Introduction to **Time Series** Analysis Raj Jain Washington University in Saint Louis Saint Louis, MO 63130 Jain@cse.wustl.edu Audio/Video recordings of this lecture are available at: http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

©2013 Raj Jain



- □ What is a time series?
- Autoregressive Models
- Moving Average Models
- Integrated Models
- □ ARMA, ARIMA, SARIMA, FARIMA models

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

# What is a Time Series

- □ Time series = Stochastic Process
- □ A sequence of observations over time.
- □ Examples:
  - > Price of a stock over successive days
  - > Sizes of video frames
  - > Sizes of packets over network
  - > Sizes of queries to a database system
  - Number of active virtual machines in a cloud
- Goal: Develop models of such series for resource allocation and improving user experience.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

 $\mathcal{X}_{t}$ 

Time t

# **Autoregressive Models**

- □ Predict the variable as a linear regression of the immediate past value:  $\hat{x}_t = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1}$
- □ Here,  $\hat{x}_t$  is the best estimate of  $x_t$  given the past history  $\{x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{t-1}\}$
- □ Even though we know the complete past history, we assume that  $x_t$  can be predicted based on just  $x_{t-1}$ .
- □ Auto-Regressive = Regression on Self
- Error:  $e_t = x_t \hat{x}_t = x_t a_0 a_1 x_{t-1}$
- **D** Model:  $x_t = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1} + e_t$
- □ Best  $a_0$  and  $a_1$  ⇒ minimize the sum of square of errors

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

### Example 36.1

- The number of disk access for 50 database queries were measured to be: 73, 67, 83, 53, 78, 88, 57, 1, 29, 14, 80, 77, 19, 14, 41, 55, 74, 98, 84, 88, 78, 15, 66, 99, 80, 75, 124, 103, 57, 49, 70, 112, 107, 123, 79, 92, 89, 116, 71, 68, 59, 84, 39, 33, 71, 83, 77, 37, 27, 30.
- For this data:  $\sum_{\substack{t=2\\50}}^{50} x_t = 3313 \sum_{\substack{t=2\\50}}^{50} x_{t-1} = 3356$  $\sum_{t=2}^{50} x_t x_{t-1} = 248147 \sum_{t=2}^{50} x_{t-1}^2 = 272102 \quad n = 49$

$$a_{0} = \frac{\sum x_{t} \sum x_{t-1}^{2} - \sum x_{t-1} \sum x_{t} x_{t-1}}{n \sum x_{t-1}^{2} - (\sum x_{t-1})^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{3313 \times 272102 - 3356 \times 248147}{49 \times 272102 - 3356^{2}} = 33.181$$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

©2013 Raj Jain

# Example 36.1 (Cont)

$$a_{1} = \frac{n \sum x_{t} x_{t-1} - \sum x_{t} \sum x_{t-1}}{n \sum x_{t-1}^{2} - (\sum x_{t-1})^{2}}$$
$$= \frac{49 \times 248147 - 3313 \times 3356}{49 \times 272102 - 3356^{2}} = 0.503$$

**SSE** = 32995.57

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

# **Stationary Process**

■ Each realization of a random process will be different:



- $\Box$  x is function of the realization *i* (space) and time *t*: x(i, t)
- We can study the distribution of  $x_t$  in space.
- □ Each  $x_t$  has a distribution, e.g., Normal  $f(x_t) = \frac{1}{\sigma \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{\frac{-(x_t \mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$
- □ If this same distribution (normal) with the same parameters  $\mu$ ,  $\sigma$  applies to  $x_{t+1}, x_{t+2}, ...,$  we say  $x_t$  is stationary.

Washington University in St. Louis

## **Stationary Process (Cont)**

- Stationary = Standing in time
   ⇒ Distribution does not change with time.
- Similarly, the joint distribution of  $x_t$  and  $x_{t-k}$  depends only on k not on t.
- □ The joint distribution of  $x_t, x_{t-1}, ..., x_{t-k}$  depends only on k not on t.

# Assumptions

- □ Linear relationship between successive values
- □ Normal Independent identically distributed errors:
  - Normal errors
  - > Independent errors
- Additive errors
- $\Box x_t$  is a Stationary process

# Visual Tests

- *I.*  $x_t$  vs.  $x_{t-1}$  for linearity
- 2. Errors  $e_t$  vs. predicted values  $\hat{x}_t$  for additivity
- 3. Q-Q Plot of errors for Normality
- 4. Errors  $e_t$  vs. t for Stationarity
- 5. Correlations for Independence



Visual Tests (Cont)



<sup>36-11</sup> 

# AR(p) Model

 $\Box x_t$  is a function of the last p values:

 $x_t = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1} + a_2 x_{t-2} + \dots + a_p x_{t-p} + e_t$ 

 $\Box AR(2): \quad x_t = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1} + a_2 x_{t-2} + e_t$ 

 $\Box \operatorname{AR}(3): x_t = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1} + a_2 x_{t-2} + a_3 x_{t-3} + e_t$ 

### **Backward Shift Operator**

$$B(x_t) = x_{t-1}$$

$$B(B(x_t)) = B(x_{t-1}) = x_{t-2}$$

$$B^2 x_t = x_{t-2}$$

$$B^3 x_t = x_{t-3}$$

$$B^k x_t = x_{t-k}$$

 $\Box$  Using this notation, AR(p) model is:  $x_t - a_1 x_{t-1} - a_2 x_{t-2} - \dots - a_p x_{t-p} = a_0 + e_t$  $x_t - a_1 B x_t - a_2 B^2 x_t - \dots - a_p B^p x_t = a_0 + e_t$  $(1 - a_1 B - a_2 B^2 - \dots - a_p B^p) x_t = a_0 + e_t$  $\phi_p(B)x_t = a_0 + e_t$ Here,  $\phi_p$  is a polynomial of degree p.

©2013 Rai Jain

## **AR(p)** Parameter Estimation

 $x_t = a_0 + a_1 x_{t-1} + a_2 x_{t-2} + e_t$ 

The coefficients a<sub>i</sub>'s can be estimated by minimizing SSE using Multiple Linear Regression.

SSE = 
$$\sum_{t=3}^{n} e_t^2 = \sum_{t=3}^{n} (x_t - a_0 - a_1 x_{t-1} - a_2 x_{t-2})^2$$

□ Optimal  $a_0, a_1$ , and  $a_2 \Rightarrow$  Minimize SSE ⇒Set the first differential to zero:

$$\frac{d}{da_0}SSE = \sum_{t=3}^{n} -2(x_t - a_0 - a_1x_{t-1} - a_2x_{t-2}) = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{da_1}SSE = \sum_{t=3}^n -2x_{t-1}(x_t - a_0 - a_1x_{t-1} - a_2x_{t-2}) = 0$$

$$\frac{d}{da_2}SSE = \sum_{t=3}^{n} -2x_{t-2}(x_t - a_0 - a_1x_{t-1} - a_2x_{t-2}) = 0$$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

<sup>36-14</sup> 

# **AR(p)** Parameter Estimation (Cont)

#### □ The equations can be written as:

$$\begin{bmatrix} n-2 & \sum x_{t-1} & \sum x_{t-2} \\ \sum x_{t-1} & \sum x_{t-1}^2 & \sum x_{t-1}x_{t-2} \\ \sum x_{t-2} & \sum x_{t-1}x_{t-2} & \sum x_{t-2}^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sum x_t \\ \sum x_t x_{t-1} \\ \sum x_t x_{t-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Note: All sums are for *t*=3 to *n*. *n*-2 terms.

□ Multiplying by the inverse of the first matrix, we get:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ a_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-2 & \sum x_{t-1} & \sum x_{t-2} \\ \sum x_{t-1} & \sum x_{t-1}^2 & \sum x_{t-1}x_{t-2} \\ \sum x_{t-2} & \sum x_{t-1}x_{t-2} & \sum x_{t-2}^2 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sum x_t \\ \sum x_t x_{t-1} \\ \sum x_t x_{t-1} \\ \sum x_t x_{t-2} \end{bmatrix}$$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

©2013 Raj Jain

## Example 36.2

Consider the data of Example 36.1 and fit an AR(2) model:

$$\begin{bmatrix} a_{0} \\ a_{1} \\ a_{2} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} n-2 & \sum x_{t-1} & \sum x_{t-2} \\ \sum x_{t-1} & \sum x_{t-1}^{2} & \sum x_{t-1} x_{t-2} \\ \sum x_{t-2} & \sum x_{t-1} x_{t-2} & \sum x_{t-1}^{2} & \sum x_{t-2}^{2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \sum x_{t} \\ \sum x_{t} x_{t-1} \\ \sum x_{t} x_{t-2} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} 48 & 3283 & 3329 \\ 3283 & 266773 & 247337 \\ 3329 & 247337 & 271373 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 3246 \\ 243256 \\ 229360 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 39.979 \\ 0.587 \\ -0.180 \end{bmatrix}$$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

# **Assumptions and Tests for AR(p)**

#### □ Assumptions:

- > Linear relationship between  $x_t$  and  $\{x_{t-1}, ..., x_{t-p}\}$
- > Normal Independent identically distributed errors:
  - Normal errors
  - Independent errors
- > Additive errors
- >  $x_t$  is stationary

□ Visual Tests: Similar to AR(1).

Washington University in St. Louis

# Autocorrelation

- □ Covariance of  $x_t$  and  $x_{t-k}$  = Auto-covariance at lag kAutocovariance of  $x_t$  at lag k = Cov $[x_t, x_{t-k}] = E[(x_t - \mu)(x_{t-k} - \mu)]$
- For a stationary series, the statistical characteristics do not depend upon time t.
- □ Therefore, the autocovariance depends only on lag *k* and not on time *t*.
- □ Similarly,

Autocorrelation of  $x_t$  at lag k  $r_k = \frac{\text{Autocovariance of } x_t \text{ at lag } k}{\text{Variance of } x_t}$  $= \frac{\text{Cov}[x_t, x_{t-k}]}{\text{Var}[x_t]}$   $= \frac{E[(x_t - \mu)(x_{t-k} - \mu)]}{E[(x_t - \mu)^2]}$ Washington University in St. Louis

# **Autocorrelation (Cont)**

- Autocorrelation is dimensionless and is easier to interpret than autocovariance.
- □ It can be shown that autocorrelations are normally distributed with mean:  $E[r_k] \approx \frac{-1}{n}$ and variance:  $Var[r_k] \approx \frac{-1}{n}$

Therefore, their 95% confidence interval is  $-1/n \mp 1.96/\sqrt{n}$ This is generally approximated as  $\pm 2/\sqrt{n}$ 

# White Noise

- □ Errors  $e_t$  are normal independent and identically distributed (IID) with zero mean and variance  $\sigma^2$
- □ Such IID sequences are called "white noise" sequences.

Properties:  $E[e_t] = 0 \quad \forall t$  $\operatorname{Var}[e_t] = E[e_t^2] = \sigma^2 \quad \forall t$  $\operatorname{Cov}[e_t, e_{t-k}] = E[e_t e_{t-k}] = \begin{cases} \sigma^2 & k = 0\\ 0 & k \neq 0 \end{cases}$  $\operatorname{Cor}[e_t, e_{t-k}] = \frac{E[e_t e_{t-k}]}{E[e_t^2]} = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0\\ 0 & k \neq 0 \end{cases}$ k http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/ Washington University in St. Louis ©2013 Rai Jain 36-20

# White Noise (Cont)

- □ The autocorrelation function of a white noise sequence is a spike ( $\delta$  function) at *k*=0.
- □ The Laplace transform of a  $\delta$  function is a constant. So in frequency domain white noise has a flat frequency spectrum.



It was incorrectly assumed that white light has no color and, therefore, has a flat frequency spectrum and so random noise with flat frequency spectrum was called white noise.

□ Ref: <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Colors\_of\_noise</u>

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

### Example 36.3

□ Consider the data of Example 36.1. The AR(0) model is:

$$x_t = a_0 + e_t$$

$$\sum x_t = na_0 + \sum e_t$$

$$a_0 = \frac{1}{n} \sum x_t = 67.72$$

□ SSE = 43702.08

Washington University in St. Louis



Moving Average (MA) Models

- □ Moving Average of order 1: MA(1)  $x_t - a_0 = e_t + b_1 e_{t-1}$
- Moving Average of order 2: MA(2)  $x_t - a_0 = e_t + b_1 e_{t-1} + b_2 e_{t-2}$
- □ Moving Average of order q: MA(q)  $x_t - a_0 = e_t + b_1 e_{t-1} + b_2 e_{t-2} + \dots + b_q e_{t-q}$
- □ Moving Average of order 0: MA(0) (Note: This is also AR(0))  $x_t - a_0 = e_t$

 $x_t$ - $a_0$  is a white noise.  $a_0$  is the mean of the time series.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

# **MA Models (Cont)**

□ Using the backward shift operator B, MA(q):

$$x_t - a_0 = e_t + b_1 B e_t + b_2 B^2 e_t + \dots + b_q B^q e_t$$
$$= (1 + b_1 B + b_2 B^2 + \dots + b_q B^q) e_t$$
$$= \psi_q(B) e_t$$

#### $\Box$ Here, $\psi_q$ is a polynomial of order q.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

## **Determining MA Parameters**

#### □ Consider MA(1):

 $x_t - a_0 = e_t + b_1 e_{t-1}$ 

- □ The parameters a₀ and b₁ cannot be estimated using standard regression formulas since we do not know errors. The errors depend on the parameters.
- □ So the only way to find optimal  $a_0$  and  $b_1$  is by iteration.
  - $\Rightarrow$  Start with some suitable values and change  $a_0$  and  $b_1$  until SSE is minimized and average of errors is zero.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

## Example 36.4

□ Consider the data of Example 36.1.

• For this data: 
$$\bar{x} = \frac{1}{50} \sum_{t=1}^{50} x_t = 67.72$$

• We start with 
$$a_0 = 67.72$$
,  $b_1 = 0.4$ ,  
Assuming  $e_0 = 0$ , compute all the errors and SSE.  
 $\bar{e} = \frac{1}{50} \sum_{t=1}^{50} e_t = -0.152$  and SSE = 33542.65

■ We then adjust  $a_0$  and  $b_1$  until SSE is minimized and mean error is close to zero.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

# Example 36.4 (Cont)

$\square$ The steps are: Starting with $a_0 = x$ and $b_1 = 0.4, 0.5,$	<b>]</b> ]	The steps are	: Starting	with	$a_0 =$	$= \bar{x}$ and $b$	$_{1}=0.4,$	0.5, 0	).6
--	------------	---------------	------------	------	---------	---------------------	-------------	--------	-----

$a_0$	$b_1$	$\bar{e}$	SSE	Decision
67.72	0.4	-0.15	33542.65	
67.72	0.5	-0.17	33274.55	
67.72	0.6	-0.18	34616.85	0.5 is the lowest. Try $0.45$ and $0.55$
67.72	0.55	-0.18	33686.88	
67.72	0.45	-0.16	33253.62	Lowest. Try $0.475$ and $0.425$
67.72	0.475	-0.17	33221.06	Lowest. Try $0.4875$ and $0.4625$
67.72	0.4875	-0.17	33236.41	
67.72	0.4625	-0.16	33227.19	$b_1=0.475$ is lowest. Adjust $a_0$
67.35	0.475	0.08	33223.45	Close to minimum SSE and zero mean.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

# **Autocorrelations for MA(1)**

□ For this series, the mean is:

$$\mu = E[x_t] = a_0 + E[e_t] + b_1 E[e_{t-1}] = a_0$$

□ The variance is:

V

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Var}[x_t] &= E[(x_t - \mu)^2] = E[(e_t + b_1 e_{t-1})^2] \\ &= E[e_t^2 + 2b_1 e_t e_{t-1} + b_1^2 e_{t-1}^2] \\ &= E[e_t^2] + 2b_1 E[e_t e_{t-1}] + b_1^2 E[e_{t-1}^2] \\ &= \sigma^2 + 2b_1 \times 0 + b_1^2 \sigma^2 = (1 + b_1^2) \sigma^2 \end{aligned}$$

 $\Box \text{ The autocovariance at lag 1 is:}$   $Covar at lag 1 = E[(x_t - \mu)(x_{t-1} - \mu)]$   $= E[e_t + b_1e_{t-1})(e_{t-1} + b_1e_{t-2})]$   $= E[e_te_{t-1} + b_1e_{t-1}e_{t-1} + b_1e_te_{t-2} + b_1^2e_{t-1}e_{t-2}]$   $= E[0 + b_1E[e_{t-1}^2] + 0 + 0]$   $= b_1\sigma^2$ Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/ ©2013 Rai Jain

# **Autocorrelations for MA(1) (Cont)**

□ The autocovariance at lag 2 is:

Covar at lag 2 = 
$$E[(x_t - \mu)(x_{t-2} - \mu]]$$
  
=  $E[(e_t + b_1e_{t-1})(e_{t-2} + b_1e_{t-3})]$   
=  $E[e_te_{t-2} + b_1e_{t-1}e_{t-2} + b_1e_te_{t-3} + b_1^2e_{t-1}e_{t-3}]$   
=  $0 + 0 + 0 + 0 = 0$   
For MA(1), the autocovariance at all higher lags (k>1) is 0.  
The autocorrelation is:  $(1 - k - 0)$ 

The autocorrelation is:  

$$r_k = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 0 \\ \frac{b_1}{1+b_1^2} & k = 1 \\ 0 & k > 1 \end{cases}$$

□ The autocorrelation of MA(q) series is non-zero only for lags  $k \le q$  and is zero for all higher lags.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

©2013 Raj Jain



□ The order of the last significant  $r_k$  determines the order of the MA(q) model.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

# **Determining the Order AR(p)**

- □ ACF of AR(1) is an exponentially decreasing fn of k
- □ Fit AR(*p*) models of order *p*=0, 1, 2, ...  $a_p \mp 2/\sqrt{(n)}$
- Compute the confidence intervals of  $a_p$ :
- □ After some p, the last coefficients  $a_p$  will not be significant for all higher order models.
- □ This highest p is the order of the AR(p) model for the series.
- This sequence of last coefficients is also called "Partial Autocorrelation Function (PACF)"

PACF(k)





http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

©2013 Raj Jain

 $-2/\sqrt{(n)} \operatorname{Lag} k$ 

 $- 2/\sqrt{(n)}$ 

<sup>36-31</sup> 

# **Non-Stationarity: Integrated Models**

- □ In the white noise model AR(0):  $x_t = a_0 + e_t$
- **The mean**  $a_0$  is independent of time.
- □ If it appears that the time series in increasing approximately linearly with time, the first difference of the series can be modeled as white noise:  $(x_t x_{t-1}) = a_0 + e_t$

• Or using the B operator: 
$$(1-B)x_t = x_t - x_{t-1}$$
  
 $(1-B)x_t = a_0 + e_t$ 

- □ This is called an "integrated" model of order 1 or I(1). Since the errors are integrated to obtain x.
- □ Note that  $x_t$  is not stationary but  $(1-B)x_t$  is stationary.

 $(1-B)x_t$ 

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

<sup>36-32</sup> 

# **Integrated Models (Cont)**

If the time series is parabolic, the second difference can be modeled as white noise:

$$(x_t - x_{t-1}) - (x_{t-1} - x_{t-2}) = a_0 + e_t$$

• Or  $(1-B)^2 x_t = a_0 + e_t$ This is an I(2) model.



Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

#### **ARMA and ARIMA Models**

It is possible to combine AR, MA, and I models
ARMA(p, q) Model:

$$x_{t} - a_{1}x_{t-1} - \dots - a_{p}x_{t-p} = a_{0} + e_{t} + b_{1}e_{t-1} + \dots + b_{q}e_{t-q}$$
  
$$\phi_{p}(B)x_{t} = a_{0} + \psi_{q}(B)e_{t}$$

□ ARIMA(p,d,q) Model:

$$\phi_p(B)(1-B)^d x_t = a_0 + \psi_q(B)e_t$$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

# **Non-Stationarity due to Seasonality**

- The mean temperature in December is always lower than that in November and in May it always higher than that in March ⇒Temperature has a yearly season.
- One possible model could be I(12):

$$x_t - x_{t-12} = a_0 + e_t$$

$$(1 - B^{12})x_t = a_0 + e_t$$

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

# Seasonal ARIMA (SARIMA) Models

**SARIMA**  $(p, d, q) \times (P, R, Q)^s$  Model:

 $\phi_p(B)\Phi_P(B^s)(1-B^s)^R(1-B)^d x_t = a_0 + \psi_q(B)\Psi_Q(B^s)e_t$ 

□ Fractional ARIMA (FARIMA) Models ARIMA(p, d+ $\delta$ , q) -0.5 $\leq \delta \leq 0.5$ 

 $\Rightarrow$ Fractional Integration allowed.

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

# **Case Study: Mobile Video**



# **Traffic Modeling – All Frames**

□ A closer look at the ACF graph shows a strong continual correlation every 15 lag → GOP size



Series AllFrames

**Result:** SARIMA  $(1, 0, 1)x(1,1,1)^s$  Model, s=group size =15

Washington University in St. Louis

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

©2013 Raj Jain



<sup>36-39</sup>