Introduction to Time Series Analysis Raj Jain Washington University in Saint Louis

Raj Jain Washington University in Saint Louis Saint Louis, MO 63130 Jain@cse.wustl.edu

Audio/Video recordings of this lecture are available at:

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/

©2015 Raj Jain



- □ What is a time series?
- □ Autoregressive Models
- Moving Average Models
- □ Integrated Models
- □ ARMA, ARIMA, SARIMA, FARIMA models
- Note: These slides are based on R. Jain, "The Art of Computer Systems Performance Analysis," 2nd Edition (in preparation).

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/

©2015 Raj Jain

Stochastic Processes

- Ordered sequence of random observations
- **Example:**
 - > Number of virtual machines in a server
 - > Number of page faults
 - > Number of queries over time
- Analysis Technique: Time Series Analysis
- Long-range dependence and self-similarity in such processes can invalidate many previous results

Stochastic Processes: Key Questions

- 1. What is a time series?
- 2. What are different types of time series models?
- 3. How to fit a model to a series of measured data?
- 4. What is a stationary time series?
- 5. Is it possible to model a series that is not stationary?
- 6. How to model a series that has a periodic or seasonal behavior as is common in video streaming?

Stochastic Processes : Key Questions (Cont)

- 1. What are heavy-tailed distributions and why they are important?
- 2. How to check if a sample of observations has a heavy tail?
- 3. What are self-similar processes?
- 4. What are short-range and long-range dependent processes?
- 5. Why long-range dependence invalidates many conclusions based on previous statistical methods?
- 6. How to check if a sample has a long-range dependence?

What is a Time Series

- Time series = Stochastic Process
- □ A sequence of observations over time.
- □ Examples:
 - > Price of a stock over successive days
 - > Sizes of video frames
 - > Sizes of packets over network
 - Sizes of queries to a database system
 - > Number of active virtual machines in a cloud
- Goal: Develop models of such series for resource allocation and improving user experience.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/

©2015 Raj Jain



Autoregressive Models

- □ Predict the variable as a linear regression of the immediate past value: $\hat{x}_t = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1}$
- □ Here, \hat{x}_t is the best estimate of x_t given the past history $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}\}$
- □ Even though we know the complete past history, we assume that x_t can be predicted based on just x_{t-1} .
- □ Auto-Regressive = Regression on Self
- Error: $e_t = x_t \hat{x}_t = x_t a_0 a_1 x_{t-1}$
- Model: $x_t = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1} + e_t$
- □ Best a_0 and $a_1 \Rightarrow$ minimize the sum of square of errors

Washington University in St. Louis

Example 37.1
The number of disk access for 50 database queries were measured to be: 73,
67, 83, 53, 78, 88, 57, 1, 29, 14, 80, 77, 19, 14, 41, 55, 74, 98, 84, 88, 78,
15, 66, 99, 80, 75, 124, 103, 57, 49, 70, 112, 107, 123, 79, 92, 89, 116, 71,
68, 59, 84, 39, 33, 71, 83, 77, 37, 27, 30.
For this data:
$$\sum_{\substack{t=2\\50}} x_t = 3313 \sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t-1} = 3356$$
$$\sum_{t=2}^{50} x_t x_{t-1} = 248147 \sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t-1}^2 = 272102 \quad n = 49$$
$$a_0 = \frac{\sum x_t \sum x_{t-1}^2 - \sum x_{t-1} \sum x_t x_{t-1}}{n \sum x_{t-1}^2 - (\sum x_{t-1})^2}$$
$$= \frac{3313 \times 272102 - 3356 \times 248147}{49 \times 272102 - 3356^2} = 33.181$$

Washington University in St. Louis

Example 37.1 (Cont)

$$a_{1} = \frac{n \sum x_{t} x_{t-1} - \sum x_{t} \sum x_{t-1}}{n \sum x_{t-1}^{2} - (\sum x_{t-1})^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{49 \times 248147 - 3313 \times 3356}{49 \times 272102 - 3356^{2}} = 0.503$$

□ The AR(1) model for the series is: $x_t = 33.181 + 0.503x_{t-1} + e_t$

□ The predicted value of x_2 given x_1 is:

 $\hat{x}_2 = a_0 + a_1 x_1 = 33.181 + 0.503 \times 73 = 69.880$

□ The actual observed value of is 67. Therefore, the prediction error is:

$$e_2 = x_2 - \hat{x}_2 = 67 - 69.880 = -2.880$$

□ Sum of squared errors SSE = 32995.57 Washington University in St. Louis <u>http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/</u> ©2015 Raj Jain

Exercise 37.1

Fit an AR(1) model to the following sample of 50 observations: 83, 86, 46, 34, 130, 109, 100, 81, 84, 148, 93, 76, 69, 40, 50, 56, 63, 104, 35, 55, 124, 52, 55, 81, 33, 76, 83, 90, 94, 37, -2, 33, 105, 133, 78, 50, 115, 149, 98, 110, 25, 82, 59, 80, 43, 58, 88, 78, 55, 68. Find a₀, a₁ and the minimum SSE.

Stationary Process

□ Each realization of a random process will be different:



- \Box x is function of the realization *i* (space) and time *t*: x(i, t)
- \Box We can study the distribution of x_t in space.
- □ Each x_t has a distribution, e.g., Normal $f(x_t) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-(x_t \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$
- □ If this same distribution (normal) with the same parameters μ , σ applies to $x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, ...,$ we say x_t is stationary.

Washington University in St. Louis

Stationary Process (Cont)

- ❑ Stationary = Standing in time
 ⇒ Distribution does not change with time.
- Similarly, the joint distribution of x_t and x_{t-k} depends only on k not on t.
- □ The joint distribution of $x_t, x_{t-1}, ..., x_{t-k}$ depends only on k not on t.



Autocorrelation

- □ Covariance of x_t and x_{t-k} = Auto-covariance at lag k Autocovariance of x_t at lag k = Cov[x_t, x_{t-k}] = E[(x_t - μ)(x_{t-k} - μ)]
 □ For a stationary series:
 - > Statistical characteristics do not depend upon time t.
 - > Autocovariance depends only on lag *k* and not on time *t*

Autocorrelation of
$$x_t$$
 at lag k $r_k = \frac{\text{Autocovariance of } x_t \text{ at lag } k}{\text{Variance of } x_t}$

$$= \frac{\text{Cov}[x_t, x_{t-k}]}{\text{Var}[x_t]}$$

$$= \frac{E[(x_t - \mu)(x_{t-k} - \mu)]}{E[(x_t - \mu)^2]}$$
• Autocorrelation is dimensionless and is easier to interpret than

 Autocorrelation is dimensionless and is easier to interpret than autocovariance.
 Washington University in St. Louis
 <u>http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/</u> ©2015 Raj Jain

Example 37.2

■ For the data of Example 37.1, the variance and covariance's at lag 1 and 2 are computed as follows:

Sample Mean
$$\overline{x} = \frac{1}{50} \sum_{t=1}^{50} x_t = \frac{3386}{50} = 67.72$$

 $Var(x_t) = E[(x_t - \mu)^2] = \frac{1}{49} \sum_{t=1}^{50} (x_t - \overline{x})^2 = \frac{273002 - 50 \times 67.72^2}{49} = 891.879$

Washington University in St. Louis

Example 37.2 (Cont)

$$Cov(x_{t}, x_{t-1}) = E[(x_{t} - \mu)(x_{t-1} - \mu)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{49} \sum_{t=2}^{50} (x_{t} - \overline{x}_{t})(x_{t-1} - \overline{x}_{t-1})$$

$$= \frac{1}{49} \left[\sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t} x_{t-1} - \left(\frac{1}{49} \sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t}\right) \sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t-1} - \sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t} \left(\frac{1}{49} \sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t-1}\right) \right)$$

$$+ 49 \left(\frac{1}{49} \sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t}\right) \left(\frac{1}{49} \sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t-1}\right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{49} \left[\sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t} x_{t-1} - \frac{1}{49} \left(\sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t} \right) \left(\sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t-1} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{49} \left[248147 - \frac{3313 \times 3356}{49} \right] = 433.476$$

$$\Box \text{ Small Sample} \Longrightarrow \overline{x}_{t} \text{ and } \overline{x}_{t-1} \text{ are slightly different.}$$
Not so for large samples.

Example 37.2 (Cont)

$$Cov(x_{t}, x_{t-2}) = E[(x_{t} - \mu)(x_{t-2} - \mu)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{48} \sum_{t=3}^{50} (x_{t} - \overline{x}_{t})(x_{t-2} - \overline{x}_{t-2})$$

$$= \frac{1}{48} \left[\sum_{t=3}^{50} x_{t} x_{t-2} - \frac{1}{48} \left(\sum_{t=3}^{50} x_{t} \right) \left(\sum_{t=3}^{50} x_{t-2} \right) \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{48} \left[229360 - \frac{3246 \times 3329}{48} \right]$$

$$= 88.258$$

$$\square \text{ Note: Only 48 pairs of } \{x_{t}, x_{t-1}\} \Rightarrow \text{Divisor is 48}$$

Washington University in St. Louis

Example 37.2 (Cont)

Autocorrelation at lag
$$0 = r_0 = \frac{Var(x_t)}{Var(x_t)} = \frac{891.879}{891.879} = 1$$

Autocorrelation at lag $1 = r_1 = \frac{Cov(x_t, x_{t-1})}{Var(x_t)} = \frac{433.476}{891.879} = 0.486$

Autocorrelation at lag
$$1 = r_1 = \frac{Cov(x_t, x_{t-1})}{Var(x_t)} = \frac{433.476}{891.879} = 0.486$$

Washington University in St. Louis

White Noise

- □ Errors e_t are normal independent and identically distributed (IID) with zero mean and variance σ^2
- □ Such IID sequences are called "white noise" sequences.
- Properties: $E|e_t| = 0 \quad \forall t$ $\operatorname{Var}[e_t] = E[e_t^2] = \sigma^2 \quad \forall t$ $\operatorname{Cov}[e_t, e_{t-k}] = E[e_t e_{t-k}] = \begin{cases} \sigma^2 & k = 0\\ 0 & k \neq 0 \end{cases}$ $\operatorname{Cor}[e_t, e_{t-k}] = \frac{E[e_t e_{t-k}]}{E[e_t^2]} = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0\\ 0 & k \neq 0 \end{cases}$ k http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/ Washington University in St. Louis ©2015 Rai Jain 37-18

White Noise (Cont)

- □ The autocorrelation function of a white noise sequence is a spike (δ function) at *k*=0.
- □ The Laplace transform of a δ function is a constant. So in frequency domain white noise has a flat frequency spectrum.



It was incorrectly assumed that white light has no color and, therefore, has a flat frequency spectrum and so random noise with flat frequency spectrum was called white noise.

 Ref: http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/

 Washington University in St. Louis
 http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/
 ©2015 Raj Jain

White Noise Autocorrelations

□ It can be shown that autocorrelations for white noise are normally distributed with mean:

$$E[r_k] \approx \frac{-1}{n}$$

and variance:

$$\operatorname{Var}[r_k] \approx \frac{1}{n}$$

□ Therefore, their 95% confidence interval is $-1/n \mp 1.96/\sqrt{n}$

This is generally approximated as $\pm 2/\sqrt{n}$

This confidence interval can be used to check if a particular autocorrelation is zero.

Washington University in St. Louis



Exercise 37.2

Determine autocorrelations at lag 0 through 2 for the data of Exercise 37.1 and determine which of these autocorrelations are significant at 95% confidence.

Assumptions for AR(1) Models

- $\Box x_t$ is a Stationary process
- □ Linear relationship between successive values
- □ Normal Independent identically distributed errors:
 - > Normal errors
 - > Independent errors
- □ Additive errors

Visual Tests for AR(1) Models

- 1. Plot x_t as a function of t and look for trends
- 2. x_t vs. x_{t-1} for linearity
- 3. Errors e_t vs. predicted values \hat{x}_t for additivity
- 4. Q-Q Plot of errors for Normality
- 5. Errors e_t vs. t for iid







³⁷⁻²⁶

Exercise 37.3 • Conduct visual tests to verify whether or not the AR(1) model fitted in Exercise 37.1 is appropriate . http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/ Washington University in St. Louis ©2015 Raj Jain 37-27

AR(p) Model $\Box x_t$ is a function of the last p values: $x_t = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1} + a_2 x_{t-2} + \dots + a_p x_{t-p} + e_t$ \Box AR(2): $x_t = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1} + a_2 x_{t-2} + e_t$ $\Box \operatorname{AR}(3): x_t = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1} + a_2 x_{t-2} + a_3 x_{t-3} + e_t$ http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/ Washington University in St. Louis ©2015 Rai Jain 37-28

Backward Shift Operator

B(
$$x_t$$
) = x_{t-1}
Similarly, B(B(x_t)) = B(x_{t-1}) = x_{t-2}
Or
B² x_t = x_{t-2}
B³ x_t = x_{t-3}
B^k x_t = x_{t-k}

□ Using this notation, AR(p) model is:

$$x_{t} - a_{1}x_{t-1} - a_{2}x_{t-2} - \dots - a_{p}x_{t-p} = a_{0} + e_{t}$$

$$x_{t} - a_{1}Bx_{t} - a_{2}B^{2}x_{t} - \dots - a_{p}B^{p}x_{t} = a_{0} + e_{t}$$

$$(1 - a_{1}B - a_{2}B^{2} - \dots - a_{p}B^{p})x_{t} = a_{0} + e_{t}$$

$$\phi_{p}(B)x_{t} = a_{0} + e_{t}$$

$$\bigoplus_{\text{Washington University in St. Louis}} (2015 \text{ Raj Jain})$$

$$(2015 \text{ Raj Jain})$$

AR(p) Parameter Estimation

$$x_{t} = a_{0} + a_{1}x_{t-1} + a_{2}x_{t-2} + e_{t}$$
The coefficients a_{i} 's can be estimated by minimizing SSE using
Multiple Linear Regression.

$$SSE = \sum e_{t}^{2} e_{t}^{2} = \sum_{t=3}^{n} (x_{t} - a_{0} - a_{1}x_{t-1} - a_{2}x_{t-2})^{2}$$
Optimal a_{0}, a_{1} , and $a_{2} \Rightarrow$ Minimize SSE

$$\Rightarrow$$
Set the first differential to zero:

$$\frac{d}{da_{0}}SSE = \sum_{t=3}^{n} -2(x_{t} - a_{0} - a_{1}x_{t-1} - a_{2}x_{t-2}) = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{da_{1}}SSE = \sum_{t=3}^{n} -2x_{t-1}(x_{t} - a_{0} - a_{1}x_{t-1} - a_{2}x_{t-2}) = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{da_{2}}SSE = \sum_{t=3}^{n} -2x_{t-2}(x_{t} - a_{0} - a_{1}x_{t-1} - a_{2}x_{t-2}) = 0$$
We where university in St. Lows

37-30

AR(p) Parameter Estimation (Cont)

□ The equations can be written as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n-2 & \sum x_{t-1} & \sum x_{t-2} \\ \sum x_{t-1} & \sum x_{t-1}^2 & \sum x_{t-1}x_{t-2} \\ \sum x_{t-2} & \sum x_{t-1}x_{t-2} & \sum x_{t-2}^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum x_t \\ \sum x_t x_{t-1} \\ \sum x_t x_{t-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: All sums are for *t*=3 to *n*. *n*-2 terms.

□ Multiplying by the inverse of the first matrix, we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-2 & \sum x_{t-1} & \sum x_{t-2} \\ \sum x_{t-1} & \sum x_{t-1}^2 & \sum x_{t-1}x_{t-2} \\ \sum x_{t-2} & \sum x_{t-1}x_{t-2} & \sum x_{t-2}^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sum x_t \\ \sum x_t x_{t-1} \\ \sum x_t x_{t-1} \\ \sum x_t x_{t-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Washington University in St. Louis

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{0} \\ a_{1} \\ a_{2} \\ \vdots \\ a_{p} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-p & \sum_{x_{l-1}} x_{l-1} & \sum_{x_{l-2}} \cdots & \sum_{x_{l-1}x_{l-p}} x_{l-p} \\ \sum_{x_{l-2}} x_{l-1} & \sum_{x_{l-2}} x_{l-2}^{2} & \cdots & \sum_{x_{l-2}x_{l-p}} x_{l-2}x_{l-p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sum_{x_{l-p}} \sum_{x_{l-1}x_{l-p}} \sum_{x_{l-2}x_{l-p}} \cdots & \sum_{x_{l-2}^{2}} x_{l-p}^{2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{x_{l}x_{l-1}} \\ \sum_{x_{l}x_{l-2}} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{x_{l}x_{l-p}} \\ \sum_{x_{l}x_{l-p}} \\ \sum_{x_{l}x_{l-p}} \\ \sum_{x_{l}x_{l-p}} \\ \sum_{x_{l-p}} \sum_{x_{l-1}x_{l-p}} \\ \sum_{x_{l-2}x_{l-p}} \cdots & \sum_{x_{l-2}^{2}} \\ \sum_{x_{l-p}} \\ \sum_{x_{l-1}x_{l-p}} \\ \sum_{x_{l-2}x_{l-p}} \\ \sum_{x_{l}x_{l-p}} \\ \sum_{$$



37-33

Exercise 37.4

Fit an AR(2) model to the data of Exercise 37.1.
 Determine parameters a₀, a₁, a₂ and the SSE using multiple regression. Repeat the determination of parameters using autocorrelation function values.

Exercise 37.5

Fit an AR(3) model to the data of Exercise 37.1.
 Determine parameters a₀, a₁, a₂, a₃ and the SSE using multiple regression.

Determining the Order AR(p)

- \Box ACF of AR(1) is an exponentially decreasing fn of *k*
- □ Fit AR(p) models of order p=0, 1, 2, ...
- Compute the confidence intervals of a_p . $a_p \mp 2/\sqrt{(n)}$
- □ After some p, the last coefficients a_p will not be significant for all higher order models.
- □ This highest p is the order of the AR(p) model for the series.
- This sequence of last coefficients is also called "Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF)"



Example 37.6

- □ For the data of Example 37.1, we have:
- **AR(1):** $x_t = 33.181 + 0.503x_{t-1} + e_t$
- □ AR(2): $x_t = 39.979 + 0.587 x_{t-1} 0.180 x_{t-2} + e_t$
- □ Similarly, AR(3): $x_t = 37.313 + 0.598x_{t-1} 0.211x_{t-2} + 0.052x_{t-3} + e_t$
- □ PACF at lags 1, 2, and 3 are: 0.503, -0.180, and 0.052



Computing PACF





Exercise 37.6

❑ Using the results of Exercises 37.1, 37.4, and 37.5, determine the partial autocorrelation function at lags 1, 2, 3 for the data of Exercise 37.1. Determine which values are significant. Based on this which AR(*p*) model will be appropriate for this data?

Moving Average (MA) Models

■ Moving Average of order 1: MA(1) $x_t - b_0 = e_t + b_1 e_{t-1}$

 b_0 is the mean of the time series.

- The parameters b₀ and b₁ cannot be estimated using standard regression formulas since we do not know errors. The errors depend on the parameters.
- ❑ So the only way to find optimal b₀ and b₁ is by iteration.
 ⇒ Start with some suitable values and change b₀ and b₁ until SSE is minimized and average of errors is zero.

Example 37.4

□ Consider the data of Example 37.1.

• For this data:
$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{50} \sum_{t=1}^{50} x_t = 67.72$$

- We start with $b_0 = 67.72$, $b_1 = 0.4$, Assuming $e_0 = 0$, compute all the errors and SSE. $\bar{e} = \frac{1}{50} \sum_{t=1}^{50} e_t = -0.152$ and SSE = 33542.8
- □ We then adjust a_0 and b_1 until SSE is minimized and mean error is close to zero.

Washington University in St. Louis

Example 37.4 (Cont)

□ The steps are: Starting with $b_0 = \bar{x}$ and trying various values of b_1 . SSE is minimum at b_1 =0.475. SSE= 33221.06



Example 37.4 (Cont) $\overline{e} = \frac{1}{50} \sum_{t=1}^{50} e_t = -0.1661$

□ Keeping b_1 =0.475, try neighboring values of b_0 to get average error as close to zero as possible.

 \Box $b_0 = 67.475$ gives $\bar{e} = -0.001$ SSE=33221.93

Washington University in St. Louis

Exercise 37.7

□ Fit an MA(0) model to the data of Exercise 37.1. Determine parameter b_0 and SSE

Washington University in St. Louis

MA(q) Models (Cont)

□ Using the backward shift operator B, MA(q):

$$x_t - b_0 = e_t + b_1 B e_t + b_2 B^2 e_t + \dots + b_q B^q e_t$$
$$= (1 + b_1 B + b_2 B^2 + \dots + b_q B^q) e_t$$
$$= \Psi_q(B) e_t$$

 \Box Here, Ψ_q is a polynomial of order q.

Example 37.8

□ Fit MA(2) model to the data of Example 37.1

 $x_t = b_0 + e_t + b_1 e_{t-1} + b_2 e_{t-2}$

□ Round 1: Setting $b_0 = \bar{x}_t = 67.72$ we try 9 combinations of $b_1 = \{0.2, 0.3, 0.4\}$ and $b_2 = \{0.2, 0.3, 0.4\}$. Minimum SSE is 33490.26 at $b_1 = 0.4$ and $b_2 = 0.2$

□ Round 2: Try 4 new points around the current minimum $b_0 = \{0.35, 0.45\}$ and $b_2 = \{0.15, 0.25\}$ Minimum SSE is 32551.62 at $b_1 = 0.45$, $b_2 = 0.15$

■ Round 3: Try 4 new points around the current minimum. Try b_1 ={0.425, 0.475} and b_2 ={0.125, 0.175} Minimum SSE is 32342.61 at b_1 =0.475, b_2 =0.125

Washington University in St. Louis

Example 37.8 (Cont)

- □ Round 4: Try 4 new points around the current minimum. Try b_1 ={0.4625, 0.4875} and b_2 ={0.125, 0.175} Minimum SSE is 32201.58 at b_1 =0.4875, b_2 =0.125
- □ Round 5: Try 4 new points around the current minimum. Try b_1 ={0.481, 0.493} and b_2 ={0.112, 0.137} Minimum SSE is 32148.21 at b_1 =0.493, b_2 =0.137
- □ Since the decrease in SSN is small (close to 0.1%), we arbitrarily stop here.
- □ The model is:

$$x_t = 67.72 + e_t + 0.493e_{t-1} + 0.137e_{t-2}$$

Exercise 38.8

□ Fit an MA(1) model to the data of Exercise 37.1. Determine parameters b_0 , b_1 and the minimum SSE.

Autocorrelations for MA(1)

□ For this series, the mean is:

$$\mu = E[x_t] = a_0 + E[e_t] + b_1 E[e_{t-1}] = a_0$$

□ The variance is:

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}[x_t] &= E[(x_t - \mu)^2] = E[(e_t + b_1 e_{t-1})^2] \\ &= E[e_t^2 + 2b_1 e_t e_{t-1} + b_1^2 e_{t-1}^2] \\ &= E[e_t^2] + 2b_1 E[e_t e_{t-1}] + b_1^2 E[e_{t-1}^2] \\ &= \sigma^2 + 2b_1 \times 0 + b_1^2 \sigma^2 = (1 + b_1^2) \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

□ The autocovariance at lag 1 is:

autocovar at lag 1 =
$$E[(x_t - \mu)(x_{t-1} - \mu)]$$

= $E[e_t + b_1 e_{t-1})(e_{t-1} + b_1 e_{t-2})]$
= $E[e_t e_{t-1} + b_1 e_{t-1} e_{t-1} + b_1 e_t e_{t-2} + b_1^2 e_{t-1} e_{t-2}]$
= $E[0 + b_1 E[e_{t-1}^2] + 0 + 0]$
= $b_1 \sigma^2$
Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/ ©2015 Raj Jain

Autocorrelations for MA(1) (Cont)

□ The autocovariance at lag 2 is:

Covar at lag 2 =
$$E[(x_t - \mu)(x_{t-2} - \mu]]$$

= $E[(e_t + b_1e_{t-1})(e_{t-2} + b_1e_{t-3})]$
= $E[e_te_{t-2} + b_1e_{t-1}e_{t-2} + b_1e_te_{t-3} + b_1^2e_{t-1}e_{t-3}]$
= $0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0$
• For MA(1), the autocovariance at all higher lags (k >1) is 0.
• The autocorrelation is:
 $r_k = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0 \\ \frac{b_1}{1+b_1^2} & k = 1 \\ 0 & k > 1 \end{cases}$
• The autocorrelation of MA(q) series is non-zero only

□ The autocorrelation of MA(q) series is non-zero only for lags $k \le q$ and is zero for all higher lags.

Washington University in St. Louis

Example 37.9

- □ For the data of Example 37.1:
- □ Autocorrelation is zero for all lags k > 1.
- \Box MA(1) model is appropriate for this data.

Example 37.10

- □ The order of the last significant r_k determines the order of the MA(q) model.
- □ For the following data, all autocorrelations at lag 9 and higher are zero ⇒ MA(8) model would be appropriate



Exercise 37.9

Fit an MA(2) model to the data of Exercise 37.2.
 Determine parameters b₀, b₁, b₂ and the minimum SSE. For this data, which model would you choose MA(0), MA(1) or MA(2) and why?

Duality of AR(p) vs. MA(q)

- Determining the coefficients of AR(p) is straight forward but determining the order p requires an iterative procedure
- Determining the order q of MA(q) is straight forward but determining the coefficients requires an iterative procedure

Non-Stationarity: Integrated Models

□ In the white noise model AR(0): $x_t = a_0 + e_t$

 \Box The mean a_0 is independent of time.

- □ If it appears that the time series in increasing approximately linearly with time, the first difference of the series can be modeled as white noise: $(x_t x_{t-1}) = a_0 + e_t$
- Or using the B operator: $(1-B)x_t = x_t x_{t-1}$ $(1-B)x_t = a_0 + e_t$
- □ This is called an "integrated" model of order 1 or I(1). Since the errors are integrated to obtain x.
- □ Note that x_t is not stationary but $(1-B)x_t$ is stationary.



Integrated Models (Cont)

□ If the time series is parabolic, the second difference can be modeled as white noise: (x - x) = (x - y) = 0

$$(x_t - x_{t-1}) - (x_{t-1} - x_{t-2}) = a_0 + e_t$$

• Or $(1-B)^2 x_t = a_0 + e_t$ This is an I(2) model. Also written as: $D^2 x_t = b_0 + e_t$

Where Operator D = 1-B



ARMA and ARIMA Models

It is possible to combine AR, MA, and I models
ARMA(p, q) Model:

$$\begin{aligned} x_t - a_1 x_{t-1} - \dots - a_p x_{t-p} &= b_0 + e_t + b_1 e_{t-1} + \dots + b_q e_{t-q} \\ \phi_p(B) x_t &= b_0 + \psi_q(B) e_t \end{aligned}$$

 $\square ARIMA(p,d,q) Model:$

$$\phi_p(B)(1-B)^d x_t = b_0 + \psi_q(B)e_t$$

Using algebraic manipulations, it is possible to transform AR models to MA models and vice versa.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-15/

©2015 Raj Jain

Example 37.11

□ Consider the MA(1) model: x_t = b₀ + e_t + b₁e_{t-1}
 □ It can be written as: (x_t - b₀) = (1 + b₁B)e_t

$$(1+b_1B)^{-1}(x_t - b_0) = e_t$$

$$\left(1-b_1B + b_1^2B^2 - b_1^3B^3 + \dots\right)(x_t - b_0) = e_t$$

$$\left(x_t - b_1x_{t-1} + b_1^2x_{t-2} - b_1^3x_{t-3} + \dots\right) - \frac{b_0}{1+b_1} = e_t$$

$$x_t = \frac{b_0}{1+b_1} + b_1x_{t-1} - b_1^2x_{t-2} + b_1^3x_{t-3} - \dots + e_t$$

□ If $b_1 < 1$, the coefficients decrease and soon become insignificant. This results in a finite order AR model.

Washington University in St. Louis



Non-Stationarity due to Seasonality

- The mean temperature in December is always lower than that in November and in May it always higher than that in March ⇒Temperature has a yearly season.
- □ One possible model could be I(12):

$$x_t - x_{t-12} = a_0 + e_t$$

or or

$$(1 - B^{12})x_t = a_0 + e_t$$

Washington University in St. Louis

Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Models

SARIMA $(p, d, q) \times (P, R, Q)^s$ Model:

 $\phi_p(B)\Phi_P(B^s)(1-B^s)^R(1-B)^d x_t = b_0 + \psi_q(B)\Psi_Q(B^s)e_t$

□ Fractional ARIMA (FARIMA) Models ARIMA(p, d+ δ , q) -0.5 $\leq \delta \leq 0.5$ ⇒Fractional Integration allowed.

Exercise 37.11

□ Write the expression for SARIMA(1,0,1)(0,1,0)¹² model in terms of *x*'s and *e*'s.









³⁷⁻⁶⁸