

MBone Instructions

□ Handouts for the class are available on-line: http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/cis788-97/index.html or http://www.netlab.ohio-state.edu/~jain/cis788-97/index.html or ftp://netlab.ohio-state.edu/pub/jain/cis788-97/ The schedule keeps changing. Please always check current schedule at: http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/cis788-97/schedule.html

6-2

Raj Jain

Instructions (Cont)

- Please email your positive and negative feedback about the quality of the reception as well as the content with a subject field of "Feedback" to mbone@netlab.ohio-state.edu
- If you are not able to receive the program due to some technical difficulties, please email "Feedback" to mbone@netlab.ohio-state.edu
- Please email technical questions with the subject field "Question" to <u>mbone@netlab.ohio-state.edu</u>. We will try to answer selected questions live.



- □ ARIS (IBM)
- Multi-protocol label switching

Disclaimer

- □ This technology is currently evolving. \Rightarrow All statements are subject to change.
- Features not in a scheme may be implemented later in that scheme.
- Problems claimed to be in a scheme may later not be a problem.

L3 Switching

- □ Layer 3 forwarding at wire speeds
 - Switching based on Layer 3 (L3) header
 - Switched IP forwarding
 - Several million packets per second (Mpps)
 - 8 Mpps announced by ODS
- □ Layer 2 switching \Rightarrow Large flat networks
 - Problem: Broadcast, security
 - Solution: Virtual LANs (VLANs)
 - \Rightarrow Need routing between VLANs

Technology Evolution

- □ New technology gets absorbed by the old
- □ FDDI \Rightarrow 100 Mbps Ethernet
- \Box Fiber Channel \Rightarrow Gigabit Ethernet
- $\Box \text{ ATM Switches} \Rightarrow \text{LAN switches}$
- $\Box \text{ ATM ELANs} \Rightarrow \text{VLANs}$
- □ ATM shortcuts \Rightarrow L3 Switching

MPOA

- □ Multiprotocol over ATM
- Extension of LANE
- Solves the problem of needing routers between emulated LANs
- Uses NHRP to find the shortcut to the next hop
- NHRP servers communicate with each other to find the destination
- □ No routing (reassembly) in the ATM network



IP Switching

- Developed by Ipsilon
- Routing software in every ATM switch in the network
- Initially, packets are reassembled by the routing software and forwarded to the next hop
- □ Long term flows are transferred to separate VCs. Mapping of VCIs in the switch \Rightarrow No reassembly



Cell Switched Router (CSR)

- Proposed by Toshiba
- □ Flow driven (similar to Ipsilon)
- □ VCID separate from VCI \Rightarrow Switches between CSRs

Upstream assigns a VCID and sends downstream



CSR (Cont)

- VCs are set up in advance and are bounded as needed
- □ Classifies flows by IP source/destination address pair
- \Box Soft connections \Rightarrow Periodically refreshed



- based on the route
- ❑ Switches switch packets based on labels.
 Do not need to look inside ⇒ Fast.
- **Tags have local significance**
 - \Rightarrow Different tag at each hop (similar to VC #)
- Exit router/switch strips off the tag

The Ohio State University

Tag Switching

- □ Proposed by CISCO
- □ Similar to VLAN tags
- □ Tags can be explicit or implicit L2 header

L2 Header Tag

□ Ingress router/host puts a tag



Tag Switching (Cont)

- One VC per routing table entry
- One memory reference compared to 4-16 in router



ARIS

- □ Aggregate Route-Based IP Switch
- □ Proposed by IBM
- □ Topology based. One VC per egress router.
- □ Egress router initiates the setup of switched path
- Supports LAN media switching



ARIS (Cont)

- \Box mpt-to-pt VC \Rightarrow VC merge
- □ Integrated Switch Routers (ISRs)
- \Box Globally unique labels \Rightarrow Each ISR has a VCI block



Switched IP Forwarding: Comparison

Issue	IP Switch	CSR	Tag	ARIS
Datalink	ATM	ATM, FR	ATM, FR,	ATM, FR
			Ethernet	
Network	IP	IP	IP, XNS,	IP
Layer				
Initiator	Downstream	Both	Both	Egress
				•
VC Setup	IFMP	FANP	TDP	ARIS
Protocol				
Mapping	Traffic	Traffic	Topology	Topology
Mapping # of VCs	Traffic # of L4	Traffic # of L3	Topology # of routes	Topology # of Egress

MPLS

- Multiprotocol Label Switching
- IETF working group to develop switched IP forwarding
- Initially focused on IPv4 and IPv6.
 Technology extendible to other L3 protocols.
- □ Not specific to ATM. ATM or LAN.
- □ Not specific to a routing protocol (OSPF, RIP, ...)
- Optimization only. Labels do not affect the path.
 Only speed. Networks continue to work w/o labels
- □ Complete spec by the end of 1997

Terminology

- Label = Short fixed length, physically contiguous, locally significant
- □ Stream = Σ flows = pt-pt, pt-mpt, mpt-pt, mpt-mpt
- $\Box \text{ Stream Merge } \Rightarrow \text{Stream} = \Sigma \text{ streams}$
- □ Label information base (LIB) \cong Routing info base
- □ Label distribution protocol (LDP) \cong Routing protocols
- □ MPLS edge node = Egress or ingress node



Label Assignment

- □ Binding between a label and a route
- □ Traffic, topology, or reservation driven
- □ Traffic: Initiated by upstream/downstream/both
- □ Topology: One per route, one per MPLS egress node.
- □ Labels may be preassigned
 - \Rightarrow first packet can be switched immediately
- Reservations: Labels assigned when RSVP "RESV" messages sent/received.
- □ Unused labels are "garbage collected"
- Labels may be shared, e.g., in some multicasts

The Ohio State University

Label Format

- □ Labels = Explicit or implicit L2 header
- \Box TTL = Time to live
- \Box CoS = Class of service
- □ SI = Stack indicator



Label Stacks

- Labels are pushed/popped as they enter/leave MPLS domain
- Routers in the interior will use Interior Gateway
 Protocol (IGP) labels. Border gateway protocol (BGP) labels outside.

L2 Header Label 1 Label 2

••• Labe

Label n L3 Header

The Ohio State University

Raj Jain

Label Distribution

- Who assigns labels for communication between A and B?
 - A, B, or someone else?

• Downstream, upstream, ...

- Where is the control for the entire path?A, B, ingress or egress LSR?
- Separate protocol or existing route distribution mechanisms?

• Tag Distribution Protocol (TDP)

• Flow Attribute Notification Protocol (FANP)

Stream Merging

- □ Required for egress based labels
- □ Helpful for mpt-to-pt streams
- □ In ATM/AAL5, cells of frames on the same VC cannot be intermingled \Rightarrow VCs cannot be merged.
- □ VC-merge: Store all cells of a frame and forward together ⇒ Need more buffering. Delay.

□ VP Merge:
$$VPI = Labels$$
, $VCI = source$



MPLS on ATM: Issues

- VCI field is sufficient for one level tagging
 VPI may be used for the 2nd level
- LSR switches need to participate in network layer routing protocols (OSPF, BGP)
- Multiple tags per destination may be used to avoid frame merging
- VPI/VCI space may be segmented for label switching and normal ATM switching

Other Issues

- □ Loop prevention, detection, survival
- □ Multicast:

Multiple entries in label information base

- Multipath: Streams going to the same destination but different sources/port # may be assigned separate labels.
- Host involvement: Label-enabled hosts will avoid first hop reassembly
- Security: Label swapping may be terminated before firewall



- IP Switching: Traffic-based, per-hop VCs, downstream originated
- CSR: Traffic-based, VCs (VCID), originated by downstream/upstream/both
- □ Tag switching: Topology based, one VC per route
- □ ARIS: Topology based, one VC per egress router
- MPLS combines various features of IP switching, CSR, Tag switching, ARIS



Key References

- For a detailed list of references see
 <u>http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/refs/</u> <u>atm_refs.htm</u>
- "A Framework for Multiprotocol Label Switching", 05/12/1997, <u>http://www.internic.net/internet-</u> <u>drafts/draft-ietf-mpls-framework-00.txt</u>
- RFC 2098, "Toshiba's Router Architecture Extensions for ATM : Overview", 02/04/1997, 18 pp., <u>http://ds.internic.net/rfc/rfc2098.txt</u>

References (Cont)

- RFC 2105, "Cisco Systems' Tag Switching Architecture Overview", 02/06/1997, 13 pp., http://ds.internic.net/rfc/rfc2105.txt
- "ARIS: Aggregate Route-Based IP Switching", 03/26/1997, <u>http://www.internic.net/internet-</u> <u>drafts/draft-viswanathan-aris-overview-00.txt</u>
- Multiprotocol Label Switching (mpls) working group at IETF. Email: <u>mpls-request@cisco.com</u>

Current Schedule

- 6/24/97 Course Overview
- 6/26/97 Networking Trends and their impact
- 7/1/97 ATM Networks An Introduction
- 7/3/97 LAN Emulation and ATM Emulation
- 7/8/97 MARS, NHRP, MPOA, IP Switching
- 7/10/97 Multiprotocol Label Switching
 - Quiz 1 (No MBone transmission)
 - Virtual LANs

No Class

The Ohio State University

7/15/97

7/17/97

7/22/97

Schedule (Cont)

7/24/97 Gigabit Ethernet

7/29/97 Multimedia: Compression Standards

7/31/97 Quiz 2 (No MBone transmission)

8/5/97 Multimedia over IP: RSVP, RTP

8/7/97 Wireless LANs and WANs

8/12/97 Residential broadband: Cable Modems, xDSL

8/14/97 Mobile Networking: Mobile IP, Wireless ATM

8/19/97 Quiz 3 (No MBone transmission)

8/21/97 Graduating Seniors' grades due

Credits

- This MBone transmission was made possible by:
- □ Mark Fullmer, OSU/UTS
- □ Mike Iverson, OSU/UTS
- □ Mike Douglas, OSU/UTS
- □ Jayaraman Iyer, OSU/CIS
- □ Sohail Munir, OSU/CIS