administrator associates attributes with each user or each role
3. Identity provider passes the id, attributes, and authentication to service provider
4. Service provider opens session with the user




Ref: [http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Identity\\_Assurance\\_Framework](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Identity_Assurance_Framework)

# Standards for Federated ID Management

- ❑ Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML)
  - XML-based language for exchange of security information between online business partners
- ❑ Part of OASIS (Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information Standards) standards for federated identity management

Ref: <http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SAML>,  
[http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Web\\_Single\\_Sign-On\\_Metadata\\_Exchange\\_Protocol](http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Web_Single_Sign-On_Metadata_Exchange_Protocol)  
<http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/OpenID>

# Summary



- ❑ Kerberos is a symmetric key authentication system. Uses Authentication Server and ticket granting server.
- ❑ Kerberos V4 is widely deployed. V5 generalizes the design. Generalized ASN.1 names, General encryption, addresses, names. Allows delegation, post-dated tickets, renewals, Inter-realm authentication
- ❑ Federated identity management allows users to authenticate once and use resources on other partner organizations.
- ❑ Security Assertion Markup Language (SAML) is used to pass on security tokens for federated identity management.

# Homework 15

- A. In Kerberos V4, when Bob receives a Ticket from Alice:
- How does he know that it is genuine?
  - How does he know that it came from Alice?
  - When Alice receives a reply, how does she know that it is not a replay of an earlier message from Bob?
  - What does the Ticket contain that allows Alice and Bob to talk securely

Limit your answer to one sentence each.

- B. What would be the BER encoding of {firstname “Ed”} {weight 259}? ASN.1 type for octet strings is 4 and for integers it is 2.



# Lab 15

- ❑ In this lab, you will learn how to hide text messages in an image.
- ❑ From your USB, boot to live Kali
- ❑ Install steghide
- ❑ Create a .txt file with your name as text
- ❑ Download any .jpeg file from the internet
- ❑ Use steghide with appropriate options to hide .txt file in .jpeg file
  - Use steghide --help to find out the options
- ❑ Move the jpeg file to another directory
- ❑ Use steghide to extract the text from the jpeg file
- ❑ Submit screenshot of the text along with all the commands you used

# Acronyms

- ❑ AD Address
- ❑ AS Authentication Server
- ❑ ASN Abstract Syntax Notation
- ❑ ASN.1 Abstract Syntax Notation One
- ❑ BER Basic Encoding Rules
- ❑ CBC Cipher Block Chaining
- ❑ CG Between Authentication Server C and Ticket Granting Server G
- ❑ CLNP Connectionless Network Protocol
- ❑ CV Between C (Authentication Server) and Server V
- ❑ DER Distinguished Encoding Rules
- ❑ DES Data Encryption Standard
- ❑ HTTP HyperText Transfer Protocol
- ❑ HW Hardware
- ❑ ID Identifier
- ❑ IDA Identifier of A
- ❑ IPv4 Internet Protocol V4

# Acronyms (Cont)

- ❑ IPv6 Internet Protocol V6
- ❑ ISO Interational Standard Organization
- ❑ ITU International Telecommunications Union
- ❑ KDC Key Distribution Center
- ❑ LDAP Lightweight Directory Access Protocol
- ❑ NA Nonce of A
- ❑ NFS Network File Server
- ❑ OASIS Standards Organization for the Advancement of Structured Information
- ❑ PCBC Propagating Cipher Block Chaining
- ❑ PER Packed Encoding Rules
- ❑ PIN Personal identification number
- ❑ PR Private Key
- ❑ PU Public Key
- ❑ RFC Request for Comment
- ❑ RSO Reduced sign-on
- ❑ SAML Security Assertion Markup Language

# Acronyms (Cont)

- ❑ SNMP Simple Network Management Protocol
- ❑ SSO Single sign-on
- ❑ TGS Ticket Granting Server
- ❑ TGT Ticket Granting Ticket
- ❑ TS Time Stamp
- ❑ VOIP Voice Over IP
- ❑ XER XML Encoding rules
- ❑ XML EXtended Markup Language

# Scan This to Download These Slides



Raj Jain

<http://rajjain.com>

# Related Modules



CSE571S: Network Security (Spring 2017),  
<http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse571-17/index.html>

CSE473S: Introduction to Computer Networks (Fall 2016),  
<http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse473-16/index.html>



Wireless and Mobile Networking (Spring 2016),  
<http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse574-16/index.html>

CSE571S: Network Security (Fall 2014),  
<http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse571-14/index.html>



Audio/Video Recordings and Podcasts of  
Professor Raj Jain's Lectures,  
<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCN4-5wzNP9-ruOzQMs-8NUw>