99-0045 **Throughput Fairness Index: An Explaination**

Raj Jain, Arjan Durresi, Gojko Babic Department of CIS

Raj Jain is now at **Washington University in Saint Louis** Jain@cse.wustl.edu

http://www.cse.wustl.edu/~jain/

- \Box Index of fairness
- \Box Why is it better than others?

References:

[1] R. Jain, W. Hawe, D. Chiu, "A Quantitative measure of fairness and discrimination for resource allocation in Shared Computer Systems," DEC-TR-301, September 26, 1984, http://www.cis.ohio-state.edu/~jain/papers/fairness.htm

- \Box Simple Definition: Equal share of bottleneck Problem: Some VC's may be bottlenecked elsewhere
- □ Solution: Use any of the TM4.0 fairness criteria to define optimal allocations and use a fairness index to quantify the fairness.
- \Box Question: A scheme gives 50, 30, 50 Mbps when the optimal is 50, 10, 10 Mbps How fair is it? 67% ? 90%?

Proposal

- **Q** Measured Throughput: $(T_1, T_2, ..., T_n)$
- \Box Use any criterion (e.g., max-min optimality) to find the Fair Throughput $(O_1, O_2, ..., O_n)$
- \Box Normalized Throughput: $x_i = T_i/O_i$

$$
\text{Fairness Index} = \frac{(\Sigma x_i)^2}{n\Sigma x_i^2}
$$
\nExample: 50/50, 30/10, 50/10 \Rightarrow 1, 3, 5

$$
\text{Fairness Index} = \frac{(1+3+5)^2}{3(1^2+3^2+5^2)} = \frac{9^2}{3(1+9+25)} = 0.81
$$

Other Fairness Indices

Variance:

Mean $\mu = (1+3+5)/3 = 3$ Variance $\sigma^2 = 1/(n-1)\Sigma(x_i-\mu)^2 = 4$

- Coefficient of Variation: Standard deviation $\sigma = 2$ \overline{a} $COV = σ/μ = 0.667$
- Min-Max Ratio: $Min\{x_i\}/Max\{x_i\} = 1/5 = 0.2$ \overline{a}
- The Ohio State University Raj Jain $[\Sigma (T_i-O_i)^2]^{1/2}$ $[\Sigma \, O_i^2]^{1/2}$ = $[(50-50)^{2}+(30-10)^{2}+(50-10)^{2}]^{1/2}$ $[50^2 + 10^2 + 10^2]$ $= 0.86$ Find the normalized distance from the optimal Norm. Dist. =

Fairness Index: Properties

- \Box Applicable for any number of VCs, even n=2 Strictly speaking, variance not defined for small n.
- **□** Scale independent.

Variance (Throughput) = $10 \text{ Mbps}^2 = 10^7 \text{ kbps}^2$ Standard deviation (Throughput) = 10 Mbps = $10⁴$ kbps

- **□ Bounded between 0 and 1 or 0 and 100%** Variance, standard deviation, and Relative distance are not bounded.
- \Box Direct relationship: Higher index \bigcirc More Fair Higher variance \bullet Less fair

The Ohio State University Raj Jain Continuous. Min/max is not continuous.

Fairness Index: Properties

Intuitive:

- **g** For $(1, 0, 1)$ Index = 2/3
- **D** For $x_i = 1$, i=1,2,3,...,k $= 0$ otherwise

Fairness Index $= k/n$

- **□** If 80% of the users are treated fairly and 20% are starved, index $= 80\%$
- \Box If y% of the users are treated fairly and (100-y)% are starved, Fairness index $=$ y%

Relationship to Other Indices

- **a** Fairness Index = $E[x]^2/E[x^2] = 1/(1+COV^2)$ Transformation
	- \circ Makes index bounded between 0 and 1,
	- \circ Gives a direct positive relationship between the index and fairness
	- \circ Makes it intuitive: y% if fair to y% only.

User Perception of Fairness

 \Box Fairness Index = $(\Sigma x_i)^2/(n\Sigma x_i^2)$ $=(1/n)\Sigma x_i/x_f$ Where $x_f = \sum x_i^2 / \sum x_i = \text{Fair allocation mark}$ \Box ith User perception of fairness = x_i/x_f **Example**: 2 Mbps to first 10 users, 0 to other 90 users $x_i = 2, i=1,2,...,10$ $x_i = 0, i=11,12,...,100$ Fair Allocation Mark $x_f = 2$ First 10 users are 100% happy and other 90 users are 0% happy. Average fairness $= 10\%$

Properties of Fairness Index

- 1. If δx resource is taken away from kth user and given to j th user, the fairness
	- \circ Increases iff $\delta x < x_k x_j$
	- \circ Remains the same iff $\delta x = x_k x_j$
	- \circ Decreases iff $\delta x > x_k x_j$
- 2a. If each user is given an additional resource δx, the index goes up if it is less than 1.

 $f(x_1+c, x_2+c, ..., x_n+c) \ge f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$

2b. If a single user j is given extra δx , the index goes up iff *j* is a discriminated user (and vice versa):

The Ohio State University \overline{R} and $f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_j + \delta x, ..., x_n) > f(x_1, x_2, ..., x_n)$ iff $x_j < x_f$

Properties (Cont)

3. If we vary a single user's allocation, the fairness reaches maximum when $x_j = x_g$ where x_g is the fair allocation mark for the remaining n-1 users

$$
x_g = \Sigma_{i\neq j} x_i^{2}/\Sigma_{i\neq j} x_i
$$

Other Similar Functions

- \Box F(x) = $(\Sigma x_i)^k/(n\Sigma x_i^k)$
- \Box If you starve 90% of the users and give all resources to 10% of the users:

 $(\Sigma x_i)^k/(n\Sigma x_i^k) = 0.1^{k-1}$

The index is 0.1 or 10% only for $k=2$.

 \Box Has a intuitive relationship with user perception