Modes of **Operation Operation**

Raj Jain Washington University in Saint Louis Saint Louis, MO 63130 Jain@cse.wustl.edu

Audio/Video recordings of this lecture are available at :

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-06/

Washington University in St. Louis CSE571S ©2007 Raj Jain

- 1. Modes of Operation: ECB, CBC, OFB, CFB, CTR
- 2. Privacy+Integrity
- 3. DES Attacks
- 4. 3DES and its design

Ref: Chapter 4 of textbook.

Modes of Operation Modes of Operation

- 1. Electronic Code Book (ECB)
- 2. Cipher Block Chaining (CBC)
- 3. Cipher Feedback Mode (CFB)
- 4. Output Feedback Mode (OFB)
- 5. Counter Mode (CTR)

1. Electronic Code Book (ECB) 1. Electronic Code Book (ECB)

\Box Each block is independently encoded

 \Box Problem:

- " Identical Input [⇒] Identical Output
- > Can insert encoded blocks

CBC (Cont) CBC (Cont)

□ Attack 1: Change selected bits in encrypted message

 \triangleright Garbled text not detected by computers

- \Box Attack 2: Attacker knows plain text and cipher text. Can change plain text.
	- > 32-bit CRC may not detect. 64-bit CRC may be better.

k-Bit Output Feedback Mode (OFB)

 \Box IV is used to generate a stream of blocks

 \Box Stream is used a one-time pad and XOR'ed to plain text

OFB (Cont) OFB (Cont)

- **Q** Advantages:
	- > Stream can be generated in advance
	- \triangleright 1-bit error in transmission affects only one bit of plain text
	- > Message can be any size
	- > All messages are immediately transmitted
- \Box Disadvantage: Plain text can be trivially modified
- \Box Only left-most k-bits of the block can be used

k-Bit Cipher Feedback Mode (CFB) Bit Cipher Feedback Mode (CFB)

 \Box Key Stream blocks use previous block as IV

 \Box k-bits of encoded streams are used to generate next block

CFB (Cont) CFB (Cont)

- \Box Stream cannot be generated in advance.
- \Box In practice, k=8 bit or 64 bit
- **If** a byte is added or deleted, that byte and next 8 bytes will be affected
- **□** No block rearranging effect

Counter Mode (CTR) Counter Mode (CTR) If the same IV and key is used again, \Box \triangleright Xor of two encrypted messages = Xor of plain text **□** IV is incremented and used to generated one-time pad IV $IV+1$ $IV+2$ $K \longrightarrow F$ $K \longrightarrow F$ $K \longrightarrow F$ $|m_2|$ $|m_3|$ $m₁$ ⊕ \oplus $c₁$ c_2 c_3 Advantage: Pre-computed \Box Washington University in St. Louis CSE571S ©2007 Raj Jain 6-12

Message Authentication Code (MAC)

- **Q Cryptographic checksum or Message Integrity Code** (MIC)
- **Q CBC** residue is sent with plain text

Weak and Semi-Weak Keys

- **□** Recall that 56-bit DES key is divided in two halves and permuted to produce C0 and D0
- **□ Keys are weak if C0 and D0 (after permutation) result** in:
	- \triangleright All 0's
	- \triangleright All 1's
	- > Alternating 10 or 01
- \Box Four possibilities for each half \Rightarrow 16 week keys

Privacy + Integrity Privacy + Integrity

- \Box Can't send encrypted message and CBC residue.
- 1. Use strong CRC
- 2. Use CBC residue with another key.

- \blacktriangleright The 2nd CBC can be weak, as in Kerberos.
- \blacktriangleright Kerberos uses K+F0F0…F0F0 as the 2nd key.

Privacy + Integrity (Cont) Privacy + Integrity (Cont)

- 3. Use hash with another key. Faster than encryption.
- 4. Use Offset Code Book (OCB), http://www.cs.ucdavis.edu/~rogaway/papers/draftkrovetz-ocb-00.txt

MISTY1

- \Box Block cipher with 128 bit keys
- **□** With 4 to 8 rounds. Each round consists of 3 subrounds.
- **□** Secure against linear and differential cryptanalysis
- Named after the inventors: Matsui Mitsuru, Ichikawa Tetsuya, Sorimachi Toru, Tokita Toshio, and Yamagishi Atsuhiro
- **□ A.k.a. Mitsubishi Improved Security Technology**
- **Q** Recommended for Japanese government use. Patented
- **D** Described in RFC 2994
- Ref: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/MISTY1

KASUMI

- **□** Selected by 3GPP
- \Box 64-bit block cipher with 128 bit key
- \Box A variant of MISTY1
- **□** Needs limited computing power
- \Box Works in real time (voice)
- **□ KASUMI** with counter mode and output feedback modes. This algorithm is known as f8.

GSM Encryption GSM Encryption

- \Box Three stream ciphers: A5/1, A5/2, A5/3
- \Box Description of A5/1 and A5/2 were never released to public but were reverse engineered and broken
- \Box A5/3 is based KASUMI

DES Attacks DES Attacks

- □ 1997 RSA Lab set a prize of \$10k
- **□ Curtin and Dolske used combined power of Internet** computers to find the key using a brute force method.
- \Box 1998 Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF) showed that a \$250k machine could find any DES key in max 1 week. Avg 3 days.
- \Box 2001 EFF combined the cracker with Internet to crack DES in 1 day.
- \Box Differential Cryptanalysis and Linear cryptanalysis can be used to crack DES
- **Q NIST recommended 3DES**

3DES

- $C = e_{k1}(d_{k2}(e_{k3}(m)))$
- \Box m = d_{k3}(e_{k2}(d_{k1}(c)))
- \Box k1 and k2 should be independent but k3 can be independent or k3=k1
- \Box k3 = k1 results in 112 bit strength

Key 3DES Design Decisions Key 3DES Design Decisions

- 1. 3 stages
- 2. Two keys
- 3. E-D-E
- 4. CBC Outside

1. Why not 2DES? 1. Why not 2DES?

- \Box $ek1(ek2(m))$
- \Box 2DES is only twice as secure as DES (57-bit key)
- \Box Suppose you know (m1,c1), (m2,c2), ...
- \Box c1=ek1(ek2(m1))
- \Box dk1(c1)=ek2(m1)
- \Box k1 and k2 can be found by preparing two 2^56 entry tables
- \Box Table 1 contains all possible encryptions of m1.
- \Box Table 2 contains all possible decryptions of c1.
- \Box Sort both tables.
- \Box Find matching entries \Rightarrow potential (k1,k2) pairs
- \Box Try these pairs on $(m2, c2)$, ...

2. Why Only Two Keys? 2. Why Only Two Keys?

- \Box k3=k1 is as secure as k3\=k1
- \Box Given (m,c) pairs, it is easy to find 3 keys such that $ek1(dk2(ek3(m)))=r$
- \Box But finding the keys when k3=k1 is difficult.

3. Why E 3. Why E-D-E and not E E and not E-E-E?

\Box E and D are both equally strong encryptions.

- \Box With k1=k2, EDE = E
	- \Rightarrow a 3DES system can talk to DES by setting k1=k2

4. Why CBC outside? 4. Why CBC outside?

- 1. Bit Flipping:
	- \triangleright CBC Outside: One bit flip in the cipher text causes that block of plain text and next block garbled \Rightarrow Self-Synchronizing
	- \triangleright CBC Inside: One bit flip in the cipher text causes more blocks to be garbled.
- 2. Pipelining:
	- \triangleright More pipelining possible in CBC inside implementation.
- 3. Flexibility of Change:
	- \triangleright CBC outside: Can easily replace CBC with other feedback modes (ECB, CFB, ...)

- 1. To encrypt long messages, we need to use different modes of operation
- 2. Five modes of operation: ECB, CBC, OFG, CFB, CTR
- 3. Privacy + Integrity: Use CRC or CBC residue
- 4. 3DES uses two keys and E-D-E sequence and CBC on the outside.

Washington University in St. Louis CSE571S ©2007 Raj Jain

References References

- 1. C. Kaufman, R. Perlman, and M. Speciner, "Network Security: Private Communication in a Public World," 2nd Ed, Prentice Hall, 2002, ISBN: 0130460192
- 2.William Stallings, "Cryptography and Network Security," 4th Ed, Prentice-Hall, 2006, ISBN:013187316
- 3. A. W. Dent and C. J. Mitchell, "User's Guide to Cryptography and Standards," Artech House, 2005, ISBN:1580535305
- 4. N. Ferguson and B. Schneier, "Practical Cryptography," Wiley, 2003, ISBN:047122894X

Homework 6 Homework 6

- **□** Read chapter 4 of the textbook
- **Q** Submit answer to Exercise 4.4
- **□ Exercise 4.4**: What is a practical method of finding a triple of keys that maps a *given* plain text to a given cipher text using EDE?
	- Hint: 1. You have only one (m, c) pair
	- 2. Worst case is to have 3 nested loops for trying all k1, k2, k3 \Rightarrow 2⁶⁴ \times 2⁶⁴ \times 2⁶⁴ = 2¹⁹² steps but requires storing only 1 intermediate result.
	- 3. How can you reduce the number of steps using more storage for intermediate results.