CSE 567M Computer Systems Analysis

Raj Jain Washington University in Saint Louis Saint Louis, MO 63130 Jain@cse.wustl.edu

These slides are available on-line at:

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/cse567-06/

Washington University in St. Louis



- □ Contents of the course
- □ Tentative Schedule

Project

Grading

Goal of This Course

- **Comprehensive course on performance analysis**
- Includes measurement, statistical modeling, experimental design, simulation, and queuing theory
- How to avoid common mistakes in performance analysis
- Graduate course: (Advanced Topics)
 - \Rightarrow Lot of independent reading and writing
 - \Rightarrow Project/Survey paper (Research techniques)

Text Book

 R. Jain, "Art of Computer Systems Performance Analysis," Wiley, 1991, ISBN:0471503363 (Winner of the "1992 Best Computer Systems Book" Award from Computer Press Association")

Objectives: What You Will Learn

- Specifying performance requirements
- Evaluating design alternatives
- Comparing two or more systems
- Determining the optimal value of a parameter (system tuning)
- □ Finding the performance bottleneck (bottleneck identification)
- Characterizing the load on the system (workload characterization)
- Determining the number and sizes of components (capacity planning)
- □ Predicting the performance at future loads (forecasting).

Basic Terms

- System: Any collection of hardware, software, and firmware
- Metrics: Criteria used to evaluate the performance of the system. components.
- □ Workloads: The requests made by the users of the system.

Main Parts of the Course

- □ Part I: An Overview of Performance Evaluation
- □ Part II: Measurement Techniques and Tools
- □ Part III: Probability Theory and Statistics
- □ Part IV: Experimental Design and Analysis
- □ Part V: Simulation
- □ Part VI: Queueing Theory

Part I: An Overview of Performance Evaluation

Introduction

- Common Mistakes and How To Avoid Them
- Selection of Techniques and Metrics

Example I

- What performance metrics should be used to compare the performance of the following systems:
 - > Two disk drives?
 - > Two transaction-processing systems?
 - > Two packet-retransmission algorithms?

Part II: Measurement Techniques and Tools

- □ Types of Workloads
- Popular Benchmarks
- □ The Art of Workload Selection
- Workload Characterization Techniques
- Monitors
- Accounting Logs
- Monitoring Distributed Systems
- Load Drivers
- **Capacity Planning**
- **The Art of Data Presentation**
- **Ratio Games**

Example II

- Which type of monitor (software or hardware) would be more suitable for measuring each of the following quantities:
 - > Number of Instructions executed by a processor?
 - > Degree of multiprogramming on a timesharing system?
 - > Response time of packets on a network?

Part III: Probability Theory and Statistics

- Probability and Statistics Concepts
- Four Important Distributions
- Summarizing Measured Data By a Single Number
- Summarizing The Variability Of Measured Data
- Graphical Methods to Determine Distributions of Measured Data
- Sample Statistics
- Confidence Interval
- Comparing Two Alternatives
- Measures of Relationship
- Simple Linear Regression Models
- Multiple Linear Regression Models
- Other Regression Models

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

The number of measured for	of p our	Exa ackets l file siz	nple	two links was hown below:	
File S	bize	Link A	Link B	_	
1	000	5	10	_	
1:	200	7	3		
13	300	3	0		
	50	0	1		
Which link is b	ette	r?			

Part IV: Experimental Design and Analysis

- □ Introduction to Experimental Design
- □ 2^k Factorial Designs
- □ 2^kr Factorial Designs with Replications
- □ 2^{k-p} Fractional Factorial Designs
- One Factor Experiments
- Two Factors Full Factorial Design without Replications
- **Two Factors Full Factorial Design with Replications**
- General Full Factorial Designs With *k* Factors

Example IV

- The performance of a system depends on the following three factors:
 - Garbage collection technique used: G1, G2, or none.
 - > Type of workload: editing, computing, or AI.
 - > Type of CPU: C1, C2, or C3.
- How many experiments are needed? How does one estimate the performance impact of each factor?

Part V: Simulation

- □ Introduction to Simulation
- **Types of Simulations**
- Model Verification and Validation
- Analysis of Simulation Results
- Random-Number Generation
- Testing Random-Number Generators
- **Random-Variate Generation**
- Commonly Used Distributions

Example V

- □ In order to compare the performance of two cache replacement algorithms:
 - > What type of simulation model should be used?
 - > How long should the simulation be run?
 - What can be done to get the same accuracy with a shorter run?
 - > How can one decide if the random-number generator in the simulation is a good generator?

Part VI: Queueing Theory

- □ Introduction to Queueing Theory
- □ Analysis of A Single Queue
- Queueing Networks
- Operational Laws
- Mean Value Analysis and Related Techniques
- Convolution Algorithm
- Advanced Techniques

Example VI

The average response time of a database system is three seconds. During a one-minute observation interval, the idle time on the system was ten seconds.

Using a queueing model for the system, determine the following:

- System utilization
- Average service time per query
- Number of queries completed during the observation interval
- > Average number of jobs in the system
- Probability of number of jobs in the system being greater than 10
- > 90-percentile response time
- > 90-percentile waiting time

The Art of Performance Evaluation

Given the same data, two analysts may interpret them differently.

Example:

The throughputs of two systems A and B in transactions per second is as follows:

System	Workload 1	Workload 2
A	20	10
В	10	20

		Possi	ble Solut	ions	
	ompare th	ne average	•		
	System	Workload 1	Workload 2	Average	<u>)</u>
	A	20	10	15	
	В	10	20	15	
Con	clusion: I	ne two sy	stems are ed	quany go	000.
	ompare th	ne ratio wit	th system B	as the b	ase
	ompare th System	e ratio wi Workload 1	th system B Workload 2	as the b	ase
	ompare th System	ne ratio wi Workload 1 2	th system B Workload 2 0.5	as the b Average 1.25	ase
	ompare th System A B	e ratio wit Workload 1 2 1	th system B Workload 2 0.5 1	as the b Average 1.25 1	ase
Con	ompare the System A B clusion: S	Workload 1 2 1 System A i	th system B Workload 2 0.5 1 s better than	as the b Average 1.25 1 n B.	ase

Solutions (Cont)

□ Compare the ratio with system A as the base

System	Workload 1	Workload 2	Average
А	1	1	1
В	0.5	2	1.25

Conclusion: System B is better than A.

- Similar games in: Selection of workload, Measuring the systems, Presenting the results.
- □ Common mistakes will also be discussed.

Grading			
□ Exams (Best 2 of 3)	60%		
Class participation	5%		
Homeworks	15%		
Project	20%		
Washington University in St. Louis	CSE567M	©2006 Raj Jain	

Prerequisites

- **CSE 131: Computer Science I**
- **CSE** 126: Introduction To Computer Programming
- CSE 260M: Introduction To Digital Logic And Computer Design (Not required)
- Basic Probability and Statistics

Prerequisite

General Statistics:

- > Mean, variance
- > Normal distribution
- > Density function, Distribution function
- Coefficient of variation
 Correlation coefficient
- > Median, mode, Quantile
- C Programming, UNIX

Tentative Schedule

<u>Date</u> <u>Topic</u>	Chapters
8/30 Introduction	
9/4 Memorial Day Holiday	
9/6 Common Mistakes	2
9/11 Introduction to Simulation	24
9/13 Single Queues	30
9/18 Selection of Techniques and Metrics	2,3
9/20 Workload Selection and Characterization	5,6
9/25 Data Presentation, Ratio Games	10, 11
9/27 Summarizing Measured Data	12
10/2 Exam 1	
10/4 Comparing Systems Using Random Data	13
10/9 Simple Linear Regression Models	14
10/11 Other Regression Models	15
Washington University in St. Louis CSE567M	©2006 Raj Jain

Tentative Schedule (Cont)

<u>Date Topic</u>	Chapters
10/16 Analysis of Simulation Results	25
10/18 Random Number Generation	26
10/23 Test Random Numbers	27
10/25 Experimental Designs	16, 17
10/30 Factorial Designs with Replication	18
11/1 Fractional Factorial Designs	19
11/6 Exam 2	
11/8 One Factor Experiments	20
11/13 Two Factor Full Factorial Designs	21, 22
11/15 General Full Factorial Designs	23
11/20 Analysis of Single Queue	31
11/22 Thanksgiving Holiday	

Tentative Schedule (Cont)

<u>Date Topic</u>	<u>Chapters</u>	
11/27 Queueing Networks	32	
11/29 Operational Laws	33	
12/4 Mean-Value Analysis	34	
12/6 Convolution Algorithm	35	
12/11 Final Exam		

Projects

□ A survey paper on a performance topic

- Workloads/Metrics/Analysis: Databases, Networks, Computer Systems, Web Servers, Graphics, Sensors, Distributed Systems
- Comparison of Measurement, Modeling, Simulation, Analysis Tools: NS2
- Comprehensive Survey: Technical Papers, Industry Standards, Products
- A real case study on performance of a system you are already working on
- Average 6 Hrs/week/person on project + 9 Hrs/week/person on class
- □ Recent Developments: Last 5 to 10 years \Rightarrow Not in books
- Better ones may be submitted to magazines or journals

Washington University in St. Louis

CSE567M

Example of Previous Case Studies

- Measure the performance of a remote procedure call mechanism used in a distributed system.
- Measure and compare the performance of window systems of two artificial intelligence systems.
- Simulate and compare the performance of two processor interconnection networks.
- □ Measure and analyze the performance of two microprocessors.
- □ Characterize the workload of a campus timesharing system.
- Compute the effects of various factors and their interactions on the performance of two text-formatting programs.
- Measure and analyze the performance of a distributed information system.

Case Studies (Cont)

- Simulate the communications controllers for an intelligent terminal system.
- Measure and analyze the performance of a computer-aided design tool.
- Measure and identify the factors that affect the performance of an experimental garbage collection algorithm.
- Measure and compare the performance of remote procedure calls and remote pipe calls.
- Analyze the effect of factors that impact the performance of two RISC processor architectures.
- Analyze the performance of a parallel compiler running on a multiprocessor system.

Projects (Cont)

- Develop a software monitor to observe the performance of a large multiprocessor system.
- □ Analyze the performance of a distributed game program running on a network of artificial intelligence systems.
- □ Compare the performance of several robot control algorithms.
- □ **Goal:** Provide an insight (or information) not obvious before the project.
- □ **Real Problems:** Thesis work, or job
- □ **Homeworks:** Apply techniques learnt to your system.

Project Schedule

Mon 10/9/06 Mon 10/23/06 Mon 10/30/06 Mon 11/13/06 Mon 11/20/06 Mon 11/27/06 Topic Selection References Due Outline Due First Draft Due Reviews Returned Final Report Due

Office Hours
Monday: 11 AM to 12 noon Wednesday: 3:30 PM to 4:30PM
Office: Bryan 405D
Graders: TBA

Frequently Asked Questions

- Yes, I do use "curve". Your grade depends upon the performance of the rest of the class.
- All homeworks are due on the following Monday unless specified otherwise.
- □ Any late submissions, if allowed, will *always* have a penalty.
- □ All exams are open-book and extremely time limited.
- Exams consist of numerical as well as multiple-choice (truefalse) questions.
- □ There is negative grading on incorrect multiple-choice questions. Grade: +1 for correct. -1/(n-1) for incorrect.
- Everyone including the graduating students are graded the same way.



- Goal: To prepare you for correct analysis and modeling of any system
- □ There will be a lot of self-reading and writing
- Get ready to work hard

Student Questionnaire

□ Name:		
E mail:		
□ Phone:		
Degree:	Expected Date:	
Technical In	terest Area(s):	
Prior probab	oility/statistics related courses/activities:	
Prior compu	ter systems related courses (Max 5):	
Washington University in St. I	Louis CSE567M	©2006 Raj Jair

Quiz 0: Prerequisites

True or False?

ΤF

□ □ The sum of two normal variates is normal.

 \Box \Box The sum of two normal variates with means 4 and 3 has a mean of 12.

- ☐ ☐ The probability of a fair coin coming up head once and tail once in two throws is 1.
- **The density function** f(x) approaches 1 as x approaches ∞ .

Given two variables, the variable with higher median also has a higher mean.

- \Box \Box The probability of a fair coin coming up heads twice in a row is 1/4.
- \Box \Box The difference of two normal variates with means 4 and 3 has a mean of 4/3.
- **The cumulative distribution function** F(x) approaches 1 as x approaches ∞ .
- □ □ High coefficient of variation implies a low variance and vice versa.

 \Box \Box If x is 0, then after x++, x will be 1.

Marks = Correct Answers _____ - Incorrect Answers _____ = ____