# CSE 567M Computer Systems Analysis

Raj Jain
Washington University in Saint Louis
Saint Louis, MO 63130
Jain@cse.wustl.edu

These slides are available on-line at:

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-08/

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M



- Goal of this Course
- Contents of the course
- □ Tentative Schedule
- Project
- Grading

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

#### **Goal of This Course**

- □ Comprehensive course on performance analysis
- □ Includes measurement, statistical modeling, experimental design, simulation, and queuing theory
- □ How to avoid common mistakes in performance analysis
- □ Graduate course: (Advanced Topics)
  - ⇒ Lot of independent reading and writing
  - ⇒ Project/Survey paper (Research techniques)

#### **Text Book**

□ R. Jain, "Art of Computer Systems Performance Analysis," Wiley, 1991, ISBN:0471503363
(Winner of the "1992 Best Computer Systems Book" Award from Computer Press Association")

# Objectives: What You Will Learn

- Specifying performance requirements
- Evaluating design alternatives
- Comparing two or more systems
- □ Determining the optimal value of a parameter (system tuning)
- □ Finding the performance bottleneck (bottleneck identification)
- Characterizing the load on the system (workload characterization)
- Determining the number and sizes of components (capacity planning)
- □ Predicting the performance at future loads (forecasting).

#### **Basic Terms**

- □ System: Any collection of hardware, software, and firmware
- Metrics: Criteria used to evaluate the performance of the system. components.
- Workloads: The requests made by the users of the system.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

#### **Main Parts of the Course**

- □ Part I: An Overview of Performance Evaluation
- □ Part II: Measurement Techniques and Tools
- □ Part III: Probability Theory and Statistics
- □ Part IV: Experimental Design and Analysis
- □ Part V: Simulation
- □ Part VI: Queueing Theory

# Part I: An Overview of Performance Evaluation

- □ Introduction
- Common Mistakes and How To Avoid Them
- □ Selection of Techniques and Metrics

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Example I**

- What performance metrics should be used to compare the performance of the following systems:
  - > Two disk drives?
  - > Two transaction-processing systems?
  - > Two packet-retransmission algorithms?

#### Part II: Measurement Techniques and Tools

- Types of Workloads
- Popular Benchmarks
- □ The Art of Workload Selection
- Workload Characterization Techniques
- Monitors
- Accounting Logs
- Monitoring Distributed Systems
- Load Drivers
- Capacity Planning
- □ The Art of Data Presentation
- Ratio Games

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Example II**

- Which type of monitor (software or hardware) would be more suitable for measuring each of the following quantities:
  - > Number of Instructions executed by a processor?
  - > Degree of multiprogramming on a timesharing system?
  - > Response time of packets on a network?

#### Part III: Probability Theory and Statistics

- Probability and Statistics Concepts
- □ Four Important Distributions
- Summarizing Measured Data By a Single Number
- Summarizing The Variability Of Measured Data
- Graphical Methods to Determine Distributions of Measured Data
- Sample Statistics
- Confidence Interval
- Comparing Two Alternatives
- Measures of Relationship
- Simple Linear Regression Models
- Multiple Linear Regression Models
- Other Regression Models

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Example III**

□ The number of packets lost on two links was measured for four file sizes as shown below:

File Size	Link A	Link B
1000	5	10
1200	7	3
1300	3	0
50	0	1

Which link is better?

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

#### Part IV: Experimental Design and Analysis

- □ Introduction to Experimental Design
- □ 2<sup>k</sup> Factorial Designs
- □ 2<sup>k</sup>r Factorial Designs with Replications
- □ 2<sup>k-p</sup> Fractional Factorial Designs
- One Factor Experiments
- □ Two Factors Full Factorial Design without Replications
- □ Two Factors Full Factorial Design with Replications
- □ General Full Factorial Designs With *k* Factors

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Example IV**

- □ The performance of a system depends on the following three factors:
  - > Garbage collection technique used: G1, G2, or none.
  - > Type of workload: editing, computing, or AI.
  - > Type of CPU: C1, C2, or C3.

How many experiments are needed? How does one estimate the performance impact of each factor?

#### **Part V: Simulation**

- □ Introduction to Simulation
- Types of Simulations
- Model Verification and Validation
- Analysis of Simulation Results
- □ Random-Number Generation
- □ Testing Random-Number Generators
- □ Random-Variate Generation
- Commonly Used Distributions

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Example V**

- In order to compare the performance of two cache replacement algorithms:
  - > What type of simulation model should be used?
  - > How long should the simulation be run?
  - > What can be done to get the same accuracy with a shorter run?
  - > How can one decide if the random-number generator in the simulation is a good generator?

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# Part VI: Queueing Theory

- □ Introduction to Queueing Theory
- Analysis of A Single Queue
- Queueing Networks
- Operational Laws
- Mean Value Analysis and Related Techniques
- Convolution Algorithm
- Advanced Techniques

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Example VI**

□ The average response time of a database system is three seconds. During a one-minute observation interval, the idle time on the system was ten seconds.

Using a queueing model for the system, determine the following:

- > System utilization
- > Average service time per query
- Number of queries completed during the observation interval
- > Average number of jobs in the system
- > Probability of number of jobs in the system being greater than 10
- > 90-percentile response time
- > 90-percentile waiting time

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

#### The Art of Performance Evaluation

□ Given the same data, two analysts may interpret them differently.

#### **Example:**

□ The throughputs of two systems A and B in transactions per second is as follows:

System	Workload 1	Workload 2
A	20	10
В	10	20

#### **Possible Solutions**

□ Compare the average:

System	Workload 1	Workload 2	Average
A	20	10	15
В	10	20	15

Conclusion: The two systems are equally good.

□ Compare the ratio with system B as the base

System	Workload 1	Workload 2	Average
A	2	0.5	1.25
В	1	1	1

Conclusion: System A is better than B.

## **Solutions (Cont)**

□ Compare the ratio with system A as the base

System	Workload 1	Workload 2	Average
A	1	1	1
В	0.5	2	1.25

Conclusion: System B is better than A.

- □ Similar games in: Selection of workload, Measuring the systems, Presenting the results.
- □ Common mistakes will also be discussed.

# **Grading**

□ Exams (Best of 2 mid terms + Final) 60%

□ Class participation 5%

□ Homeworks 15%

□ Project 20%

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Prerequisites**

- □ CSE 131: Computer Science I
- □ CSE 126: Introduction To Computer Programming
- □ CSE 260M: Introduction To Digital Logic And Computer Design (Not required)
- Basic Probability and Statistics

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Prerequisite**

- □ Statistics:
  - > Mean, variance
  - > Normal distribution
  - > Density function, Distribution function
  - Coefficient of variationCorrelation coefficient
  - > Median, mode, Quantile
- C Programming

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

#### **Tentative Schedule**

<b>8/27</b>	Course Introduction
<b>9</b> /1	Memorial Day Holiday - No class
<b>9</b> /3	Common Mistakes Chapter 2
<b>9/08</b>	Selection of Techniques and Metrics Chapter 3
	<ul><li>Types of Workloads Chapter 4</li></ul>
	<ul><li>Workload Selection Chapter 5</li></ul>
<b>9/10</b>	Workload Characterization Chapter 6
<b>9/15</b>	Data Presentation Chapter 10
	<ul><li>Ratio Games Chapter 11</li></ul>
<b>9</b> /17	Summarizing Measured Data Chapter 12
<b>9</b> /22	Comparing Systems Using Random Data Chapter
13	
<b>9</b> /24	Comparing Systems Using Random Data (Cont)
<b>9</b> /29	Mid-Term Exam 1

CSE567M

	Tentative Schedule (Cont)
<b>1</b> 0/1	Simple Linear Regression Models Chapter 14
<b>1</b> 0/6	Other Regression Models Chapter 15
<b>10/08</b>	Experimental Designs Chapter 16
	✓ 2k Experimental Designs Chapter 17
<b>1</b> 0/13	Factorial Designs with Replication Chapter 18
<b>1</b> 0/15	Fractional Factorial Designs Chapter 19
<b>10/20</b>	One Factor Experiments Chapter 20
	<ul> <li>Two Factor Full Factorial Design w/o</li> <li>Replications Chapter 21</li> </ul>
<b>□</b> 10/22	Two Factor Full Factorial Designs with
	Replications Chapter 22
	<ul> <li>General Full Factorial Designs Chapter 23</li> </ul>
<b>□</b> 10/27	Introduction to Simulation Chapter 24
<b>1</b> 0/29	Introduction to Simulation (Continued) Chapter 24
□ 11/3 Washington Universit	Mid-Term Exam 2 y in St. Louis CSE567M ©2008 Raj Jain

<b>Tentative</b>	Sch	<b>nedu</b> l	le (	C	ont	t)
			(			

1 chicach ( Cont.)
Analysis of Simulation Results Chapter 25
Random Number Generation Chapter 26
Testing Random Number Generators Chapter 27 Random Variate Generation Chapter 28
Introduction to Queueing Theory Chapter 30 Analysis of Single Queue Chapter 31
Queueing Networks Chapter 32
<ul> <li>Operational Laws Chapter 33</li> </ul>
Operational Laws (Cont)
Mean-Value Analysis Chapter 34
Convolution Algorithm Chapter 35
TBD
Final Exam
Class Meeting: Final Grades

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Projects**

- A survey paper on a performance topic
  - Workloads/Metrics/Analysis: Databases, Networks,
     Computer Systems, Web Servers, Graphics, Sensors,
     Distributed Systems
  - Comparison of Measurement, Modeling, Simulation, Analysis Tools: NS2
  - Comprehensive Survey:
     Technical Papers, Industry Standards, Products
- A real case study on performance of a system you are already working on
- Average 6 Hrs/week/person on project + 9 Hrs/week/person on class
- $\square$  Recent Developments: Last 5 to 10 years  $\Rightarrow$  Not in books
- Better ones may be submitted to magazines or journals

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Example of Previous Case Studies**

- Measure the performance of a remote procedure call mechanism used in a distributed system.
- Measure and compare the performance of window systems of two artificial intelligence systems.
- Simulate and compare the performance of two processor interconnection networks.
- Measure and analyze the performance of two microprocessors.
- Characterize the workload of a campus timesharing system.
- □ Compute the effects of various factors and their interactions on the performance of two text-formatting programs.
- Measure and analyze the performance of a distributed information system.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

## **Case Studies (Cont)**

- Simulate the communications controllers for an intelligent terminal system.
- Measure and analyze the performance of a computer-aided design tool.
- Measure and identify the factors that affect the performance of an experimental garbage collection algorithm.
- Measure and compare the performance of remote procedure calls and remote pipe calls.
- Analyze the effect of factors that impact the performance of two RISC processor architectures.
- Analyze the performance of a parallel compiler running on a multiprocessor system.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Projects (Cont)**

- Develop a software monitor to observe the performance of a large multiprocessor system.
- Analyze the performance of a distributed game program running on a network of artificial intelligence systems.
- Compare the performance of several robot control algorithms.
- □ Goal: Provide an insight (or information) not obvious before the project.
- □ Real Problems: Thesis work, or job
- ☐ Homeworks: Apply techniques learnt to your system.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Project Schedule**

Mon 10/6/06 Topic Selection

Mon 10/20/06 References Due

Mon 10/27/06 Outline Due

Mon 11/10/06 First Draft Due -> Peer reviewed

Mon 11/17/06 Reviews Returned

Mon 11/24/06 Final Report Due

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

#### **Office Hours**

■ Monday: 11 AM to 12 noon

Wednesday: 3:30 PM to 4:30PM

□ Office: Bryan 405D

□ Grader: Chakchai So-In, cs5@cec.wustl.edu

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# **Frequently Asked Questions**

- ☐ Yes, I do use "curve". Your grade depends upon the performance of the rest of the class.
- □ All homeworks are due on the following Monday unless specified otherwise.
- □ Any late submissions, if allowed, will \*always\* have a penalty.
- □ One 8.4x11 sheet allowed in the exam. Book not allowed. Time limited.
- Exams consist of numerical as well as multiple-choice (true-false) questions.
- □ There is negative grading on incorrect multiple-choice questions. Grade: +1 for correct. -1/(n-1) for incorrect.
- Everyone including the graduating students are graded the same way.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M



- □ Goal: To prepare you for correct analysis and modeling of any system
- □ There will be a self-reading and writing
- Get ready to work hard

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

# Quiz 0: Prerequisites

True or False?
T F
☐ ☐ The sum of two normal variates is normal.
$\Box$ The sum of two normal variates with means 4 and 3 has a mean of 12.
☐ ☐ The probability of a fair coin coming up head once and tail once in two throws is 1.
$\Box$ The density function f(x) approaches 1 as x approaches $\infty$ .
lacktriangle $lacktriangle$ Given two variables, the variable with higher median also has a higher mean.
$\Box$ The probability of a fair coin coming up heads twice in a row is 1/4.
$\Box$ The difference of two normal variates with means 4 and 3 has a mean of 4/3.
$\Box$ The cumulative distribution function $F(x)$ approaches 1 as x approaches $\infty$ .
High coefficient of variation implies a low variance and vice versa.
$\Box$ If x is 0, then after x++, x will be 1.
Marks = Correct Answers Incorrect Answers =
Washington University in St. Louis CSE567M ©2008 Raj Jain