



- □ What is Frame Relay?
- □ Why not leased lines or X.25?
- □ Frame formats and protocols
- Discard control
- Explicit forward/backward congestion notification

Problems with Leased Lines

- □ No user-to-user end-to-end signaling
- \Box Multiple logical links \Rightarrow Multiple connections
- \Box Four nodes \Rightarrow 12 ports,

12 local exchange carrier (LEC) access lines,

6 inter-exchange carrier (IXC) connections

- □ One more node \Rightarrow 8 more ports, 8 more LEC lines,
 - 4 more IXC circuits



Raj Jain

Solution: Frame Relay

Four nodes: 4 ports, 4 LEC access lines,
 6 IXC circuits

One more node: 1 more port,
 1 more access line, 4 more IXC circuits

 \square Share leased lines \Rightarrow Virtual Private Networks





Data Link Control Identifier

- DLCI = Virtual Circuit ID
- Only local significance
- Allows multiple logical connections over one circuit
- Some ranges preassigned
- \Box DLCI = 0 is used for signaling

Frame Relay: Key Features

- □ X.25 simplified
- □ No flow and error control
- Out-of-band signaling
- Two layers
- Protocol multiplexing in the second layer
- Congestion control added
- \Rightarrow Higher speed possible.
 - X.25 suitable to 200 kbps. Frame relay to 2.048 Mbps.

Frame Relay Congestion Techniques

- Discard Control (DE Bit)
- Backward Explicit Congestion Notification
- Forward Explicit Congestion Notification
- Implicit congestion notification (sequence numbers in higher layer PDUs)

Discard Control

- □ Committed Information Rate (CIR)
- Committed Burst Size (B_c) : Over measurement interval T $T = B_c/CIR$
- **\Box** Excess Burst Size (B_e)
- □ Between B_c and $B_c + B_e \Rightarrow$ Mark DE bit
- $\Box \text{ Over } B_e \Rightarrow \text{Discard}$











Implicit Congestion Control

- Decrease window on frame loss
- □ Increase window slowly
- Decrease by 1, Decrease to Wmin, Decrease by a factor α
- □ Increase by 1 after N frames
- □ Increase by 1 after W frames



- □ X.25 designed for unintelligent devices over error-prone networks \Rightarrow Slow
- \Box Frame relay = simplified X.25. Higher data rates.
- Discard strategy: Leaky bucket
- □ Forward/backward explicit congestion notification
- □ Implicit congestion control

Frame Relay Standards

ITU:

- I.122, Framework for Frame Mode Bearer Services, 1993.
- □ I.223, Frame Mode Bearer Services, 1992.
- I.370, Congestion management for the ISDN Frame Relaying Bearer Service, 1991.
- I.372, Frame Relay Bearer Service Network-tonetwork Interface Requirements, 1993.
- I.555, Frame Mode Bearer Services Interworking, 1992.

Standards (Cont)

- Q.922, ISDN Data Link Layer Specification for Frame Mode Bearer Services, 1992.
- Q.933, Signaling Specifications for Frame Mode Call Control, 1992.

ANSI:

- T1.606, Architectural Framework and Service Description for Frame-Relaying Bearer Service, 1990.
- T1.617, Signaling Specification for Frame Relay Bearer Service for DSS1, 1991.
- T1.618, Core Aspects of Frame Protocol for Use with Frame Relay Bearer Service, 1991.
 Raj Jain

Implementation Agreements

- □ FRF.1, The User-Network Interface (UNI)
- □ FRF.2, The network-to-network interface (NNI)
- □ FRF.3, Multiprotocol encaptulation
- □ FRF.4, Switched virtual circuit (SVC)
- □ FRF.5, Frame relay/ATM network interworking
- FRF.6, Frame relay service customer network management
- Available from Frame Relay Forum,

http://frame-relay.indiana.edu/

RFCs

- RFC 2115, "MIB for Frame Relay DTEs Using SMIv2," Sept 1997.
- □ RFC 1973, "PPP in Frame Relay," June 1996.
- RFC1604, "Definitions of Managed Objects for Frame Relay Service" by T. Brown, 03/25/1994, 46 pp.
- RFC1586 "Guidelines for Running OSPF Over Frame Relay Networks" by O. deSouza, M. Rodrigues, 03/24/1994, 6 pp.
- RFC1490, "Multiprotocol Interconnect over Frame Relay" by T. Bradley, C. Brown, A. Malis, 07/26/1993, 35 pp.
 The Ohio State University