**Random Number Generation Generation**

> Raj Jain Washington University Saint Louis, MO 63130 Jain@cse.wustl.edu

Audio/Video recordings of this lecture are available at:

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/  $\bigcirc$  2013 Raj Jain

26-1



## **Random-Number Generation**

- **Q** Random Number = Uniform  $(0, 1)$
- $\Box$  Random Variate = Other distributions
	- = Function(Random number)

## **A Sample Generator A Sample Generator**

$$
x_n = f(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \ldots)
$$

**O** For example,  $x_n = 5x_{n-1} + 1 \mod 16$ 

- $\Box$  Starting with  $x_0=5$ :  $x_1 = 5(5) + 1 \mod 16 = 26 \mod 16 = 10$  $\Box$  The first 32 numbers obtained by the above procedure 10, 3, 0,
- 1, 6, 15, 12, 13, 2, 11, 8, 9, 14, 7, 4, 5 10, 3, 0, 1, 6, 15, 12, 13, 2, 11, 8, 9, 14, 7, 4, 5.
- $\Box$  By dividing x's by 16: 0.6250, 0.1875, 0.0000, 0.0625, 0.3750, 0.9375, 0.7500, 0.8125, 0.1250, 0.6875, 0.5000, 0.5625, 0.8750, 0.4375, 0.2500, 0.3125, 0.6250, 0.1875, 0.0000, 0.0625, 0.3750, 0.9375, 0.7500, 0.8125, 0.1250, 0.6875, 0.5000, 0.5625, 0.8750, 0.4375, 0.2500, 0.3125.

## **Terminology Terminology**

- $\Box$  Seed =  $x_0$
- $\Box$ **Pseudo-Random**: Deterministic yet would pass randomness tests
- $\Box$ Fully Random: Not repeatable
- **Cycle length**, **Tail**, **Period**



## **Properties of a Good Generator Properties of a Good Generator**

- $\Box$  It should be efficiently computable.
- **The period should be large.**
- **□** The successive values should be independent and uniformly distributed

## **Types of Generators Types of Generators**

- **<u>Example</u>** Linear congruential generators
- **Q** Tausworthe generators
- **□** Extended Fibonacci generators
- **Q** Combined generators

## **Linear-Congruential Generators**

- $\Box$ Discovered by D. H. Lehmer in 1951
- $\Box$  The residues of successive powers of a number have good randomness properties.

$$
x_n = a^n \bmod m
$$

Equivalently,

$$
x_n = ax_{n-1} \bmod m
$$

*a* = multiplier *m* = modulus

26-8

# **LCG (Cont) LCG (Cont)**

- $\Box$  Lehmer's choices:  $a = 23$  and  $m = 10^8 + 1$
- Good for ENIAC, an 8-digit decimal machine.
- **Generalization:**

 $x_n = ax_{n-1} + b \mod m$ 

**□** Can be analyzed easily using the theory of congruences  $\Rightarrow$  Mixed Linear-Congruential Generators or Linear-Congruential Generators (LCG) Mixed = both multiplication by *<sup>a</sup>* and addition of *b*

26-9

## **Selection of LCG Parameters**

- *a, b*, and *<sup>m</sup>* affect the period and autocorrelation
- $\Box$ The modulus *<sup>m</sup>* should be large.
- $\Box$ The period can never be more than *m.*
- For mod *m* computation to be efficient, *<sup>m</sup>* should be a power

of  $2 \Rightarrow$  Mod *m* can be obtained by truncation.

## **LCG Parameters (Cont) LCG Parameters (Cont)**

- **□** If *b* is nonzero, the maximum possible period *m* is obtained if and only if:
- $\blacktriangleright$  Integers *<sup>m</sup>* and *b* are relatively prime, that is, have no common factors other than 1.
- $\blacktriangleright$ Every prime number that is a factor of *<sup>m</sup>* is also a factor of *a*-1.
- $\blacktriangleright$ If integer *<sup>m</sup>* is a multiple of 4, *a*-1 should be a multiple of 4.
- $\blacktriangleright$ Notice that all of these conditions are met if  $m=2^k$ ,  $a=4c+1$ , and *b* is odd. Here, *c, b*, and *k* are positive integers.

## **Period vs. Autocorrelation Period vs. Autocorrelation**

 $\Box$  A generator that has the maximum possible period is called a full-period generator.

$$
x_n = (2^{34} + 1)x_{n-1} + 1 \mod 2^{35}
$$

$$
x_n = (2^{18} + 1)x_{n-1} + 1 \mod 2^{35}
$$

- **Lower autocorrelations between successive numbers are** preferable.
- $\Box$  Both generators have the same full period, but the first one has a correlation of 0.25 between  $x_{n-1}$  and  $x_n$ , whereas the second one has a negligible correlation of less than 2-18

Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

## **Multiplicative LCG Multiplicative LCG**

■ Multiplicative LCG: *b*=0

```
x_n = ax_{n-1} \mod m
```

```
\Box Two types:
```

$$
m = 2^k
$$

$$
m \neq 2^k
$$

## **Multiplicative LCG with m=2<sup>k</sup>**

- $\Box$  *m* = 2<sup>*k*</sup>  $\Rightarrow$  trivial division
	- $\Rightarrow$  Maximum possible period  $2^{k-2}$
- **Period achieved if multiplier a is of the form**  $8i \pm 3$ **,** and the initial seed is an odd integer
- **One-fourth the maximum possible may not be too** small
- **I** Low order bits of random numbers obtained using multiplicative LCG's with  $m=2^k$  have a cyclic pattern

## **Example 26.1a Example 26.1a**

$$
x_n = 5x_{n-1} \mod 2^5
$$

\n- □ Using a seed of 
$$
x_0 = 1
$$
:\n
	\n- 5, 25, 29, 17, 21, 9, 13, 1, 5,...
	\n\n
\n- Period =  $8 = 32/4$
\n- □ With  $x_0 = 2$ , the sequence is: 10, 18, 26, 2, 10,...
\n- Here, the period is only 4.
\n

### **Example 26.1b Example 26.1b**

 $\Box$  Multiplier not of the form  $8i \pm 3$ :

$$
x_n = 7x_{n-1} \bmod 2^5
$$

- $\Box$  Using a seed of  $x_0 = 1$ , we get the sequence: 7, 17, 23, 1, 7,…
- $\Box$ The period is only 4

# **Multiplicative LCG with m≠ 2<sup>k</sup>**

 $\Box$ Modulus  $m =$  prime number

With a proper multiplier *a*, period = *m-*1

Maximum possible period = *<sup>m</sup>*

If and only if the multiplier a is a *primitive root* of the modulus

#### *m*

```
\Boxa is a primitive root of m if and only if a^n mod m \neq 1 for n = 1,
  2, …, m-2.
```
#### **Example 26.2 Example 26.2**

 $x_n = 3x_{n-1} \mod 31$ 

 $\Box$  Starting with a seed of  $x_0=1$ :

1, 3, 9, 27, 19, 26, 16, 17, 20, 29, 25, 13, 8, 24, 10, 30, 28, 22, 4, 12, 5, 15, 14, 11, 2, 6, 18, 23, 7, 21, 1, …

The period is 30

 $\Rightarrow$  3 is a primitive root of 31

With a multiplier of  $a = 5: 1, 5, 25, 1, \ldots$ 

The period is only  $3 \implies 5$  is not a primitive root of 31  $5^3 \mod 31 = 125 \mod 31 = 1$ 

```
Primitive roots of 31 = 3, 11, 12, 13, 17, 21, 22, and 24.
```
Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/<br>
©2013 Raj Jain http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

## **Schrage's Method Schrage's Method**

**PRN** computation assumes:

 No round-off errors, integer arithmetic and no overflows  $\Rightarrow$  Can't do it in BASIC

 $\triangleright$  Product a  $x_{n-1}$  > Largest integer  $\Rightarrow$  Overflow **O** Identity: Where:  $g(x) = a(x \mod q) - r(x \text{ div } q)$ And:  $h(x) = (x \text{ div } q) - (ax \text{ div } m)$ Here,  $q = m$  div  $a, r = m$  mod a  $A$  div  $B'$  = dividing A by B and truncating the result.

 $\Box$  For all x's in the range 1, 2, ..., m-1, computing  $g(x)$  involves numbers less than m-1.

If  $r < q$ ,  $h(x)$  is either 0 or 1, and it can be inferred from  $g(x)$ ;  $h(x)$  is 1 if and only if  $g(x)$  is negative.

#### **Example 26.3 Example 26.3**

$$
x_n = 7^5 x_{n-1} \bmod (2^{31} - 1)
$$

2<sup>31</sup>-1 = 2147483647 = prime number

- $\Box$  7<sup>5</sup> = 16807 is one of its 534,600,000 primitive roots
- The product a  $x_{n-1}$  can be as large as  $16807 \times 2147483647$  $\approx 1.03\times 2^{45}.$
- Need 46-bit integers
	- $a = 16807$
	- $m = 2147483647$ 
		- $=$  m div  $a = 2147483647$  div  $16807 = 127773$

 $=$  m mod  $a = 2147483647 \mod 16807 = 2836$  $\boldsymbol{r}$ 

Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/ **For a correct implementation,**  $x_0 = 1 \Rightarrow x_{10000} = 1,043,618,065$ .

26-20

#### **Generator Using Integer Arithmetic Generator Using Integer Arithmetic** FUNCTION Random (VAR x: INTEGER) : REAL; CONST  $a = 16807;$  (\* Multiplier \*)  $m = 2147483647$ ; (\* Modulus \*)  $q = 127773;$  (\* m div a \*)  $r = 2836$ ;  $(* \t{m} \t{mod} \t{a} *)$ **VAR** x\_div\_q, x\_mod\_q, x\_new: INTEGER; **BEGIN**  $x\_div_q := x$  DIV q;  $x_{mod-q} := x$  MOD q;  $x_new := a*x_model_q - r*x-div_q;$ IF  $x_new \ge 0$  THEN  $x := x_new$  ELSE  $x := x_new + m$ ; WHILE x\_new <sup>&</sup>lt; 0 DO x\_new := x\_new <sup>+</sup> m; WHILE x\_new >= <sup>m</sup> DO x\_new := x\_new - m; Random  $:= x/m;$  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  :=  $x$  new; Washington  $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n$  is the second contract of  $U_2$  and  $U_3$  raj  $U_4$  and  $U_5$  and  $U_6$   $U_7$  and  $U_8$   $U_9$  and  $U_9$   $U_9$  and  $U_9$  and

26-21

#### **Generator Using Real Arithmetic**<br>**FUNCTION Random(VAR x:DOUBLE) : DOUBLE;** CONST  $a = 16807.0D0;$  (\* Multiplier \*)  $m = 2147483647.0D0$ ; (\* Modulus \*)  $q = 127773.0D0;$  (\* m div a \*)  $r = 2836.0D0;$  (\* m mod a \*) VAR. x\_div\_q, x\_mod\_q, x\_new: DOUBLE; **BEGIN**  $x_div_q := TRUNC(x/q);$  $x_{mod_q} := x-q*x_div_q;$  $x_new := a*x_model_q - r*x-div_q;$ IF  $x_new \ge 0.0D0$  THEN  $x := x_new$  ELSE  $x := x_new + m$ ;  $x := mod(x_new, m);$ Random  $:= x/m;$  $W$ ashington University in St. Louis  $\frac{1}{2}$  . Let us the second contract the second  $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  Jain

## **Example 26.3 (Cont) Example 26.3 (Cont)**



### **Homework 26 Homework 26**

**Exercise 26.5 Updated**:

Implement the following LCG using Schrage's method to avoid overflow:

 $x_n = 40014x_{n-1} \mod 2147483563$ 

Using a seed of  $x_0$ =1, determine  $x_1$ ,  $x_{10}$ ,  $x_{100}$ ,  $x_{1000}$ ,  $x_{10000}$ ,  $x_{20000}$ .

Note: In Excel: x div  $q = x\%q$  $r^{*}(x\%q) \neq r^{*}x\%q$ 

#### **Tausworthe Generators**

- **□** Need long random numbers for cryptographic applications
- Generate random sequence of binary digits  $(0 \text{ or } 1)$
- $\Box$  Divide the sequence into strings of desired length
- **Q** Proposed by Tausworthe (1965)  $b_n = c_{q-1}b_{n-1} \oplus c_{q-2}b_{n-2} \oplus c_{q-3}b_{n-3} \oplus \cdots \oplus c_0b_{n-q}$
- Where  $c_i$  and  $b_i$  are binary variables with values of 0 or 1, and  $\oplus$ is the exclusive-or (mod 2 addition) operation.
- $\Box$  Uses the last *q* bits of the sequence  $\Rightarrow$  autoregressive sequence of order *q* or AR(*q*).
- An AR(*q*) generator can have a maximum period of 2*q*-1.

Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

#### **Tausworthe Generators (Cont)**

 $D =$  delay operator such that  $D^q b(i-q) = c_{q-1} D^{q-1} b(i-q) + c_{q-2} D^{q-2} b(i-q) + \cdots + c_0 b(i-q) \mod 2$ 

$$
D^{q} - c_{q-1}D^{q-1} - c_{q-2}D^{q-2} - \dots - c_0 = 0 \mod 2
$$
  

$$
D^{q} + c_{q-1}D^{q-1} + c_{q-2}D^{q-2} + \dots + c_0 = 0 \mod 2
$$

#### **Characteristic polynomial:**

$$
x^{q} + c_{q-1}x^{q-1} + c_{q-2}x^{q-2} + \cdots + c_{0}
$$

- $\Box$  The period is the smallest positive integer *<sup>n</sup>* for which *x<sup>n</sup>*-1 is divisible by the characteristic polynomial.
- $\Box$  The maximum possible period with a polynomial of order *q* is 2*q*-1. The polynomials that give this period are called **primitive polynomials**.

#### **Example 26.4 Example 26.4**

 $x^7 + x^3 + 1$ 

 $\Box$ Using *D* operator in place of *x:*

 $D^7b(n) + D^3b(n) + b(n) = 0 \text{ mod } 2$  $b_{n+7} + b_{n+3} + b_n = 0 \text{ mod } 2 \quad n = 0, 1, 2, ...$ Or:

\n- **a** Using the exclusive-or operator 
$$
b_{n+7} \oplus b_{n+3} \oplus b_n = 0
$$
  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$
\n- **b**:  $b_{n+7} = b_{n+3} \oplus b_n$   $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$
\n

 $\Box$ Substituting *n*-7 for *n*:

$$
b_n = b_{n-4} \oplus b_{n-7} \quad n = 7, 8, 9, \dots
$$

## **Example 26.4 (Cont) Example 26.4 (Cont)**

Starting with  $b_0 = b_1 = \cdots = b_6 = 1$ :  $\Box$  $b_7 = b_3 \oplus b_0 = 1 \oplus 1 = 0$ 

$$
b_8\quad =\quad b_4\oplus b_1=1\oplus 1=0
$$

$$
b_9 = b_5 \oplus b_2 = 1 \oplus 1 = 0
$$

$$
b_{10} = b_6 \oplus b_3 = 1 \oplus 1 = 0
$$

 $b_{11} = b_7 \oplus b_4 = 0 \oplus 1 = 1$ 

 $\Box$ The complete sequence is:

1111111 0000111 0111100 1011001 0010000 0010001 0011000 1011101 0110110 0000110 0110101 0011100 1111011 0100001 0101011 1110100 1010001 1011100 0111111 1000011 1000000.

- $\Box$  Period = 127 or 2<sup>7</sup>-1 bits
- $\Rightarrow$  The polynomial  $x^7 + x^3 + 1$  is a primitive polynomial.



<sup>26-29</sup>

## **Generating U(0,1) Generating U(0,1)**

**□** Divide the sequence into successive groups of s bits and use the first *l* bits of each group as a binary fraction:

 $x_n = 0.b_{sn}b_{sn+1}b_{sn+2}b_{sn+3}\cdots b_{sn+l-1}$ Or equivalently:  $x_n = \sum 2^{-j} b_{sn+j-1}$  $i=1$ 

Here, *<sup>s</sup>* is a constant greater than or equal to *l* and is relatively prime to 2*q*-1.

 $s \geq l \Rightarrow x_n$  and  $x_j$  for  $n \neq j$  have no bits in common

 $\Box$ Relative prime-ness guarantees a full period 2<sup>*q*</sup>-1 for *x<sub>n</sub>*.

Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/  $\bigcirc$  2013 Raj Jain

26-30

#### **Example 26.5 Example 26.5**

$$
b_n = b_{n-4} \oplus b_{n-7}
$$

 $\Box$ The period  $2^7$ -1=127

 $\Box$ *l*=8, *s*=8:

- $x_0 = 0.11111110_2 = 0.99219_{10}$
- $x_1 = 0.00011101_2 = 0.11328_{10}$
- $x_2 = 0.11100101_2 = 0.89453_{10}$
- $x_3 = 0.10010010_2 = 0.29688_{10}$
- $x_4 = 0.00000100_2 = 0.36328_{10}$
- $x_5 = 0.01001100_2 = 0.42188_{10}$

#### **Disadvantages of Tausworthe Generators**

- $\Box$  The sequence may produce good test results over the complete cycle, it may not have satisfactory local behavior.
- $\Box$ It performed negatively on the runs up and down test.
- $\Box$  Although the first-order serial correlation is almost zero, it is suspected that some primitive polynomials may give poor highorder correlations.
- **□** Not all primitive polynomials are equally good.

#### **Combined Generators Combined Generators**

1. Adding random numbers obtained by two or more generators.  $w_n=(x_n+y_n) \mod m$ For example, L'Ecuyer (1986):

$$
x_n = 40014x_{n-1} \mod 2147483563
$$
  

$$
y_n = 40692y_{n-1} \mod 2147483399
$$

This would produce:

$$
w_n = (x_n - y_n) \text{ mod } 2147483562
$$

Period =  $2.3 \times 10^{18}$ 

Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

## **Combined Generators (Cont) Combined Generators (Cont)**

Another Example: For 16-bit computers:

$$
w_n = 157w_{n-1} \bmod 32363
$$

$$
x_n = 146x_{n-1} \mod 31727
$$

$$
y_n = 142y_{n-1} \mod 31657
$$

Use:

$$
v_n = (w_n - x_n + y_n) \mod 32362
$$

This generator has a period of 8.1  $\times$  10<sup>12</sup>.

## **Combined Generators (Cont) Combined Generators (Cont)**

- 2. Exclusive-or random numbers obtained by two or more generators.
- 3. Shuffle. Use one sequence as an index to decide which of several numbers generated by the second sequence should be returned.

## **Combined Generators (Cont) Combined Generators (Cont)**

#### $\Box$ Algorithm M:

- a) Fill an array of size, say, 100.
- b) Generate a new *yn* (between 0 and *m*-1)
- c) Index  $i=1+100 y_n/m$
- *i*th element of the array is returned as the next random number
- e) A new value of  $x_n$  is generated and stored in the *i*th location

## **Survey of Random-Number Generators**

 $\Box$ A currently popular multiplicative LCG is:

$$
x_n = 7^5 x_{n-1} \bmod (2^{31} - 1)
$$

Used in:

- $\square$  SIMPL/I system (IBM 1972),
- APL system from IBM (Katzan 1971),
- PRIMOS operating system from Prime Computer (1984), and

Scientific library from IMSL (1980)

- $\geq 2^{31}$ -1 is a prime number and 7<sup>5</sup> is a primitive root of it  $\Rightarrow$  Full period of 2<sup>31</sup>-2.
- $\blacktriangleright$  This generator has been extensively analyzed and shown to be good.
- $\blacktriangleright$ Its low-order bits are uniformly distributed.

Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

## **Survey of Survey of RNG's (Cont)**

**□** Fishman and Moore (1986)'s exhaustive search of  $m=2^{31}-1$ :

 $x_n = 48271x_{n-1} \mod (2^{31} - 1)$ 

 $x_n = 69621x_{n-1} \mod (2^{31} - 1)$ 

 $\Box$ SIMSCRIPT II.5 and in DEC-20 FORTRAN:

 $x_n = 630360016x_{n-1} \mod (2^{31} - 1)$ 

# **Survey of Survey of RNG's (Cont)**

 $\Box$ ``RANDU'' (IBM 1968): Very popular in the 1960s:

$$
x_n = (2^{16} + 3)x_{n-1} \bmod 2^3
$$

- Modulus and the multiplier were selected primarily to facilitate easy computation.
- $\triangleright$  Multiplication by 2<sup>16+3=65539</sup> can be easily accomplished by a few shift and add instructions.
- Does not have a full period and has been shown to be flawed in many respects.
- Does not have good randomness properties (Knuth, p 173).
- $\triangleright$  Triplets lie on a total of 15 planes  $\Rightarrow$  Unsatisfactory three-distributivity
- $\triangleright$  Like all LCGs with m=2<sup>k</sup>, the lower order bits of this generator have a small period. RANDU is no longer used

Washington University in St. Louis

## **Survey of Survey of RNG's (Cont)**

Analog of RANDU for 16-bit microprocessors:

 $x_n = (2^8 + 3)x_{n-1} \mod (2^{15})$ 

- This generator shares all known problems of RANDU
- $\triangleright$  Period = only a few thousand numbers  $\Rightarrow$  not suitable for any serious simulation study
- **□** University of Sheffield Pascal system for Prime Computers:

 $x_n = 16807x_{n-1} \mod 2^{31}$ 

- $\triangleright$  16807  $\neq$  8i  $\pm$  3  $\Rightarrow$  Does not have the maximum possible period of 231-2.
- Used with a shuffle technique in the subroutine UNIFORM of the SAS statistical package

Washington University in St. Louis http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/

## **Survey of Survey of RNG's (cont)**

 $\Box$ SIMULA on UNIVAC uses the following generator:

 $x_n = 5^{13} x_{n-1} \mod 2^{35}$ 

 $\triangleright$  Has maximum possible period of  $2^{33}$ , Park and Miller (1988) claim that it does not have good randomness properties.

 $\Box$ The UNIX operating system:

 $x_n = (1103515245x_{n-1} + 12345) \text{ mod } 2^{32}$ 

 $\triangleright$  Like all LCGs with  $m=2^k$ , the binary representation of  $x_n$ 's has a cyclic bit pattern

## **Seed Selection Seed Selection**

- $\Box$  **Multi-stream simulations**: Need more than one random stream
	- $\blacktriangleright$ Single queue  $\Rightarrow$  Two streams
		- = Random arrival and random service times
- 1. Do not use zero. Fine for mixed LCGs. But multiplicative LCG or a Tausworthe LCG will stick at zero.
- 2. Avoid even values. For multiplicative LCG with modulus  $m=2<sup>k</sup>$ , the seed should be odd. Better to avoid generators that have too many conditions on seed values or whose performance (period and randomness) depends upon the seed value.
- 3. Do not subdivide one stream.

## **Seed Selection (Cont) Seed Selection (Cont)**

- 4. Do not generate successive seeds:  $u_1$  to generate inter-arrival times,  $u_2$  to generate service time  $\Rightarrow$  Strong correlation
- 5. Use non-overlapping streams. Overlap  $\Rightarrow$  Correlation, e.g., Same seed  $\Rightarrow$  same stream
- 6. Reuse seeds in successive replications.
- 7. Do not use random seeds: Such as the time of day. Can't reproduce. Can't guaranteed non-overlap.
- 8. Select  $\{u_0, u_{100,000}, u_{200,000}, \ldots\}$

$$
x_n = a^n x_0 + \frac{c(a^n - 1)}{a - 1} \mod m
$$

#### **Table of Seeds Table of Seeds**

$$
x_n = 7^5 x_{n-1} \mod (2^{31} - 1)
$$



## **Myths About Random-Number Generation Generation**

- *1. A complex set of operations leads to random results*. It is better to use simple operations that can be analytically evaluated for randomness.
- *2.*A single test, such as the chi-square test, is sufficient to test *the goodness of a random-number generator.* The sequence 0,1,2,...,*m*-1 will pass the chi-square test with a perfect score, but will fail the run test  $\Rightarrow$  Use as many tests as possible.
- *3. Random numbers are unpredictable*. Easy to compute the parameters, *a*, *c*, and *m* from a few numbers  $\Rightarrow$  LCGs are unsuitable for cryptographic applications

# **Myths (Cont) Myths (Cont)**

*4. Some seeds are better than others*. May be true for some.

 $x_n = (9806x_{n-1} + 1) \text{ mod } (2^{17} - 1)$ 

- $\blacktriangleright$ Works correctly for all seeds except  $x_0 = 37911$
- $\blacktriangleright$ Stuck at  $x_n$ = 37911 forever
- $\blacktriangleright$ Such generators should be avoided.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Any *nonzero* seed in the valid range should produce an equally good sequence.
- $\blacktriangleright$ For some, the seed should be odd.
- $\blacktriangleright$  Generators whose period or randomness depends upon the seed should not be used, since an unsuspecting user may not remember to follow all the guidelines.

## **Myths (Cont) Myths (Cont)**

5. *Accurate implementation is not important*.

- RNGs must be implemented without any overflow or truncation For example,
	- $x_n = 1103515245x_{n-1} + 12345 \mod 2^{31}$
- In FORTRAN:
- $x_n = (1103515245x_{n-1} + 12345). AND.X'7FFFFF'$
- > The AND operation is used to clear the sign bit
- Straightforward multiplication above will produce overflow.
- *6. Bits of successive words generated by a random-number generator are equally randomly distributed*.
	- If an algorithm produces *l*-bit wide random numbers, the randomness is guaranteed only when all *l* bits are used to form successive random numbers.

#### **Example 26.7 Example 26.7**

$$
x_n = (25173x_{n-1}) \mod 2^{16}
$$

Notice that:

- a) Bit 1 (the least significant bit) is always 1.
- b) Bit 2 is always 0.
- c) Bit 3 alternates between 1 and 0, thus, it has a cycle of length 2.
- d) Bit 4 follows a cycle (0110) of length 4.
- e) Bit 5 follows a cycle (11010010) of length 8.



26-48

## **Example 26.7 (Cont) Example 26.7 (Cont)**

- $\Box$ The least significant bit is either always 0 or always 1.
- $\Box$  The *l*th bit has a period at most 2*<sup>l</sup>*. (*l*=1 is the least significant bit)
- $\Box$  For all mixed LCGs with *m*=2*<sup>k</sup>*:
	- The *l*th bit has a period at most 2*<sup>l</sup>*.
	- $\triangleright$  In general, the high-order bits are more randomly distributed than the low-order bits.

 $\Rightarrow$  Better to take the high-order *l* bits than the low-order *l* bits.



- **□** Pseudo-random numbers are used in simulation for repeatability, non-overlapping sequences, long cycle
- $\Box$  It is important to implement PRNGs in integer arithmetic without overflow => Schrage's method
- $\Box$  For multi-stream simulations, it is important to select seeds that result in non-overlapping sequences
- $\Box$ Two or more generators can be combined for longer cycles
- $\Box$ Bits of random numbers may not be random

Washington University in St. Louis  $\frac{\text{http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/}}{\text{http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-13/}}$  ©2013 Raj Jain

26-50