97-0422 Performance analysis of ABR pointto-multipoint connections for bursty and non-bursty traffic with and without VBR background S. Fahmy, R. Jain, S. Kalyanaraman, R. Goyal, B. Vandalore, and X. Cai The Ohio State University Seong-Cheol Kim Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd.

Raj Jain is now at Washington University in Saint Louis, jain@cse.wustl.edu http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/

The Ohio State University

Raj Jain



- **Issues in Multipoint ABR**
- Point-to-multipoint ERICA
- Simulation results for various configurations and traffic patterns

Issues

- Minimum of ER from branches is sent upstream Should we wait for all branches?
- □ If you send BRM on every FRM, you may give feedback without receiving any
 - \Rightarrow Need to ensure that at least one feedback has been received before sending a BRM.

Otherwise, you may give PCR

- □ Not all downstream feedbacks in an upstream feedback \Rightarrow Consolidation noise
- Additional delay due to FRM wait and BRM consolidation at each level \Rightarrow Slower transient response Raj Jain

The Ohio State University

Point-to-Multipoint ERICA

- □ Framework from Larry Roberts' 94-0772R1
- □ At the end of Averaging Interval:
 - □ Compute input rate and # of active sources
- Upon receiving an FRM:
 - □ Process as usual (note CCR)
 - □ Multicast to all branches
 - $\Box MXR = ER \text{ from } FRM$
 - $\Box MER = Min\{MER, ER_ERICA\}$
 - \Box Return BRM with ER = MER
 - \Box MER = MXR

The Ohio State University

Raj Jain

Upon Receiving a BRM: \Box MER = Min{MER, ER from BRM} □ Discard BRM □ When sending a BRM: □ Compute ER for each branch \Box ER ERICA = Min ER for all branches □ For NI, CI, use "or" in place of "min" Multipoint ERICA with one leaf \Rightarrow Unicast ERICA

Parameters

- □ Unless indicated otherwise:
 - All links 155.52 Mbps, 1 km (LAN), 1000 km (WAN)
 - All VCs (unicast and multicast) are unidirectional
 - \Box RIF = 1, ICR = PCR
 - \Box TBE = Large (disable rule 6)

□ ERICA (not ERICA+):

□ Target Utilization = 95% (LAN), 90% (WAN)

□ Averaging Interval

= Min{50 cells, 1 ms} for LANs

= Min{100 cells, 1 ms} for WANs

Traffic:

□ VBR 3 ms on, 3 ms off (LAN)

□ 20 ms on, 20 ms off (WAN)

□ VBR amplitude = 80% (on), 0% (off)

Configurations

- □ Several variations of Parking lot and others
- Unicast and multicast mix
- Transient sources
- □ VBR background
- ❑ Multiple VBRs at different times ⇒ moving bottlenecks
- □ Widely varying link lengths
- Infinite sources, Bursty Sources, Infinite, bursty, and VBR sources mixed

Simulation Results

- □ ACRs stabilize quickly
- Queue lengths are small in most cases
- Fast transient response
- Utilization of bottleneck links are high
- **There is max-min fairness**
- Size of the oscillations (consolidation noise) depends upon the feedback asynchronousness

Parking Lot and 2 VBR

- **Two unicast VBRs**
- □ When one is on, the other is off and vice versa
- □ Either link 1 is bottleneck or link 2 is bottleneck
- Non-bottleneck link gets low utilization since minimum of all feedbacks is given
- □ RIF=1 and 0.03125
- **ICR** = 12 Mbps, 150 Mbps
- □ See Figures 11 through 14

- □ High ICR and High RIF give the fastest transient response and best throughput.
- ❑ Long feedback delay ⇒ longer stabilization time but does reach steady state



- □ Larry Roberts multipoint framework + ERICA work ok
- □ Efficiency, fairness, responsiveness is maintained
- Consolidation noise due to asynchronous arrival of feedback from different leaves appears as oscillations
- Additional delay due to FRM wait and BRM consolidation
 - \Rightarrow slower transient response than point-to-point

The Ohio State University

Raj Jain

❑ Minimum of all paths is allocated
⇒ some links are underutilized

Low RIF

 \Rightarrow Low Queues but also lower responsiveness

 \Rightarrow Lower throughput

Low ICR

 \Rightarrow Low initial queues but also lower throughput

□ Queue control (ERICA+) is required for stability