Random-Number Generation

Raj Jain
Washington University
Saint Louis, MO 63131
Jain@cse.wustl.edu

These slides are available on-line at:

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse574-06/

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s



- Desired properties of a good generator
- □ Linear-congruential generators
- □ Tausworthe generators
- □ Survey of random number generators
- □ Seed selection
- Myths about random number generation

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Random-Number Generation

- □ Random Number = Uniform (0, 1)
- □ Random Variate = Other distributions
 - = Function(Random number)

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

A Sample Generator

$$x_n = f(x_{n-1}, x_{n-2}, \ldots)$$

□ For example,

$$x_n = 5x_{n-1} + 1 \mod 16$$

 \Box Starting with $x_0=5$:

$$x_1 = 5(5) + 1 \mod 16 = 26 \mod 16 = 10$$


- □ The first 32 numbers obtained by the above procedure 10, 3, 0, 1, 6, 15, 12, 13, 2, 11, 8, 9, 14, 7, 4, 5 10, 3, 0, 1, 6, 15, 12, 13, 2, 11, 8, 9, 14, 7, 4, 5.
- By dividing x's by 16:
 - 0.6250, 0.1875, 0.0000, 0.0625, 0.3750, 0.9375, 0.7500,
 - 0.8125, 0.1250, 0.6875, 0.5000, 0.5625, 0.8750, 0.4375,
 - 0.2500, 0.3125, 0.6250, 0.1875, 0.0000, 0.0625, 0.3750,
 - 0.9375, 0.7500, 0.8125, 0.1250, 0.6875, 0.5000, 0.5625,
 - 0.8750, 0.4375, 0.2500, 0.3125.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Terminology

- \Box **Seed** = x_0
- Pseudo-Random: Deterministic yet would pass randomness tests
- Fully Random: Not repeatable
- □ Cycle length, Tail, Period



Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Desired Properties of a Good Generator

- □ It should be efficiently computable.
- □ The period should be large.
- □ The successive values should be independent and uniformly distributed

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Types of Random-number Generators

- □ Linear congruential generators
- □ Tausworthe generators
- Extended Fibonacci generators
- Combined generators

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Linear-Congruential Generators

- Discovered by D. H. Lehmer in 1951
- ☐ The residues of successive powers of a number have good randomness properties.

$$x_n = a^n \mod m$$

Equivalently,

$$x_n = ax_{n-1} \mod m$$

a =multiplier

m = modulus

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Linear-Congruential Generators (Cont)

- \square Lehmer's choices: a = 23 and $m = 10^8 + 1$
- □ Good for ENIAC, an 8-digit decimal machine.
- ☐ Generalization:

$$x_n = ax_{n-1} + b \mod m$$

- □ Can be analyzed easily using the theory of congruences
 - ⇒ Mixed Linear-Congruential Generators or Linear-Congruential Generators (LCG)
- \square Mixed = both multiplication by a and addition of b

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Selection of LCG Parameters

- \square a, b, and m affect the period and autocorrelation
- \square The modulus m should be large.
- \Box The period can never be more than m.
- □ For mod m computation to be efficient, m should be a power of $2 \Rightarrow \text{Mod } m$ can be obtained by truncation.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Selection of LCG Parameters (Cont)

- □ If *b* is nonzero, the maximum possible period *m* is obtained if and only if:
- Integers m and b are relatively prime, that is, have no common factors other than 1.
- \triangleright Every prime number that is a factor of m is also a factor of a-1.
- \triangleright If integer m is a multiple of 4, a-1 should be a multiple of 4.
- Notice that all of these conditions are met if $m=2^k$, a=4c+1, and b is odd. Here, c, b, and k are positive integers.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Period vs. Autocorrelation

■ A generator that has the maximum possible period is called a full-period generator.

$$x_n = (2^{34} + 1)x_{n-1} + 1 \mod 2^{35}$$

$$x_n = (2^{18} + 1)x_{n-1} + 1 \mod 2^{35}$$

- Lower autocorrelations between successive numbers are preferable.
- Both generators have the same full period, but the first one has a correlation of 0.25 between x_{n-1} and x_n , whereas the second one has a negligible correlation of less than 2^{-18}

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Multiplicative LCG

■ Multiplicative LCG: *b*=0

$$x_n = ax_{n-1} \mod m$$

□ Two types:

$$m=2^k$$

$$m \neq 2^k$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Multiplicative LCG with m=2k

- \square $m = 2^k \Rightarrow$ trivial division
 - \Rightarrow Maximum possible period 2^{k-2}
- \square Period achieved if multiplier a is of the form $8i\pm 3$, and the initial seed is an odd integer
- One-fourth the maximum possible may not be too small
- Low order bits of random numbers obtained using multiplicative LCG's with $m=2^k$ have a cyclic pattern

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Example 26.1a

$$x_n = 5x_{n-1} \mod 2^5$$

□ Using a seed of x_0 =1:

5, 25, 29, 17, 21, 9, 13, 1, 5,...

Period = 8 = 32/4

■ With $x_0 = 2$, the sequence is: 10, 18, 26, 2, 10,... Here, the period is only 4.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Example 26.1b

 \square Multiplier not of the form 8i \pm 3:

$$x_n = 7x_{n-1} \mod 2^5$$

- Using a seed of $x_0 = 1$, we get the sequence: 7, 17, 23, 1, 7,...
- □ The period is only 4

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Multiplicative LCG with m≠ 2^k

 \square Modulus m = prime number

With a proper multiplier a, period = m-1

Maximum possible period = m

- \square If and only if the multiplier a is a *primitive root* of the modulus m
- \square a is a primitive root of m if and only if $a^n \mod m \neq 1$ for n = 1, 2, ..., m-2.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Example 26.2

$$x_n = 3x_{n-1} \bmod 31$$

■ Starting with a seed of x_0 =1:

1, 3, 9, 27, 19, 26, 16, 17, 20, 29, 25, 13, 8, 24, 10, 30, 28, 22, 4, 12, 5, 15, 14, 11, 2, 6, 18, 23, 7, 21, 1, ...

The period is 30

- \Rightarrow 3 is a primitive root of 31
- □ With a multiplier of a = 5: 1, 5, 25, 1,...

The period is only $3 \Rightarrow 5$ is not a primitive root of 31

$$5^3 \mod 31 = 125 \mod 31 = 1$$

 \square Primitive roots of 31= 3, 11, 12, 13, 17, 21, 22, and 24.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Schrage's Method

- PRN computation assumes:
 - No round-off errors, integer arithmetic and no overflows
 ⇒ Can't do it in BASIC
 - > Product a x_{n-1} > Largest integer \Rightarrow Overflow
- □ Identity: $ax \mod m = g(x) + mh(x)$

Where: $g(x) = a(x \mod q) - r(x \operatorname{div} q)$

And: $h(x) = (x \operatorname{div} q) - (ax \operatorname{div} m)$

Here, q = m div a, r = m mod a

`A div B' = dividing A by B and truncating the result.

- For all x's in the range 1, 2, ..., m-1, computing g(x) involves numbers less than m-1.
- If r < q, h(x) is either 0 or 1, and it can be inferred from g(x); h(x) is 1 if and only if g(x) is negative.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Example 26.3

$$x_n = 7^5 x_{n-1} \mod (2^{31} - 1)$$

- 2^{31} -1 = 2147483647 = prime number
- \Box 7⁵ = 16807 is one of its 534,600,000 primitive roots
- The product a x_{n-1} can be as large as $16807 \times 2147483647 \approx 1.03 \times 2^{45}$.
- Need 46-bit integers

$$a = 16807$$

$$m = 2147483647$$

$$q = m \text{ div } a = 2147483647 \text{ div } 16807 = 12773$$

$$r = m \mod a = 2147483647 \mod 16807 = 2836$$

□ For a correct implementation, $x_0 = 1 \Rightarrow x_{10000} = 1,043,618,065$.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Generator Using Integer Arithmetic

```
FUNCTION Random(VAR x:INTEGER) : REAL;
      CONST
        a = 16807; (* Multiplier *)
        m = 2147483647; (* Modulus *)
        q = 127773; (* m div a *)
        r = 2836; (* m mod a *)
      VAR.
        x_div_q, x_mod_q, x_new: INTEGER;
      BEGIN
        x_{div_q} := x DIV q;
        x_{mod_q} := x MOD q;
        x_new := a*x_mod_q - r*x_div_q;
        IF x_{new} > 0 THEN x := x_{new} ELSE x := x_{new} + m;
        Random := x/m;
      END:
Washington University in St. Louis
                                CSE574s
                                                             ©2006 Rai Jain
```

Generator Using Real Arithmetic

```
FUNCTION Random(VAR x:DOUBLE) : DOUBLE;
    CONST
      a = 16807.0D0; (* Multiplier *)
      m = 2147483647.0D0; (* Modulus *)
      q = 127773.0D0; (* m div a *)
      r = 2836.0D0; (* m mod a *)
    VAR.
      x_div_q, x_mod_q, x_new: DOUBLE;
    BEGIN
      x_{div_q} := TRUNC(x/q);
      x_{mod_q} := x_{q*x_div_q};
      x_new := a*x_mod_q - r*x_div_q;
      IF x_{new} > 0.0D0 THEN x := x_{new} ELSE x := x_{new} + m;
      Random := x/m;
    END:
Washington University in St. Louis
                                CSE574s
                                                             ©2006 Rai Jain
```

Tausworthe Generators

- Need long random numbers for cryptographic applications
- □ Generate random sequence of binary digits (0 or 1)
- □ Divide the sequence into strings of desired length
- Proposed by Tausworthe (1965) $b_n = c_{q-1}b_{n-1} \oplus c_{q-2}b_{n-2} \oplus c_{q-3}b_{n-3} \oplus \cdots \oplus c_0b_{n-q}$

Where c_i and b_i are binary variables with values of 0 or 1, and \oplus is the exclusive-or (mod 2 addition) operation.

- \square Uses the last q bits of the sequence
 - \Rightarrow autoregressive sequence of order q or AR(q).
- \square An AR(q) generator can have a maximum period of 2^q -1.

Tausworthe Generators (Cont)

 \Box D = delay operator such that Db(n) = b(n+1)

$$D^{q}b(i-q) = c_{q-1}D^{q-1}b(i-q) + c_{q-2}D^{q-2}b(i-q) + \dots + c_{0}b(i-q) \mod 2$$

$$D^{q} - c_{q-1}D^{q-1} - c_{q-2}D^{q-2} - \dots - c_{0} = 0 \mod 2$$

$$D^{q} + c_{q-1}D^{q-1} + c_{q-2}D^{q-2} + \dots + c_{0} = 0 \mod 2$$

□ Characteristic polynomial:

$$x^{q} + c_{q-1}x^{q-1} + c_{q-2}x^{q-2} + \dots + c_{0}$$

- The period is the smallest positive integer n for which x^n -1 is divisible by the characteristic polynomial.
- □ The maximum possible period with a polynomial of order q is 2^q -1. The polynomials that give this period are called **primitive** polynomials.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Example 26.4

$$x^7 + x^3 + 1$$

 $lue{}$ Using D operator in place of x:

$$D^7b(n) + D^3b(n) + b(n) = 0 \mod 2$$

Or:

$$b_{n+7} + b_{n+3} + b_n = 0 \mod 2$$
 $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

Using the exclusive-or operator

$$b_{n+7} \oplus b_{n+4} \oplus b_n = 0 \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Or:

$$b_{n+7} = b_{n+4} \oplus b_n \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

 \square Substituting *n*-7 for *n*:

$$b_n = b_{n-4} \oplus b_{n-7}$$
 $n = 7, 8, 9, \dots$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Example 26.4 (Cont)

 \square Starting with $b_0 = b_1 = \cdots = b_6 = 1$:

$$b_7 = b_3 \oplus b_0 = 1 \oplus 1 = 0$$
 $b_8 = b_4 \oplus b_1 = 1 \oplus 1 = 0$
 $b_9 = b_5 \oplus b_2 = 1 \oplus 1 = 0$
 $b_{10} = b_6 \oplus b_3 = 1 \oplus 1 = 0$
 $b_{11} = b_7 \oplus b_4 = 0 \oplus 1 = 1$

■ The complete sequence is:

- ightharpoonup Period = 127 or 27-1 bits
- \Rightarrow The polynomial $x^7 + x^3 + 1$ is a primitive polynomial.


Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s


Linear Feedback Shift Register

$$x^5+x^3+1 \Rightarrow b_n = b_{n-2} \oplus b_{n-5}$$

This can be easily implemented using shift registers:



In general: $b_n = c_{q-1}b_{n-1} \oplus c_{q-2}b_{n-2} \oplus c_{q-3}b_{n-3} \oplus \cdots \oplus c_0b_{n-q}$



AND gates are not required if c_i's are known Washington University in St. Louis CSE574s

Generating U(0,1)

Divide the sequence into successive groups of s bits and use the first *l* bits of each group as a binary fraction:

$$x_n = 0.b_{sn}b_{sn+1}b_{sn+2}b_{sn+3}\cdots b_{sn+l-1}$$

Or equivalently:
$$x_n = \sum_{j=1}^{n} 2^{-j} b_{sn+j-1}$$

Here, s is a constant greater than or equal to l and is relatively prime to 2^q -1.

 $s \ge l \Rightarrow x_n$ and x_j for $n \ne j$ have no bits in common

Relative prime-ness guarantees a full period 2^q -1 for x_n .

Example 26.5

$$b_n = b_{n-4} \oplus b_{n-7}$$

- □ The period 2^7 -1=127
- \square l=8, s=8:

$$x_0 = 0.111111110_2 = 0.99219_{10}$$

$$x_1 = 0.00011101_2 = 0.11328_{10}$$

$$x_2 = 0.11100101_2 = 0.89453_{10}$$

$$x_3 = 0.10010010_2 = 0.29688_{10}$$

$$x_4 = 0.00000100_2 = 0.36328_{10}$$

$$x_5 = 0.01001100_2 = 0.42188_{10}$$

•

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Properties of Tausworthe Generators

The *l*-bit numbers have the following property:

1. The mean of the sequence is one-half:

$$E[x_n] \approx \frac{1}{2}$$

2. The variance of the sequence is one-twelfth:

$$\operatorname{Var}[x_n] \approx \frac{1}{12}$$

3. The serial correlation is zero:

$$Corr[x_n, x_{n+s}] = 0$$
 for $0 < |s| < \frac{(2^q - 1 - l)}{l}$

Properties of Tausworthe Generators (Cont)

- 4. The sequence is *k*-distributed for all *k*'s up to $\lfloor q/l \rfloor$.
- \Rightarrow Every k-tuple of l-bit numbers appears 2^{q-kl} times over the full period except the all-zero tuple, which appears one time less.

the bit sequence contains 2^{q-1} ones and 2^{q-1} -1 zeros

If a window of length q slides along the sequence, each of the 2^{q-1} nonzero k-tuples appears exactly once in a complete period.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Primitive Trinomials

- □ Trinomials: Only three non-zero terms
- ⇒ Generation of each new bit requires just one exclusive-or operation

$$b_n = b_{n-q+r} \oplus b_{n-q}$$

- $rac{1}{2}$ $rac{2}$ $rac{2}$ $rac{2}$ Successive q-bits can be generated using shift and an exclusive-or sequence:
- □ The individual bits in a word are read from the right.

Primitive Trinomials (Cont)

- $lue{}$ For example, the seed is $b_{q-1} b_{q-2} \cdots b_0$.
- 1. Start with a q-bit seed Y_1 .
- 2. Right-shift Y_1 by r bits, filling with zeros on the left. Call the result Y_2 .
- 3. Exclusive-or Y_1 and Y_2 . Call the result Y_3 . This completes the computation of the right q-r bits.
- 4. Left-shift Y_3 by q-r bits, filling with zeros on the right. Call the result Y_4 .
- 5. Exclusive-or Y_3 and Y_4 . The result Y_5 is the new q-bit seed.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Example 26.6

$$x^7 + x^3 + 1$$

- r=3, q=7, and q-r=4
 - ⇒ Need a 3-bit right-shift and a 4-bit left-shift
- □ Seed *X*=1111111:

Step 1: Copy seed
$$Y_1 = X = 11111111$$

Step 2: Right-shift by 3
$$Y_2 = 0001111$$

Step 3: Exclusive-or
$$Y_3 = Y_1 \oplus Y_2 = 1110000$$

Step 4: Left-shift by 4
$$Y_4 = 0000000$$

Step 5: Exclusive-or
$$Y_5 = Y_3 \oplus Y_4 = 1110000$$

Example 26.6 (Cont)

□ The next 7 bits (read from the right) are 0000111. The process can then be repeated:

Step 1: Copy seed $Y_1 = X = 1110000$

Step 2: Right-shift by 3 $Y_2 = 0001110$

Step 3: Exclusive-or $Y_3 = Y_1 \oplus Y_2 = 11111110$

Step 4: Left-shift by 4 $Y_4 = 1100000$

Step 5: Exclusive-or $Y_5 = Y_3 \oplus Y_4 = 00111110$

□ The next 7 bits (read from the right) are 0111100

List of Primitive Trinomials.

If $x^q + x^r + 1$ is listed then $x^q + x^{q-r} + 1$ is also primitive.

Disadvantages of Tausworthe Generators

- ☐ The sequence may produce good test results over the complete cycle, it may not have satisfactory local behavior.
- □ It performed negatively on the runs up and down test.
- Although the first-order serial correlation is almost zero, it is suspected that some primitive polynomials may give poor high-order correlations.
- Not all primitive polynomials are equally good.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Generalized Feedback Shift Register (GFSR)

 \Box *l*-bit sequence x_n is generated as follows:

$$x_n = 0.b_n b_{n+s} b_{n+2s} \cdots b_{n+(l-1)s}$$

Here, s is a ``carefully selected delay."

- □ The sequence x_n can be generated very efficiently using wordwide shift and exclusive-or instructions.
- Need to *store* an array of numbers and carefully initialize the array

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Extended Fibonacci Generators

- □ Fibonacci sequence: $x_n = x_{n-1} + x_{n-2}$
- □ Random numbers: $x_n = x_{n-1} + x_{n-2} \mod m$
- ⇒ High serial correlation
- ⇒ Not good randomness properties
- □ Combine the fifth and seventeenth most recent values:

$$x_n = x_{n-5} + x_{n-17} \mod 2^k$$

This generator passes most statistical tests.

- It can be implemented as follows using 17 storage locations L[1],...,L[17]
- □ Initialization: fill the locations with 17 integers, not all even, and set two pointers *i* and *j* to 17 and 5, respectively.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Extended Fibonacci Generators (Cont)

On each successive call:

```
x := L[i] + L[j];

L[i] := x;

i := i - 1; IF i = 0 THEN i := 17;

j := j - 1; IF j = 0 THEN j := 17;

Return x;
```

- The add operation in the first line is automatically modulo 2^k in k-bit machines with 2's complement arithmetic.
- \square The period of the generator is $2^k(2^{17}-1)$.
- □ For k = 8, 16, and 32, this period is 1.6×10^7 , 4.3×10^9 , and 2.8×10^{14} , respectively.
- The period is considerably longer than that possible with LCGs.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Combined Generators

1. Adding random numbers obtained by two or more generators.

$$w_n = (x_n + y_n) \mod m$$

For example, L'Ecuyer (1986):

$$x_n = 40014x_{n-1} \mod 2147483563$$

$$y_n = 40692y_{n-1} \mod 2147483399$$

This would produce:

$$w_n = (x_n - y_n) \mod 2147483562$$

Period = 2.3×10^{18}

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Combined Generators (Cont)

Another Example: For 16-bit computers:

$$w_n = 157w_{n-1} \bmod 32363$$

$$x_n = 146x_{n-1} \mod 31727$$

$$y_n = 142y_{n-1} \mod 31657$$

Use:

$$v_n = (w_n - x_n + y_n) \mod 32362$$

This generator has a period of 8.1×10^{12} .

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Combined Generators (Cont)

- 2. Exclusive-or random numbers obtained by two or more generators.
- 3. Shuffle. Use one sequence as an index to decide which of several numbers generated by the second sequence should be returned.

Combined Generators (Cont)

- Algorithm M:
- a) Fill an array of size, say, 100.
- b) Generate a new y_n (between 0 and m-1)
- c) Index $i=1+100 y_n/m$
- d) ith element of the array is returned as the next random number
- e) A new value of x_n is generated and stored in the *i*th location

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Survey of Random-Number Generators

■ A currently popular multiplicative LCG is:

$$x_n = 7^5 x_{n-1} \mod (2^{31} - 1)$$

- > Used in:
 - □ SIMPL/I system (IBM 1972),
 - □ APL system from IBM (Katzan 1971),
 - □ PRIMOS operating system from Prime Computer (1984), and
 - □ Scientific library from IMSL (1980)
- > 2^{31} -1 is a prime number and 7^5 is a primitive root of it \Rightarrow Full period of 2^{31} -2.
- > This generator has been extensively analyzed and shown to be good.
- > Its low-order bits are uniformly distributed.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Survey of RNG's (Cont)

□ Fishman and Moore (1986)'s exhaustive search of $m=2^{31}-1$:

$$x_n = 48271x_{n-1} \mod (2^{31} - 1)$$

$$x_n = 69621x_{n-1} \mod (2^{31} - 1)$$

■ SIMSCRIPT II.5 and in DEC-20 FORTRAN:

$$x_n = 630360016x_{n-1} \mod (2^{31} - 1)$$

Survey of RNG's (Cont)

□ ``RANDU" (IBM 1968): Very popular in the 1960s:

$$x_n = (2^{16} + 3)x_{n-1} \mod 2^{31}$$

- > Modulus and the multiplier were selected primarily to facilitate easy computation.
- Multiplication by $2^{16}+3=65539$ can be easily accomplished by a few shift and add instructions.
- > Does not have a full period and has been shown to be flawed in many respects.
- > Does not have good randomness properties (Knuth, p 173).
- ➤ Triplets lie on a total of 15 planes
 ⇒ Unsatisfactory three-distributivity
- ➤ Like all LCGs with m=2^k, the lower order bits of this generator have a small period. RANDU is no longer used

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Survey of RNG's (Cont)

Analog of RANDU for 16-bit microprocessors:

$$x_n = (2^8 + 3)x_{n-1} \mod (2^{15})$$

- > This generator shares all known problems of RANDU
- ▶ Period = only a few thousand numbers
 ⇒ not suitable for any serious simulation study
- □ University of Sheffield Pascal system for Prime Computers:

$$x_n = 16807x_{n-1} \bmod 2^{31}$$

- > $16807 \neq 8i \pm 3$ ⇒ Does not have the maximum possible period of 2^{31} -2.
- > Used with a shuffle technique in the subroutine UNIFORM of the SAS statistical package

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Survey of RNG's (cont)

□ SIMULA on UNIVAC uses the following generator:

$$x_n = 5^{13} x_{n-1} \mod 2^{35}$$

- ➤ Has maximum possible period of 2³³, Park and Miller (1988) claim that it does not have good randomness properties.
- □ The UNIX operating system:

$$x_n = (1103515245x_{n-1} + 12345) \mod 2^{32}$$

> Like all LCGs with $m=2^k$, the binary representation of x_n 's has a cyclic bit pattern

Seed Selection

- Multi-stream simulations: Need more than one random stream
 - Single queue ⇒ Two streams
 = Random arrival and random service times
- 1. Do not use zero. Fine for mixed LCGs.
 But multiplicative LCG or a Tausworthe LCG will stick at zero.
- 2. Avoid even values. For multiplicative LCG with modulus $m=2^k$, the seed should be odd. Better to avoid generators that have too many conditions on seed values or whose performance (period and randomness) depends upon the seed value.
- 3. Do not subdivide one stream.

Seed Selection (Cont)

- 4. Do not generate successive seeds: u_1 to generate inter-arrival times, u_2 to generate service time \Rightarrow Strong correlation
- Use non-overlapping streams.
 Overlap ⇒ Correlation, e.g., Same seed ⇒ same stream
- 6. Reuse seeds in successive replications.
- 7. Do not use random seeds: Such as the time of day. Can't reproduce. Can't guaranteed non-overlap.
- 8. Select $\{u_0, u_{100,000}, u_{200,000}, \ldots\}$

$$x_n = a^n x_0 + \frac{c(a^n - 1)}{a - 1} \mod m$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Table of Seeds

$$x_n = 7^5 x_{n-1} \bmod (2^{31} - 1)$$

$x_{100000i}$	$x_{100000(i+1)}$	$x_{100000(i+2)}$	$x_{100000(i+3)}$
1	46,831,694	1,841,581,359	1,193,163,244
$727,\!633,\!698$	$933,\!588,\!178$	804,159,733	$1,\!671,\!059,\!989$
1,061,288,424	1,961,692,154	1,227,283,347	$1,\!171,\!034,\!773$
276,090,261	1,066,728,069	$209,\!208,\!115$	$554,\!590,\!007$
$721,\!958,\!466$	1,371,272,478	$675,\!466,\!456$	1,095,462,486
1,808,217,256	2,095,021,727	1,769,349,045	$904,\!914,\!315$
$373,\!135,\!028$	717,419,739	881,155,353	1,489,529,863
1,521,138,112	298,370,230	1,140,279,430	1,335,826,707
$706,\!178,\!559$	110,356,601	884,434,366	962,338,209
1,341,315,363	709,314,158	591,449,447	431,918,286
851,767,375	606,179,079	1,500,869,201	1,434,868,289
263,032,577	753,643,799	202,794,285	$715,\!851,\!524$
Washington University in St. Lo	uis CS	CSE574s	

Myths About Random-Number Generation

- 1. A complex set of operations leads to random results. It is better to use simple operations that can be analytically evaluated for randomness.
- 2. A single test, such as the chi-square test, is sufficient to test the goodness of a random-number generator. The sequence 0,1,2,...,m-1 will pass the chi-square test with a perfect score, but will fail the run test \Rightarrow Use as many tests as possible.
- 3. Random numbers are unpredictable. Easy to compute the parameters, a, c, and m from a few numbers \Rightarrow LCGs are unsuitable for cryptographic applications

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Myths (Cont)

4. Some seeds are better than others. May be true for some.

$$x_n = (9806x_{n-1} + 1) \mod (2^{17} - 1)$$

- \triangleright Works correctly for all seeds except $x_0 = 37911$
- > Stuck at $x_n = 37911$ forever
- > Such generators should be avoided.
- Any *nonzero* seed in the valid range should produce an equally good sequence.
- > For some, the seed should be odd.
- > Generators whose period or randomness depends upon the seed should not be used, since an unsuspecting user may not remember to follow all the guidelines.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Myths (Cont)

- 5. Accurate implementation is not important.
 - > RNGs must be implemented without any overflow or truncation For example,

$$x_n = 1103515245x_{n-1} + 12345 \mod 2^{31}$$

> In FORTRAN:

$$x_n = (1103515245x_{n-1} + 12345).AND.X'7FFFFFFF'$$

- > The AND operation is used to clear the sign bit
- > Straightforward multiplication above will produce overflow.
- 6. Bits of successive words generated by a random-number generator are equally randomly distributed.
 - ➤ If an algorithm produces *l*-bit wide random numbers, the randomness is guaranteed only when all *l* bits are used to form successive random numbers.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s


Example 26.7

 $x_n = (25173x_{n-1} + 13849) \mod 2^{16}$

Notice that:	n	x_n	
		Decimal	Binary
a) Bit 1 (the least	1	25,173	01100010 01010101
significant bit) is alway	\sim 2	$12,\!345$	00110000 00111001
1.	3	$54,\!509$	11010100 11101101
b) Bit 2 is always 0.	4	$27,\!825$	01101100 10110001
•	5	$55,\!493$	11011000 11000101
c) Bit 3 alternates between	1 6	25,449	01100011 01101001
1 and 0, thus, it has a	7	$13,\!277$	00110011 11011101
cycle of length 2.	8	$53,\!857$	11010010 01100001
d) Bit 4 follows a cycle	9	$64,\!565$	11111100 00110101
(0110) of length 4.	10	1945	00000111 10011001
, , , ,	11	6093	00010111 11001101
e) Bit 5 follows a cycle	12	24,849	01100001 00010001
(11010010) of length 8.	13	48,293	10111100 10100101
Washington University in St. Louis	CSE574s		©2006 Raj Jain

Example 26.7 (Cont)

- □ The least significant bit is either always 0 or always 1.
- □ The lth bit has a period at most 2^{l} . (l=1 is the least significant bit)
- \Box For all mixed LCGs with $m=2^k$:
 - > The *l*th bit has a period at most 2^l .
 - > In general, the high-order bits are more randomly distributed than the low-order bits.
 - \Rightarrow Better to take the high-order l bits than the low-order l bits.



- Pseudo-random numbers are used in simulation for repeatability, non-overlapping sequences, long cycle
- ☐ It is important to implement PRNGs in integer arithmetic without overflow => Schrage's method
- □ For multi-stream simulations, it is important to select seeds that result in non-overlapping sequences
- Two or more generators can be combined for longer cycles
- □ Bits of random numbers may not be random

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Homework

 \square Submit answer to Exercise 26.5. Submit code and report $x_{20,000}$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

What is the maximum period obtainable from the following generator:

$$x_n = ax_{n-1} \bmod 2^4$$

What should be the value of *a*?

What restrictions are required on the seed?

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Determine $24^n \mod 31$ for n = 1,...,30.

Find the smallest n for which the mod operation's result is 1.

Is 24 is a primitive root of 31?

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Determine all primitive roots of 11.

□ Compute the period of the following generator:

$$x_n = 13x_{n-1} \mod 2311$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Implement the following LCG using Schrage's method to avoid overflow:

$$x_n = 40014x_{n-1} \mod 2147483563$$

Using a seed of $x_0=1$, determine x_{10000} .

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Implement the following LCG with and without the Schrage's method:

$$x_n = 11x_{n-1} \mod 31$$

Are the sequences generated the same? If not, explain what is the problem.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

□ Can you implement the following LCG using Schrage's method?

$$x_n = 24x_{n-1} \mod 31$$

Write programs to implement the generator with and without the Schrage's method and justify your answer.

Determine which of the following polynomials is a primitive polynomial:

1.
$$x^2+x+1$$

2.
$$x^3+x^2+1$$

3.
$$x^4+x^2+1$$

4.
$$x^5+x^2+1$$

Determine the period of the Tausworthe sequence generated using each of the following characteristic polynomials:

1.
$$x^5+x+1$$

2.
$$x^6 + x^3 + 1$$

3.
$$x^8+x+1$$

4.
$$x^{30}+x^{15}+1$$

Generate five 6-bit numbers using the Tausworthe method for the following characteristic polynomial starting with a seed of x_0 =0.111111₂:

$$x^6 + x + 1$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

What is wrong with the parameters of the following two generators?

$$x_n = (40x_{n-1} + 3641) \mod 729$$

$$x_n = (61x_{n-1} + 2323) \mod 500$$

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s

Generate 48 random numbers using a seed of x_0 =1 in the following mixed LCG:

$$x_n = 13x_{n-1} + 11 \mod 2^{16}$$

Find the period of *l*th bit from the right for l=1,2...,5.

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE574s