

# CSE547T Class 2

Jeremy Buhler

January 23, 2017

## 1 From Languages to Machines

So far, we've talked abstractly about formal languages. Now, we need a connection to computers.

- We can formulate many computational problems as *decision* problems. “Does the input have some property  $P$ ?”
- *Example*: does this string contain “bbb”?
- *Example*: do these two strings match?
- *Example*: are two strings within edit distance at most  $d$ ?
- *Example*: does this graph have a minimum spanning tree of weight at most  $W$ ? A clique of size at least  $k$ ?
- *Example*: is this number a Mersenne prime?

Connection to strings?

- Devise some textual encoding of problem inputs as strings over some alphabet  $\Sigma$ .
- *Example*: graphs could be encoded as serialized adjacency lists or matrices over integers plus some special “delimiter” chars
- For any property  $P$ , we can define the language  $L_P \subseteq \Sigma^*$  composed of all valid problem inputs with property  $P$ .
- Solving the decision problem for  $P$  is equivalent to asking, “Given a string  $x$ , is  $x \in L_P$ ?”

Instead of studying algorithms in all their complexity, we will focus only on the ability to recognize strings in a given language  $L$ , since this is equivalent to solving many problems of interest. (Cf connection to optimization)

- **Defn**: an abstract machine  $M$  is a *recognizer* for a language  $L \subseteq \Sigma^*$  if, given a string  $x \in \Sigma^*$ , it can determine whether or not  $x \in L$ .
- *Key question*: how powerful must a machine be to enable it to recognize a given language?

- Note that some languages may have arbitrarily long strings, so a recognizer must be able to answer correctly for arbitrarily large problem inputs.
- We'll build machines with some intuitively nice capabilities, then ask if they are powerful enough to recognize certain languages.

## 2 Our First Machines

Computers are many things, most of them unprintable.

- One thing they are is *finite*.
- In particular, they have only finite memory, and so can store only a limited number of states.
- (Of course, this number can be extremely large!)
- Another thing computers are is *deterministic*.
- Given the same program, starting state, and input, they produce the same output every time.
- We want an abstract machine that captures these essential properties!
- Appropriately, the standard model of this kind is called a **Deterministic Finite Automaton** (DFA).

Let's start with an example  $M$  over alphabet  $\Sigma = \{0, 1\}$ ...

- Salient features of this machine?
- *states*: current state of machine is its (only) memory
- *start state* is marked by incoming arrow
- machine reads an input string one character at a time, causing successive state transitions.
- *transitions*: given current state and next character of string, what is new state? (must be specified for all chars in  $\Sigma$ )

- *accepting state(s)*: machine “accepts” a string iff it is left in accepting state after reading its last character. (Can have more than one)
- **Defn**: the *language* of a machine  $M$ , denoted  $L(M)$ , is the language of strings that it accepts.
- *Quick examples*: what does  $M$  do on “11010”? On “1001”?
- What is the language accepted by  $M$ ?

Another example over alphabet  $\Sigma = \{a, b, c\}$ ...

- For simplicity, we can label transitions with more than one char – that transition is taken on all chars
- What language does this machine accept?

### 3 Formal Definition of a DFA

OK, now that you have some intuition about what a DFA looks like, here is the formal definition (needed to prove things about DFAs).

- A DFA is a 5-tuple  $M = (Q, \Sigma, q_0, A, \delta)$ , where
- $Q$  is finite set of states
- $\Sigma$  is the alphabet of input chars (symbols)
- $q_0 \in Q$  is the unique starting state
- $A \subseteq Q$  is the set of accepting states
- $\delta : Q \times \Sigma \rightarrow Q$  is a *transition function*.
- If machine is in state  $q$  and sees char  $a$ , it moves to state  $\delta(q, a)$ .

Note that we can express  $\delta$  in a standard tabular form, which may be easier to write or read in some cases. Example for first machine:

- $\delta$  allows us to express “where does  $M$  go from state  $q$  on char  $a$ ”?
- A slightly extended notation lets us express where  $M$  goes when it reads an entire string.
- **Defn:** The extended transition function  $\delta^* : Q \times \Sigma^* \rightarrow Q$  for a DFA  $M$  is defined as follows:
- $\delta^*(q, \varepsilon) = q$  .
- For a string  $x = ya$ ,  $y \in \Sigma^*$ ,  $a \in \Sigma$ ,

$$\delta^*(q, x) = \delta(\delta^*(q, y), a).$$

In this notation, we can compute for first machine, e.g.,  $\delta^*(q_{\text{even}}, 101)$ .

- Now, we can properly define acceptance.
- A DFA  $M$  accepts a string  $x$  iff  $\delta^*(q_0, x) \in A$ .

## 4 Finger Exercise

Let’s do one exercise that requires a (trivial) proof using the new DFA notation.

- Build me a machine  $M$  over  $\Sigma = \{a, b\}$  for which

$$L(M) = \{x \in \{a, b\}^* \mid x \text{ contains } aba\}.$$

- Whew, that was fun. Are we sure this machine actually accepts the desired language? Let's prove that  $L = L(M)$ !
- Name machine's states  $q_\varepsilon, q_a, q_{ab}, q_{aba}$ .
- ( $\rightarrow$ ) If  $x$  contains  $aba$ , then  $M$  accepts  $x$ .
- **Pf:**  $x$  is of the form  $y \cdot aba \cdot z$  for some  $y, z$ .
- Reading  $y$  leaves  $M$  in some state  $q$ .
- Check that  $\forall q \in Q, \delta^*(q, aba) = q_{aba}$ .
- Hence,  $\delta^*(q_\varepsilon, yaba) = q_{aba}$ .
- Note that once in  $q_{aba}$ ,  $M$  stays there for any  $z$ .
- Conclude that  $\delta^*(q_\varepsilon, x) = q_{aba}$ , and so  $M$  accepts  $x$ .

Oh dear, we're only half done.

- ( $\leftarrow$ ) If  $M$  accepts  $x$ , then  $x$  contains  $aba$ .
- **Pf:** Let  $x = yz$ , where  $y$  is *shortest* prefix of  $x$  for which  $\delta^*(q_\varepsilon, y) = q_{aba}$ .
- (Such a  $y$  exists, since we get to  $q_{aba}$  and stay there!)
- I claim that  $y$  ends with  $aba$ .
- First,  $|y| \geq 3$ , since every path in  $M$  from  $q_\varepsilon$  to  $q_{aba}$  has length at least 3.
- Let  $y = vw$ , where  $|w| = 3$ .
- After reading  $v$ ,  $M$  is in some state.
- Can  $\delta^*(q_\varepsilon, v) = q_{ab}$  or  $q_{aba}$ ? No! No way to get from these states to  $q_{aba}$  *for first time* after *exactly* three moves (cannot get there sooner by minimality of prefix  $y$ ).
- For  $q_\varepsilon$  and  $q_a$ , check that the only way to get to  $q_{aba}$  in exactly three moves is by reading the string  $aba$ .
- Conclude that  $w = aba$ , and so  $x$  contains  $aba$ . QED